CHART ONE

DOCTOR OF MINISTRY NOTATIONS: IMPOSED, REMOVED AND OUTSTANDING

	Imposed	Removed	Outstanding
D.M.1. Objectives and goals are insufficiently specific to provide functional guidelines for develop- ing and evaluating curricular programs and student performance.	14	11	3
D.M.2. There is no articulation of what constitutes a high level of excellence or competence in the practice of ministry and how its accomplishment by the student will be ascertained.	14	6	5
	+		
D.M.3. The level of competence expect is not demonstrably higher than M.Div.	4 4	3	1
D.M.4. The program does not have sufficient professional orientation.	1	2	0
D.M.5. The biblical, historical, and theological disciplines are in- sufficiently central to and integrated into the program.	11	8	3
D.M.6. The instructional context does not provide sufficiently varied kinds of learning.	es 0	0	0
D.M.7. There is insufficient use of field-oriented learning experience jointly supervised by residential and adjunct faculty.	es 11	11	0
D.M.8. The field-oriented learning experiences do not provide sufficiently for critical evaluation and growth in competence in the actual practice of ministry.	2	0	1

## <u>Appendix</u>

## DOCTOR OF MINISTRY NOTATIONS, IMPOSED REMOVED AND OUTSTANDING

	Imposed	<u>Removed</u>	Outstanding
D.M.9. Field-oriented learning is not jointly supervised by residential and adjunct faculty.	2	2	0
D.M.10. There is insufficient use of engagement/reflection, action-training methodology, and/or clinical pastoral education as integrated elements in the total curriculum	2	2	0
	2	2	· ·
D.M.11. The purposes of the profes- sional project lack adequate clarity.	4	2	0
D.M.12. No project of substantial scope is included in the program.	1	1	1
D.M.13. The project fails to demonstrate two or more of the elements identified in the Standards.	1	1	0
D.M.14. The program is insufficiently integrative, interdisciplinary, and functional in its orientation.		11	1
D.M.15. The process of student evaluation is insufficiently comprehensive and vigorous.	10	6	4
D.M.16. There is not adequate provision for regular and on-going evaluation of the			
program.	2	2	0
D.M.17. The program does not adequate meet the Standard on Duration.	o O	0	0
D.M.18. Admission requirements fail to meet Standards.	5	5	o
D.M.19. The admissions process does not provide sufficient basis for determining an applicant's capacit for achievement of excellence in the practice of ministry.	-y 2	2	0

<u>Appendix</u>

## DOCTOR OF MINISTRY NOTATIONS, IMPOSED REMOVED AND OUTSTANDING

	Imposed	Removed	Outstanding
D.M.20. The number of students in the residential components of the program is too small for effective peer learning and evaluation.	1	0	1
D.M.21. There is inadequate use of peer groups for learning and evaluation in the field dimensions of the program.	9	7	2
D.M.22. The faculty is inadequate in size for the number of students in the program.	1	1	o
D.M.23. The faculty is inadequate in size for the number of degree programs offered.	3	2	1
D.M.24. There is insufficient partic- pation by adjunct faculty	2	2	o
D.M.25. Adjunct faculty tend to be inadequately oriented to the purposes, expectations, and Standards of the D.Min.	6	4	2
D.M.26. The faculty does not include the variety of resources required by the program.	2	1	1
D.M.27. An insufficient portion of the faculty is committed to inter- disciplinary teaching oriented to professional ministry.	e 1	1	0
D.M.28. Adjunctive supervisory faculty are not sufficiently trained in supervisory methods.	6	7	0
D.M.29. Adjunctive supervisory and residential faculty do not function in a significant and integrated manner.	6	6	0

## <u>Appendix</u>

# DOCTOR OF MINISTRY NOTATIONS, IMPOSED REMOVED AND OUISTANDING

	Imposed	Removed	Outstanding
D.M.30. There is inadequate liaison and quality control in connection with adjunctive instruction in non-residential components of the program		4	0
D.M.31. Faculty loads have been adversely affected by the D.Min.	5	3	2
D.M.32. The D.Min. had adversely affected other degree programs	2	1	1
D.M.33. Faculty competencies needed for the D.Min. have been given inadequate attention.	3	1	2
D.M.34. There is insufficient provision made for the direction ar administration of the program.	xd 1	1	0
D.M.35. Library holdings and other instructional materials are in-adequate for the D.Min.	2	0	2
D.M.36. The additional costs for the D.Min. have been incurred without additional offsetting income.	ı	1	0
D.M.37. There is insufficient provision made for a D.Min. curriculum.	on 12	9	3
D.M.38. The utilization made of librar resources is inadequate.	ry 4	0	4
D.M.39. One of more program forms by which the D.Min is offered are inadequate.	1	2	0
D.M.40. The D.Min. program does not include adequate periods of residency.	0	0	0
TOTALS	169	128	41

### NATIONAL STUDY OF DOCTOR OF MINISTRY PROGRAMS

D.Min. Director's Questionnaire

(ALL #S ARE PERCENTS UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED)

Your Insti	itution:					
City:		State:				
Note: All	questions ref	er to in-ministry Doctor of Ministry programs.				
I. ATTITU	DE TOWARD THE	D.MIN.				
		e following statements best describes your opinion egree, in general?				
T	ne concept of	a professional doctorate:				
12		one, <u>and</u> in general, all seminary D.Min. programs ational experiences of good quality.				
84		one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (not including our dubious or poor quality.				
0		one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (including our own) ous or poor quality.				
3	·	ut most or all current seminary D.Min. programs ous or poor quality.				
1	is <u>un</u> sound;	the D.Min. degree should not be given.				
0	No opinion					
<ol> <li>Which one of the following statements best describes what you think the D.Min. should be? Which best describes what you think your D.Min. program actually is?</li> </ol>						
Shou <u>B</u> e						
86	76	A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion.				
13	3 24	Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education.				
ے	. 0	The degree should not be given.				

## II. PROGRAM EMPHASIS AND COMPONENTS

 Listed below are a variety of substantive emphases that D.Min. programs may have. For each, please indicate:

First, how much immersion in the subject area you feel students in your institution's D.Min. program receive; and

Second, whether you would like to see this exposure increased or decreased, or feel it is about right.

	Extent of immersion in your D.Min. Program			I would like this exposure:			
	Great	Some	Little	None	Increased	<u>Same</u>	<u>Decreased</u>
a. Systematic, philosophical or historical theolog	1 <del>4</del> y	70	16	0	19	81	٥
b. Pastoral or practical theology	54	42	5	0	22	76	2
c. Biblical studies	17	72	11	0	21	79	0
d. Ethics	6	65	25	3	34	66	٥
e. Church history	2	40	47	11	15	81	3
f. Spiritual formation	on II	47	38	5	40	56	3
g. Sociological theor	ry <b>3</b>	59	32	6	29	69	2
h. Psychological theo	ory <b>13</b>	64	18	5	15	82	3
i. Organizational development	8	69	21	2	17	18	2
j. Ministerial arts practical studies (e.g, preaching, pastoral counseli Christian ed, etc	ng,	48	3	٥	18	80	2
k. Other:	_ 2 <del>7</del> -	67	6	٥	26	<b>e</b> 8	5
1. Other:	<del></del>						

2. Listed below are a variety of structures and methodologies common to many D.Min. programs. For each, please indicate:

First, the amount of use or emphasis that each receives in your D.Min. program.

Second, whether you would like to see this use or emphasis increased, decreased, or remain about the same.

	Exte	Extent emphasized in your D.Min. Program			1	ld like nphasis	
	Great	Some	Little	<u>None</u>	Increased	Same	Decreased
a. Seminars	7-1	26	3	٥	11	89	0
b. Faculty lectures	26	59	14	2	2	92	6
c. Supervised practic (e.g, CPE, work in student's parish)		28	20	16	19	79	2
d. Case studies	23	48	25	5	32	68	0
e. Library research	24	68	8	0	20	78	2
f. Analysis/evaluation of ministry setting		47	9	0	31	69	0
g. Career assessment	10	43	32	16	29	71	O
h. Colleague/support group	50	26	17	8	21	76	3
i. Peer or collegial learning	64	24	11	2	22	78	٥
j. Learning contract	29	29	32	11	25	73	2
k. Course exams	14	37	25	25	3	94	3
1. Qualifying exams	24	16	11	48	18	7-8	3
m. Adjunct faculty	15	46	31	8	13	79	8
n. Off-campus course	s 17	32	29	22	24	73	3
o. Involvement of laity in student' ministry setting	s 29	35	26	9	40	58	2

	3. If lea	your D.Mi rning pla	in, program re an or contract	equires st	udents to patrictly adh	repare a wr ered to?	itten
		31	Always Usually Sometimes	39 A	Rarely or ne A learning c Not required	ontract is	
	4. Wha	t arrange vide? ((	ements for pee Check all that	r interac	tion and le	arning does	your progra
	51%	Students the grou	s form an orga ip take severa	nized col 1 or all	league grou of their co	p and the s urses toget	tudents in her.
	25	Students its prim	s join a colle mary function	ague grou is suppor	up which is t and inter	not a 'cour action.	se'; rather
	52	Collegia in cours	ality is expec ses and/or res	ted to de idence ha	evelop throu ills.	gh informal	exchanges
	6	D.Min. s collegia	students in ou al contact wit	r program h each ot	n do not hav ther.	e a great d	eal of
	21	Other:					
ST TYPICAL			n(s) are cours that apply.)	es offere	ed in your D	.Min. progr	ат?
39	51	Weel leng	kly, semi-week gth of a quart	ly or mor er or sem	re frequent mester	meetings ov	er the
9	33	One	week/five-day	intensiv	ves		
26	40	) Two	week/ten-day	intensive	es		
17	$\mathcal{Z}_{\Gamma}$		ger than two v	veek inter	nsives (spe	ecify length	of
9	16	Oth	er:				
1	a.	Please	circle the cou	ırse form	above which	ı is most ty	pical.
	b.	How man require	y student cont ? <u>40       con</u> t	act hours	s does this s (MEAN)	"most typic	al" course
	с.	What is "typica	the average 1	number of	students er udents (ME	nrolled in t	chis
	d.	In this	"typical" D.I D.Min,studen	Min. cour ts? 18	se, what per % (mEAN	centage of	students
	б. Wha	·lucively	largest number or primarily student	for D.Mi	n. students	?	

	86 Yes 14 No
8.	If your program offers courses away from the main seminary campus, do you make available at the site a "travelling library" of reading materials required or recommended for the course?
	H3 Yes, in all cases No Not applicable: we do not offer such courses.
9.	If your program offers courses away from the main seminary campus, how does the quality of off-campus education compare with D.Min. work offered on campus?
	In general, compared to on-campus work,
	a. Off-campus teaching is:  b. Students' off-campus work is:
	Better  The same The same Inferior No opportunity to judge Not applicable: no such courses
10.	When does a D.Min. student in your program become a <u>candidate for</u> the <u>degree</u> ? (Check as many as apply.)
	<pre>21 We do not have a stage called "candidacy" in our program. 22 Upon admission to the program 16 Upon passage of qualifying exams 31 Upon completion of credit hours or units 31 Upon approval of a proposal for the final project,     thesis or dissertation 19 Other:</pre>
11.	If you have a formal, <u>post-admissions</u> procedure for advancement to candidacy, who makes the decision to admit a student to candidacy?
·	50 The D. Min. committee 11 The D.Min.director, acting alone 4 The institution's committee on academic standing 2 The academic dean 33 Other:
12.	If you have a formal, <u>post-admissions</u> procedure for advancement to candidacy, approximately how many students <u>last year</u> were:
	a. Admitted to candidacy without conditions? 10 students (MEAN) b. Admitted to candidacy with conditions? 3 students (MEAN) c. Denied candidacy but could re-apply? 0.5 students (MEAN) d. Denied candidacy and terminated from program? 0.2 students (MEAN)

7. May your D.Min. students borrow circulating library materials by mail?

13.	3. In the period <u>before</u> the final project, who is <u>typically</u> assigned as the student's official academic advisor? At the project or thesis phase, who is <u>typically</u> assigned as the student's project or thesis advisor?						
	Advi: <u>Before</u> l		Project Advisor				
	47.25.25	The D.Min. Director  A regular seminary faculty member  An adjunct faculty member  No one  Other:	2 89 3 0 —— 6				
14.	In your	view, do the students in your program receive	e adequate guidance?				
	Before ]	<u>Project</u>	During project				
	33 62 6	Always Usually Sometimes Rarely or never	33 59 8 0				
15.	What is (Check	the nature of the final project required for more than one if you have options for the proj	your D.Min. degree? ject.)				
	51	A dissertation in scholarly form on a theolog practical topic.	gical and/or				
	9	An extended essay, without full scholarly appon a theological and/or practical topic.	paratus,				
	70	An experiment or project in the local setting by a written project report.	g, followed				
	15 ·	Other:					
16.	What is	the <u>primary</u> purpose of the project? (Check or	ne.)				
	જ	To make a contribution to knowledge.					
	80	To demonstrate the student's level of accompain ministry and/or capacity to integrate know and skills gained in the program.					
	12	Other:					

	6 No approval is required. 51 The D.Min. committee 2 The D.Min. director, acting alone 28 The faculty member(s) who will serve as advisor(s) for the project 14 Other:								
18.	How frequently are project <u>proposals</u> that have been submitted for <u>final approval</u> turned back for revision?								
	62 Frequently 34 Sometimes 5 Rarely or never								
19.	Do you offer a seminar to orient students to the final project, provide research tools, and/or help them draft a project plan?								
	67 Yes, a required seminar 16 Yes, an elective seminar 18 No								
20.	For each type of final project what is the number of typed, double spaced pages that is the:								
	Minimum Maximum acceptable Average allowable (if any) Length (if any)								
	a econ thesis or								
	dissertation   101 (N=15) 134 (N=31) 254 (N=9)   MEANS  b. report on ministry project or experiment   82 (N=23) 125 (N=33) 202 (N=12)								
21.	How would you assess the $\underline{\text{overall}}$ quality of the projects/theses you have seen from your D.Min. students?								
	14 Excellent 76 Good 8 Fair 2 Poor								
22.	How would you assess the quality of each of the following elements or aspects of the <u>majority</u> of the project reports/theses of your $D.Min. students?$								
	<u>Excellent Good Fair Poor</u> Not Applicable								
	a. Use of primary sources 15 58 24 3								
	b. Use of secondary sources 30 66 5 0								
	c. Use of theological methods 6 64 27 Z								

17. Who <u>finally</u> approves or rejects project/thesis <u>proposals</u>?

		Excellent	<u>6000</u>	rair	Poor	Not <u>Applicable</u>	
	d. Use of methods and th from the human scienc	eory es 13	52	34	2		
	e. Relevance for ministr	y setting 68	32	0	0		
	f. Demonstration of ministry skills	42	55	3	٥		
	g. Evaluation component of project	1)	65	24	٥		
	h. Written expression	8	70	21	2		
23.	What portion of your D.M. carrying out their major	in. students se project or the	em to y sis wit	ou to hout <u>u</u>	be cap	able of ifficulty?	
	3 All 64 Most 2	25 Half 6	Some	2	Few	None	
24.	Who gives <u>final</u> approval	of the complete	ed thes	is or	projec	t?	
	20 The D.Min. commit program	tee that has g	eneral	oversi	ght of	the	
	63 A committee especially formed to judge each project/dissertation, or a series of two or more readers						
	9 Only the faculty	advisor for the	e proje	ct			
	O Only the D.Min. d	irector					
	8 Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>		<u>.</u>		
25.	Is an oral defense of the	project report	or the	esis r	equire	1?	
	72. Yes	₩ No					
26.	Roughly what percentage of final approval/defense la minor revisions? 24%	st year were re	ts/the	ses su for m	bmitted ore tha	l for an	

## III. PROGRESS THROUGH THE PROGRAM

	<del></del>
1.	If a student keeps to the recommended schedule, how many years  should it take to complete your D.Min. program?
	a. Finish the program in less than this time? $\frac{9.6}{3}$ (MEAN)
	b. Finish the program in about this amount of time? $43.7\%$ (MEAN)
	c. Take up to a year longer than this to complete the program? 24.7% (MEAN)
	d. Take more than a year longer than this to complete the program? $19.5\%$ (mean)
2.	What is the <u>minimum</u> amount of time a student must be enrolled to complete the degree?
	We have no minimum.
	A minimum of years, or 23 semesters/quarters 2 - 68 3 - 8 What is the maximum length of time you will permit a student
3.	What is the <u>maximum</u> length of time you will permit a student to remain in the program? (Check one.)
	15% We have no maximum limit.
	We have an initial limit of years, but extensions of up to years can be granted.
	We have a maximum limit of years, with no extensions.
4.	What percentage of students who enroll in your program do not complete the degree? 23 % (MEAN)
5.	At which, if any, of the following points do significant numbers of students in your program encounter difficulty in keeping on schedule? (Check all that apply.)
	The course-taking phase Passing qualifying exams The period of preparing a project or thesis proposal The period of researching and writing the project or thesis Other: Other:

ο.		to finish? (Check one.)
		During the first year
		After the first year, but before completing course work
		After completing course work, before approval of the the thesis or project proposal
		After approval of the project proposal, but before completion
	_	of the project

- 7. What percentage of students who have had a project/thesis proposal accepted do <u>not</u> complete the program?  $\bot \bigcirc$  %
- 8. How frequently are the following given by students as  $\underline{\text{their}}$  reasons for dropping out or failing to finish your program?

	Very frequently	With some frequency	Rarely <u>or never</u>
a. Financial difficulties	5	23	7-1
b. Change of job	15	56	29
c. Job pressures	29	5 <del>4</del>	16
d. Difficulty in academic work	8	51	41
e. Personal, family or psychological problems	11	52	37
f. Other:	42	42	17
g. Other:			

9. Do you offer a certificate (or other type of formal recognition) for students who opt or drop out of your D.Min. program after completing all requirements for the degree other than the final project/thesis?

14 Yes 86 No

## IV. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE STUDENTS

1. How often do you observe each of the following effects of involvement in the D.Min. program on students while they are involved in the program?

	9					No
		Reg- <u>ularly</u>		Occa- sionally	Seldom <u>Or Never</u>	Opportunity
а.	Become distracted from their jobs by the demands of the program	2	4	53	42	
Ъ.	Show renewed commitment to their present job	35	51	11	3	
c.	Have difficulty meeting academic demands and requirements	0	16	70	14	
d.	Discover new capacities for critical inquiry	30	62	8	٥	
e.	Develop personal or family problems	0	4	38	58	
f.	Discover new depth of collegial support with other pastors	49	36	13	2	
g.	Develop conflicts in the ministry settings tracea to their involvement in the D. Min. program	eir able O	2	25	73	
h.	Develop creative solution to significant problems conflicts in their ministry setting	or Z8	52	19	2	
i.	Other:	50	33	17	0	
j.	Other:					

2. How often do you observe the following effects of the D.Min. program on students who have completed the D.Min. program?

		Reg- ularly	Fre-	Occa- sionally	Seldom <u>Or Never</u>	No Opportunity to observe
а.	Increased intellectual sophistication	21	<i>5</i> 8	19	2	
ь.	Increased capacity for critical theological reflection	27	લ્ડ	10	0	
с.	Clearer understanding of their theology of ministry	57	Ч†	2	٥	
d.	Increased spiritual depth	27	34	36	2	
e.	Increased self-awareness	44	48	7	2	
f.	Increased competence in the functions of ministry	41	57	2	Ó	
g.	Increased enthusiasm about the ministry as a profession	54	39	7	0	
h.	Renewed commitment to their present job	33	50	15	2	
i.	Become restless and seek a new position	4	7	55 ,	34	
j.	Become weary of study	0	4	59	37	
k.	Greater appetite for reading and study	10	73	15	2	
1.	Greater self-confidence	38	57	3	2	
m.	Greater involvement in ecumencial or denominati activities, or consulting with other churches		, 41	39	Ч	
n.	Other:	50	17	33	٥	

3.	Est has	timate the percentage of your D.Min.students for which your program the following effects:
	7 <u>1                                    </u>	Enables them to advance to a <u>distinctly</u> higher level of professional competence than is obtained in the M.Div.
	<u>29</u>	May provide an opportunity for them to engage in structured continuing education, but does <u>not</u> raise their level of competence distinctly higher than that of most non-D.Min. clergy.
_	100	
STR	OCTU	RE AND REQUIREMENTS
	Is ; 3	your D.Min. program conducted cooperatively with other institutions?
	13	Yes, but each participating institution enrolls its own students and grants its own degrees
î	24	Yes, but all students are enrolled at our institution and we grant the degree
	0	Yes, but all students are enrolled at another seminary that grants the degree
	0	Yes, and the degree is awarded by the following cluster or consortium:
2.	Whic	th <u>one</u> of the following best describes your in-ministry D.Min. program?
3	5	We offer <u>more than one track</u> , each track differing from the other(s) in focus, format, requirements, goals or intended constituency.
Ц		We offer a <u>a single track with opportunities for</u> <u>different foci or specializations</u> .
C	)	We offer a single specialized track, that focuses in the area of:
17	7	We offer a single general track.
	a.	If you offer more than one track, please list the tracks here. (Do <u>not</u> list areas of specialization within a single track.)
		Track 1:
		Track 2:
		Track 3:

٧.

3.	What percentage of courses and/or supervised field work creditable toward your D.Min. degree is <u>required</u> or <u>prescribed</u> for all students?
	23 75 - 100% required
	31 50 - 74% required
	19 25 - 49% required
	Less than 25% but more than a single course
	<pre>8 A single course</pre>
	5 None
4.	How many credits, in total, are required for completion of your D.Min. program?
	Quarter hours, or Semester hours, or
	Other (Explain:)
	a. How are these credits divided among program components?
	12 Credits in required courses
	15 Credits in elective courses
	- Credits in supervised field or clinical work
	10 Other:
	Other:
5	. Which one of the following best describes the majority of credit courses your students take? (Check one.)
	Courses are selected from a wide variety of courses open to both D.Min. students and those in other degree programs.
	51 Courses are selected from courses offered exclusively or primarily for D.Min. students.
	Courses are self-designed, independent study projects and/or courses taken at other institutions.

·	a. <u>Must</u> be taken at off-campus sites to which a faculty member or approved adjunct comes to teach?	(meau) 57 % *
	b. <u>Must</u> be taken on campus?	<b>56</b> % .
	c. May be taken at an off-campus site? established by your institution, but may also be taken on campus?	<u>45</u> %
	d. <u>May</u> be taken at other institutions of higher education?	23 %
	*Note: The percentages in this column necessarily total 100%.	will not
7. 7a. Weeks of Residency	May students take a majority of their D.Min sites established by your institution and/o	courses off-campus, at other institutions?
2 wks - 17% 3 - 11	29 Yes 71 No	
+ - 22 -7 - 22	a. If yes, how many weeks of <u>campus</u> reside for students who take a majority of cou	ency, in total, are required trses off-campus?
$(N=8) \frac{100.60}{100.60}$		( <b>mEAN</b> ) <u>5.2</u> weeks
7b. Periods of Pesidency 1 - 29%	b. If yes, how many separate periods of re separate periods (mEAN)	esidency are required?
2 - 19 3 - 19 4 - 14 5 - 9 6 - 5	c. If yes, what are the purposes of the peresidency? (Check all that apply.)	eriod(s) of on-campus
5 · 9 6 · 5	19 Initial orientation to the prog	ram
8 - 5	31 Intensive course-taking	
100% (N=20)	27 Planning of project with facult	y advisor
	12 Evaluation of/examination on co	ompleted project
	9 Other:	
	Other:	

6. In your D.Min. program, what percentage of a student's total credit hours:

4.

8. What opportunities to earn credit for supervised work experience does your D.Min. program provide?

Provided

Type of supervised training:  a. Clinical supervision for those specializing in pastoral counseling or care  b. Clinical supervision of counseling for those not specializing in counseling	as <u>option</u>	
those specializing in pastoral counseling or care  b. Clinical supervision of counseling for those not 44 3	—···	
counseling for those not 44 3	46	
	53	
c. Supervision of work in parish or other non-clinical setting	23	

9	If you o	offer	supervised	work	for	credit,	what	training	or	credentials
	do you	requir	e of superv	/isor:	s?					

a.	For	supervision	in	clinical setti	ngs:			
ъ.	For	supervision	in	congregations	and	other	non-clinical	settings:

### WI. RECRUITMENT

- 1. How much effort does your institution make to recruit D.Min. applicants?
  - 8 None
  - 23 Minimal (brochure made available on request, etc.)
  - Modest (mailings to potentially interested groups, occasional advertisements, etc.)
  - Energetic (personal, direct recruiting of persons identified as potentially interested)

	a. Has this effort increased, over the last 3 - 5 years?	decreased, of remained about the bams
	8 Increased greatly 35 Increased some 46 Remained the same	<ul><li>9 Decreased some</li><li>2 Decreased greatly</li></ul>
3.	If the institution does any red	cruiting, is it yielding good results?
	20 Yes, very good 37 Yes, fair 2 No, poor	20 Hard to tell 20 We do not recruit.
4.	How would you assess the pool oyour D.Min. program?	of persons likely to be interested in
	42 Getting larger 22 Getting smaller	<pre>26 Remaining about the same 11 Cannot assess</pre>
5.	Which institutions, if any, do for D.Min. students?	you regard as your chief competitors
	a	
	b	
	c	
VII. A	APPLICATION AND ADMISSION	
1.	How many persons would you est D.Min. program last year (Sept	imate <u>made inquiries</u> about your ember, 1983 - August, 1984)? 109 (MEAN
	a. How many completed applica	tions did you receive? 26 (MEAN)
	b. How many of those who appl	ied were <u>admitted?</u> [9 (MEAN)
	c. How many of those admitted	enrolled in the program? 18 (MEAN)
	Which of the following does yo application and admission? (Ch	seck as many as appry.,
	85% A relatively lengthy essay interests, reasons for see	y by the applicant on background, eking the degree, or other topics
	94% An M.Div. degree from an a	
•	An M.Div. grade or grade	point average of 2.93 (MEAN)
	GRE test scores (Minimum	combined GRE of 870 (MEAN)

Kequire	ments for application and admission (continued).
13	Psychological test results
51	Personal interview
66	Evidence that the applicant's church governing board or employer approves the applicant's participation in a D.Min. program
57	Evidence that ecclesiastical superiors approve the applicant's participation in an D.Min. program
16	A commitment from the applicant to try to remain in his or her job until the program is completed
(MEAN)	3.1 years in ministerial service since seminary graduation
3!	Other major application/admissions requirements:
3. Hav	e you ever waived any of your application/admission requirements?
•	71 Yes 29 No
If	yes, which requirements and under what circumstances is this
nor	mally done?
4. Are	there any denominational or doctrinal limitations affecting dissions to your D.Min. program?    Yes 87 No
If	yes, please describe:

5.	Who makes the decision to (Check one.)	admit o	r reject D.	Min. app	licants?			
	3 The D.Min.dir 2 The instituti 22 A special D.M 47 The D.Min. co the program 17 The instituti 9 Other:	on's dir in. <u>admi</u> mmittee	ector of ad ssions comm which makes	ittee other d	ecisions about			
6.	In the last five years, w applications would you es							
	<ul> <li>a. What are the major reapply to a significant</li> </ul>							
	84 Evidence of academic weakness 31 Evidence of emotional or psychological instability 30 Evidence of inappropriate motivation for seeking the degree 42 Evidence that your program would not meet the applicant's needs 27 Relative inferiority to other applicants competing for a limited number of places in the program 13 Other:							
7.	Has your program become moin the last 3 - 5 years?	ore or l	ess selecti	ve in D.N	din. admissions			
	41 More selective 58 Has remained to			Less sele Program h	ective nas just begun			
8.	Please describe the trends		_		sions to			
		enerally ncreased			Varied Considerably From year to <u>Year</u>			
а,	The number of applications	32	47	เ3	8			
b.	The quality of applicants	38	54	5	3			
с.	The number of persons admitted	23	49	21	7			

9.	If the number of persons <u>admitted</u> has increased or decreased, what is the primary reason?
	51 More or fewer applications 24 Policy decision to limit or expand program size 8 Declining/increasing quality of applications 16 Other:
10.	Are D.Min. students eligible for financial aid from your institution?
	Yes, under same policies as students in other programs Yes, under special aid policies established for the D.Min. Ho
	a. If D.Min students are eligible for aid from or administered by your institution, in what form is the aid? (Check all that apply.)
	40 Grants 12 Loans 10 Work/study funds
IX. PR	OGRAM SIZE
1.	What is the total number of students currently enrolled in your institution's in-ministry D.Min. program? 86 (MEAN)
2.	Is there a formal limit on the total number of students who can be enrolled in the D.Min. program? 34 Yes 66 No
	If yes, what is the limit? 70 students (MEAN)
	If <u>no</u> , what do you think is the largest number of students your program(s) could accommodate? <u>97</u> students (MEAN)
3.	For maximum educational effectiveness, should your D.Min. program be larger or smaller than it currently is, or is it about the right size?
	27 Should be larger 8 Should be smaller 65 Is currently about right

#### I. TEACHING ARRANGEMENTS

Note: In the following questions, the term "core faculty" refers to persons with full faculty status (usually but not always full-time and appointed for more than one year), eligible to teach in several or all of the school's academic programs. "Adjunct" or "D.Min." faculty applies to persons, whether or not full-time, appointed to teach only in the D.Min. program.

- What percentage of your institution's core faculty teach and/or advise students in the D.Min. program? 20 % (mean)
- 2. Of all courses offered by your institution to D.Min. students last year (1983-1984), what percentage were offered by:
  - a. Core faculty <u>\$2</u> % (MEAN)
  - b. Full-time faculty who teach only in the D.Min. program  $\frac{3}{2}$  (MEAN)
  - c. Adjunct faculty from other seminaries or universities 16 % (mean)
  - d. Adjunct faculty whose other profession is not teaching 12 %(MEAN)
- 3. How are core faculty compensated for course teaching and advising students on the final project/thesis in your D.Min program?

D.Min. <u>Teaching</u>									Project Advising
	is	part	of	-	load; mpensa	is	по	additional	71

- 21 All is in addition to load; extra compensation is paid. 24
- Some is counted as part of regular load; some is in addition to load and extra compensation is paid.
- 4. If you pay <u>core faculty</u> honoraria or extra compensation for course teaching or project advising, how much did you pay in 1983-84?
  - a. Course teaching: \$ 1300 per course (MEAN)
  - b. Chief advisor or first reader: \$ 319 per student (mean)
  - c. Second project reader: \$ 75 per student (MEAN)
- 5. Of the core faculty who teach and advise in your D.Min. program, what percent would you estimate:
  - Would welcome the opportunity to do more work in the D.Min. program
  - Feel that the balance between D.Min teaching/advising and other assignments is about right
  - 18 % Would like to do less work in the D.Min. program (N=60)

6. If you	use adjunct faculty in your D.Min program:
a. Wh ad	o, other than the Board, finally approves the appointment of junct D.Min. faculty?
3	The D.Min. director The D.Min. committee Faculty appointments committee  6 The faculty 22 The academic dean 4 Other:
b. Wh ha	at percentage of the adjunct D.Min faculty appointed last year d the following qualifications?
	22 % Had a D.Min. degree
	$\frac{79}{8}$ Had an academic doctorate
	87 % Had experience in ministry
	80 % Had prior teaching experience in a seminary or university
	you provide orientation on campus for adjunct D.Min. faculty?
DAYS 1 - 22% 2 - 6% 3 - 4%	
d. Ho	w do you evaluate the course teaching of D.Min. adjuncts? (Check 1 that apply and then circle most typical.)
8%	18% No formal evaluation
5	5  Written student evaluations
7	22 Observation of class sessions
0	15 Other:
e. How	much were adjunct D.Min. faculty paid in 1983-84?
	\$ <u>1177.70</u> per course
	\$ 444.72 for acting as chief project advisor or first reader
	\$111.25 for acting as second reader on the project
	\$Other:
	\$Other:

7.	What ha	s been	the	trend	in	your	D.Min.	program	in	recent	years?
	(Check					•					

- We have used core faculty more heavily and adjunct teachers/advisors less.
- The ratio of core faculty to adjuncts has remained about the same.
  - We have used adjuncts more heavily and core faculty less.
  - 13 We have used no adjunct teachers/advisors.
  - Our program is new, so no trend is evident.

#### XI. GOVERNANCE

1. Is there an internal committee which oversees the D.Min. program?

96 Yes 4 No

a. If yes, do core faculty members have a majority of votes?

92 Yes 8 No

b. If there is such a committee please indicate who served on the committee last year (1983-1984).

	Voting <u>Member</u>	Non-Voting <u>Member</u>	Not A <u>Member</u>
D.Min director	88	10	2
Other D.Min administrative staff	38	12	50
Academic dean	85	4	$\Pi$
Core faculty member(s)	100	٥.	٥
Adjunct faculty member(s)	27	0	73
Current D.Min. student(s)	55	3	41
Former D.Min. student(s)	41	0	59
Member(s) of the board of trustees	14	0	86
Minister(s) <u>not</u> involved in the D.Min. program, faculty or board	10	5	86

- 2. How do you assess the time given in your institution to evaluating your D.Min. program?
  - O Too much 69 About right 31 Not enough
- 3. How many persons, including yourself, have <u>professional</u> (i.e., non-clerical) administrative responsibilities for your D.Min. program?

  How many <u>secretarial and clerical</u> staff have responsibilities for the D.Min. program?

		. <u>Num</u>		
		Professional	Clerical	
a.	Full-time		<del></del>	SEE PAGE
b.	4/5's time		<del></del>	24A
c.	3/5's time		<del></del>	
d.	1/2 time			
e.	2/5's time			
f.	1/5 time			

### XIII. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE SEMINARY

1. To what extent, if at all, has your D.Min. program had each of the following effects on your institution?

		Great	Moderate	<u>Little</u>	None	Don't <u>Know</u>
a.	The D.Min. has given core faculty experience which enriches $\underline{M.Div.}$ teaching.	35	62	3	٥	Ø
b.	The D.Min. has drained atten and faculty energy from the M.Div. and other programs.	tion O	21	51	28	٥
с.	It has enabled us to make go use of fixed resources (tenu faculty, space, etc.) that were not being fully utilized before.		43	34	15	٥

## XI.3 Professional and Clerical Staff

Average Number of Professional Staff (Headcount)	1.6
Average Number of Professional Staff (FTE)	1.2
Average Number of D.Min. Students	
per Professional Staff FTE	126.0
Average Number Clerical Staff (Headcount)	1.4
Average Number Clerical staff (FTE)	1.1
Average Number of D.Min Students	
per Clerical Staff	136.0

filename: STAFF.TAB

		<u>Great</u>	Moderate	<u>Little</u>	<u>None</u>	Don't <u>Know</u>
đ.	It has stretched teaching and advising loads beyond the optimum.	· 8	28	43	21	
e.	It has provided new research areas and opportunities for some faculty.	9	47	36	8	
f.	It has consumed faculty time that should have been used for research and writing.	2	ಬ	52	23	
g.	It has helped our institution to improve its financial situation through providing additional revenue.	<sup>1</sup> 6	31	35	28	
h.	It has provided good public relations with our sponsoring denomination(s), graduates and others.	33	57	9	- 2	
i.	It has enabled our institution to improve the quality of advanced continuing education for clergy.	59	32	8	2	
j.	It has provided us with a D.1 alumni/ae group which is helin our fund raising program.	Min. pful6	23	51	21	
k.	It has weakened our institution's reputation for academic rigor.	٥	0	16	84	

2. Which <u>one</u> of the following three statements best expresses your view of the relative effect of your D.Min. program on the financial well-being of the institution?

25 The D.Min. is more "profitable" than other programs.

19 It is <u>less</u> "profitable" than other programs.

56 It has about the same financial impact as other programs.

3. What do you believe is the <u>majority</u> attitude toward your D.Min. among each of the following groups:

		Very <u>Positive</u>		Somewhat Negative	Very <u>Negative</u>	Don't <u>Know</u>
а.	Administrators (other than yourself)	70	27	3	0	-
b.	Board of Trustees (if	any) <b>7</b> 2	26	, 2	0	
с.	Alumni/ae and other external constituencie	s 45	52	2	2	
d.	M. Div. students	25	71	4	0	
e.	Majority of faculty	29	58	9	3	
f.	Yourself	83	12	5	S	

4. Do you think that five years from now your institution will still offer the D.Min. degree?

**98** Yes 2 No

a. If yes, how do you think the program in five years will compare with the present program in size? In quality?

Size will be:	Quality will be:
31 Larger 60 About the same 9 Smaller	Higher 59 About the same 41 Lower 6

5. Given the current situation in your institution, what future for your D.Min. program do you think the majority of your institution's faculty would endorse? What future would you endorse?

<u>Faculty</u>		Yourself
15	To expand our program	46
72	To keep our program the same size	45
t I	To decrease our program in size	8
2	To eliminate our program	2

6. What changes would you like to see in your D.Min. program?

#### XIII. ACCREDITATION

1. Do you think that ATS accrediting teams that have <u>visited</u> your D.Min. program gained an adequate understanding of the purposes, methods and effects of your program?

48 Yes, to a great extent 9 No 23 Yes, to some extent 20 Don't know

2. Have you made <u>substantial</u> program changes in response to accreditation reports?

36 Yes
64 No

If yes, what changes?

- 3. What is your opinion of the 1984 revisions in the Standards for DIRECTOR accrediting D.Min. programs?
  - 48 Generally favorable toward the changes
  - 5 Generally unfavorable toward the changes
  - Have not studied the revised Standards closely enough to comment
  - 3 Other:
- 4. What further changes, if any, would you like to see in D.Min. accrediting standards?

## XIV. STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

1.	Please describe your current statistics are not available	D.Min. student body. (If detailed use your best estimates.)
----	---	---

- a. Gender: 9 % male 9 % female
- b. Age: 24 % under 35 63 % 35 50 15 % over 50
- c. Years in ministry: 12 % under 5 62 % 5-15 27 % over 15
- d. Occupation: 80 % Parish ministry 9 % Chaplains

  5 % Church executives

8 % Other specialized professional ministry
1 % Laity

- e. Citizenship 89 % U.S. 3 % Canadian 8 % Other
- f. Race/ethnic origin of U. S. citizens:

- 2. If yours is a denominationally-related institution:
  - a. Roughly what percentage of your D.Min. students are from your institution's denomination? 59 %
  - b. Roughly what percentage of your M.Div. students (if you have an M.Div.) are from your institution's denomination? 7! %
- 3. If you have an M.Div. program, what percentage of your current D.Min. students are M.Div. graduates of your own institution? 37
- 4. If your institution has both an M.Div. and a D.Min. program, how do the student bodies of the two programs compare:

# With respect to: D.Min. students are generally:

- a. Denominational background

  6 less diverse than

  44 about the same as M.Div. students.

  49 more diverse than
- b. Academic ability

  48 about the same as M.Div. students.

  48 more able than
- c. Theological orientation

  3 More conservative than

  3 About the same as M.Div. students.

  24 More liberal than

5. In the last 3 to 5 years, how has your D.Min. student body changed, if at all, with respect to the following:

		INCREASED Greatly Some		STAYED <u>Same</u>	DECREASED Some Greatly	
a.	Percentage of females	8	42	45	3	2
ъ.	Years of ministry experience	0	25	67	8	Ō
с.	Percentage of racial/ ethnic minorities	3	44	51	2	٥
d.	Intellectual ability	3	48	48	2	0

O Generally weak

6.	Overall, would you say	your	institution's D.Mi	n.	student	body	is:
	<b>30</b> Very able		12 Mixed	in	ability	4	

58 Moderately able

### XV. YOUR BACKGROUND

1.	Gender: 95 Male 5 Female
2.	How many years have you served as Director of your institution's D.Min. program?
3.	What was your previous position? SEE PAGE 30 A
	Was this previous position at your current institution? 72 Yes 28 No

- 4. What is your <u>highest earned</u> degree? <u>SEE PAGE 30A</u>

  If you have specialized in an academic or professional field, what is it? <u>SEE PAGE 30A</u>
- 5. Have you ever served as a full-time parish pastor/associate?

  88 Yes 12 No
- 6. Have you ever served as a part-time or interim parish pastor?

  83 Yes 17 No

#### QUESTION XV-3 Previous Position of D.Min. Director 5% D.Min. Director 6 Dean 51 Professor/teacher 13 Other Seminary Administrator 1 Adjunct Faculty 8 Field Education 3 Non-Seminary Administrator 11 Pastor 2 Pastoral Counselor QUESTION XV-4 Highest Earned Degree 76% Ph.D., Th.D. 10 Ed.D. 12 D.Min. 2 M.Div. or equivalent QUESTION XV-4 Specialized Field 30% Theology, Philosophy 12 Bible 5 History 7 Ethics 3 Preaching/Worship 14 Social Sciences 19 Education 8 Pastoral care/Counseling

Other

2

7.	Are you	assigned	full-time	to	direct/teach	<u>i</u> n	the	D.Min.	program?
----	---------	----------	-----------	----	--------------	------------	-----	--------	----------

14 Yes 86 No

If no, how is your salaried time allotted?

_33 %	Time spent directing and/or teaching in D.Min. program Time spent teaching in other programs. Time spent in other administrative responsibilities. Other:
100%	

8. What is your faculty status:

54 Professor
29 Associate Professor
10 Assistant Professor
5 Not a member of faculty

a. If a faculty member, to what field, department or area are you assigned?

27 Theology, ethics
2 Biblical studies
7 History
61 Practical, pastoral or ministry studies
3 Other:

b. If a faculty member, what is your tenure status?

Tenured
18 Will be considered for tenure in the future
26 Faculty member, but not eligible for tenure

c. If you have a faculty appointment, do you receive additional compensation for serving as Director of the D.Min. Program?

38 Yes 62 No

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Please seal it in the accompanying envelope and return it by Wednesday, February 20th, to the chief executive officer of your institution.

If you wish to enclose any additional comments on the Doctor of Ministry degree, they will be most welcome.

Note: All numbers are %s unless otherwise indicated
Number Responding = 67

# NATIONAL STUDY OF DOCTOR OF MINISTRY PROGRAMS Chief Executive Officer Questionnaire

Your Institution:	
City: State:	
Note: All questions refer to <u>in-ministr</u> Doctor of Ministry Programs.	
I. ATTITUDE TOWARD THE D.MIN.	
<ol> <li>Which <u>one</u> of the following statements best describes your opinion of the D.Min. degree, in general?</li> </ol>	
The concept of a professional doctorate:	
15% is a sound one, and in general, all seminary D.Min. programs offer educational experiences of good quality.	
is a sound one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (not including our own) are of dubious or poor quality.	
is a sound one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (including our own) are of dubious or poor quality.	
is sound, but most or all current seminary D.Min. programs are of dubious or poor quality.	
is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be given.	
No opinion	
<ol> <li>Which one of the following statements best describes what you think the D.Min. should be? Which best describes what you think your D.Min. program actually is?</li> </ol>	
Should Actually Be Is	
85% 65% A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion.	
0pen to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education.	
The degree should not be given.	

	3.	Overall, w	ould you say your institution's D.Min. student body is:
		319 41	Very able 28 Mixed in ability Moderately able Cenerally weak
	4.	How would your D.Min	you assess the pool of persons likely to be interested in program?
		27 <sup>2</sup> , 179	Getting larger 52 Remaining about the same in size 5 Getting smaller 5 Cannot assess
	5,	For <u>maximum</u> be larger o right size?	educational effectiveness, should your D.Min. program r smaller than it currently is, or is it about the
		7	Should be larger. Should be smaller. Is currently about right.
II.	GO	VERNANCE	
	1.	Does your B	pard of Trustees have a committee responsible for program?
		11% 49	Yes, a special committee on the D.Min. Yes, the same committee that oversees other educational programs
		33 7	No This seminary does not have its own board
	2.	In your inst Director of	itution's administrative structure, to whom does the the D.Min. program report?
		20% 74 6	To the chief executive officer. To the chief academic officer. Other:
	3.	racher fligh	bers of your <u>core faculty</u> (i.e., faculty on regular adjunct appointment) hold the D.Min. degree as their ed degree? MEAN = . 8
	4.	How many adm as their hig	inistrators who are not core faculty hold the D.Min. hest earned degree? $\_$ MEAN = .7

## III. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE STUDENTS

1. How often do you observe each of the following effects of involvement in the D.Min. program on students while they are involved in the program?

	pro	gram?					No
MEANS			Reg- ularly	Fre- <u>quently</u> (2)	Occa- sionally (3)	Seldom Or Never	Opportunity to observe
3.2	а,	Become distracted from their jobs by the demands of the program		6%	67%	27%	
1.8	b.	Show renewed commitment to their present job	25	71	4	_	
3.0	с.	Have difficulty meeting academic demands and requirements		5	84	H	
2.0	d.	Discover new capacities for critical inquiry	16	73	9	2	
3.7	e.	Develop personal or family problems		<del></del>	30	70	
1.7	f.	Discover new depth of collegial support with other pastors	48	35	14	4	
3.7	g.	Develop conflicts in the ministry settings traces to their involvement in the D. Min. program		***************************************	24	75	
2.1	h.	Develop creative solution to significant problems conflicts in their ministry setting	or 20	49	31		
	i.	Other:	(	. O	i-al-ED	OTHER	
	j.	Other:	7 15	570 W	HECKED	VIII	

2. How often do you observe the following effects of the D.Min. program on students who have completed the D.Min. program?

			Reg-	Fre-	Occa-	Seldom	No Opportunity
MEANS			ularly ()	quently (2)		Or Never	to observe
2.1	a.	Increased intellectual sophistication	22%	46%	29%	4%	
1.9	ъ.	Increased capacity for critical theological reflection	26	58,	14	2	
1.7	с.	Clearer understanding of their theology of ministry	40	53	7		
2.2	d.	Increased spiritual depth	16	47	38		
1.9	e.	Increased self-awareness	29	48	23	_	
1.8	f.	Increased competence in the functions of ministry	33	53	15		
1.9	g.	Increased enthusiasm about the ministry as a profession	27	60	Ц	2	
2.0	h.	Renewed commitment to their present job	22	57	20		
3.1	i.	Become restless and seek a new position	2	6	67	25	
3.5	j.	Become weary of study			48	52	
2.1	k.	Greater appetite for reading and study	9	72	17	2	
1.8	1.	Greater self-confidence	28	63	9	_	
2.6	m.	Greater involvement in ecumencial or denominati activities, or consultin with other churches		32	49	11	
	n.	Other:	} 19	CHEC	KED OTH	(ER	

- 3. Estimate the percentage of your D.Min students for which your program has the following effects:
  - 69 % Enables them to advance to a <u>distinctly</u> higher level of professional competence than is obtained in the M.Div.
  - May provide an opportunity for them to engage in structured continuing education, but does not raise their level of competence distinctly higher than that of most non-D.Min. clergy.

100%

#### IV. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE SEMINARY

1. To what extent, if at all, has your D.Min. program had each of the following effects on your institution?

MEANS			Great	<u>Mo</u>	derate	Little	None	Don't <u>Know</u>
1.8	а.	The D.Min has given core faculty experience which enriches $\underline{\text{M.Div}}$ teaching.	31°	%	55%	12%	2%	
27	b.	The D.Min. has drained attent and faculty energy from the M.Div. and other programs.	tion 5	5	30	<i>5</i> 3	13	
2.5	c.	It has enabled us to make good use of fixed resources (tenum faculty, space, etc.) that were not being fully utilized before.		2	28	26	24	
2.8	d.	It has stretched teaching and advising loads beyond the optimum.	5	•	32	46	18	
2.5	e.	It has provided new research areas and opportunities for some faculty.	8		41	44	6	
3.≎	f.	It has consumed faculty time that should have been used for research and writing.	2	-	25	49	25	
3.0	g.	It has helped our institution to improve its financial situation through providing additional revenue.	1 2	•	32	31	36	

,	v	Ľ
Don	,	t
Kn	o	w

			Great (1)	Moderate (2)	Little (3)	None (4)	Know
neans 1.8	h.	It has provided good public relations with our sponsoring denomination(s), graduates and others.	31%	, 54%	13%	2%	
1.5	i.	It has enabled our institutio to improve the quality of advanced continuing education for clergy.	n 4 <b>8</b>	42	6	4	
2.8	j.	It has provided us with a D.M alumni/ae group which is help in our fund raising program.	in. ful 5	26	51	18	

- 3.7 k. It has weakened our institution's reputation 2 3 17 78 for academic rigor.
  - 2. Which one of the following three statements best expresses your view of the relative effect of your D.Min. program on the financial well-being of the institution?
    - 14 % The D.Min is more "profitable" than other programs.
    - 14 It is <u>less</u> "profitable" than other programs.
    - 71 It has about the same financial impact as other programs.

Very Somewhat Somewhat Very Don't

3. What do you believe is the <u>majority</u> attitude toward your D.Min. among each of the following groups:

NEANS			Positive <u>Po</u> (i)	sitive <u>Ne</u>	egative <u>N</u> (3)	egat <u>ive</u> <u>Клс</u> ( <b>4</b> )	<u>W</u>
1.5	а.	Administrators (other than yourself)	58%	37%	3%	2%	
1.5	Ъ.	Board of Trustees (if	any) 5 <del>7</del> %	39	4	<del></del>	
1.5	с.	Alumni/ae and other external constituencie	s 47	53	***************************************	<del></del>	
1.7	d.	M. Div. students	33	60	6		
1.8	e.	Majority of faculty	32	57	11		
1.4	f.	Yourself	65	29	6		

4. Do you think that five years from now your institution will still offer the D.Min. degree?

Yes 97% No 3%

a. If yes, how do you think the program in five years will compare with the present program in size? In quality?

Size will be:	Quality will be:
31% Larger	Higher 64%
57 About the same	About the same 36
13 Smaller	Lower

5. Given the current situation in your institution, what future for your D.Min. program do you think the majority of your institution's faculty would endorse? What future would you endorse?

<u>Faculty</u>		Yourself
20%	To expand our program	28%
67	To keep our program the same size	60
10	To decrease our program in size	8
3	To eliminate our program	3

6. What changes would you like to see in your D.Min. program?

#### V. ACCREDITATION

	accrediting teams that have <u>visited</u> your
D.Min. program gained	an adequate understanding of the purposes,
methods and effects o	

49% Yes, to a great extent 5 No 35 Yes, to some extent 11 Don't know

2. Have you made <u>substantial</u> program changes in response to accreditation reports?

Yes
No
29%
71%

If yes, what changes?

- 3. What is your opinion of the 1984 revisions in the Standards for accrediting D.Min. programs?
  - 54% Generally favorable toward the changes
    - 3 Generally unfavorable toward the changes
  - Have not studied the revised Standards closely enough to comment
  - 2 Other:

4. Was there initial faculty opposition to instituting your D.Min. program? Is there currently faculty opposition?

<u>Initially</u>		<u>Currently</u>
3% 33 31 33	Yes, strong opposition Yes, mild opposition No significant opposition Don't know	19% 80 2

5. As far as you know, has your program served as a model for other institutions?

18%	Yes	
10 72	No Don't know	programs

- 6. Which of the following best describes your institution's history with in-sequence D.Min. programs?
  - 67% We have never had an in-sequence program.
  - 46 We have always had both in-sequence and in-ministry programs or options.
  - Our current in-ministry program began as an in-sequence program and changed form.
  - 9 Originally we had both in-sequence and in-ministry options; now we have only an in-ministry program.
    We dropped the in-sequence option in 19\_\_\_\_\_.
- 7. From what you know of your <u>in-ministry</u> program's history, what were the major reasons for instituting it?

4. Was there initial faculty opposition to instituting your D.Min. program? Is there currently faculty opposition?

<u>Initially</u>		<u>Currently</u>
3% 33 31 33	Yes, strong opposition Yes, mild opposition No significant opposition Don't know	19% 80 2

5. As far as you know, has your program served as a model for other institutions?

18%	Yes	programs
10 72.	No Don't know	r

- 6. Which of the following best describes your institution's history with <a href="in-sequence">in-sequence</a> D.Min. programs?
  - 67% We have never had an in-sequence program.
  - 16 We have always had both in-sequence and in-ministry programs or options.
  - Q Our current in-ministry program began as an in-sequence program and changed form.
  - Originally we had both in-sequence and in-ministry options; now we have only an in-ministry program. We dropped the in-sequence option in 19\_\_\_\_\_.
- 77. From what you know of your <u>in-ministry</u> program's history, what were the major reasons for instituting it?

#### VII. BACKGROUND

1.	How many years have you held your current position? MFA	y = 6	
2.	What was your previous position?		<del></del>
	Was this previous position at your current institution?	Yes	No <b>65</b> %
3.	What is your <u>highest</u> <u>earned</u> degree:	<b>3</b> 3%	<b>6</b> 5%
4.	Have you ever served as a full-time parish pastor/associate	∍?	
	83% Yes No 17%		
5.	Have you ever served as a part-time or interim parish pasto	r?	
	60% Yes No 40%		
6.	Do you serve as <u>both</u> chief executive officer and chief acad officer of your institution?	emic	
	33% Yes No 67%		
7.	How much would you say you know about your institution's D. program?	Min.	
	75% A great deal A little — Nothing —		

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. If you wish to enclose additional comments on the D.Min., we would be happy to have them.

Please return this questionnaire and the others distributed to your institution by Friday, February 22.

Your Inst	titution:	16.0°					
City:	····	State:					
Note: Al	ll questions r	efer to <u>in-ministry</u> Doctor of Ministry programs.					
	(ALL #S	ARE PERCENTS UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED)					
I. ATTIN	IDE TOWARD THE	D.MIN.					
1. V	Which <u>one</u> of to of the D.Min.	he following statements best describes your opinion degree, in general?					
7	The concept of	a professional doctorate:					
is a sound one, <u>and</u> in general, all seminary D.Min. programs offer educational experiences of good quality.							
is a sound one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (not including our own) are of dubious or poor quality.							
7		one, <u>but</u> some seminary programs (including our own) ious or poor quality.					
8		but most or all current seminary D.Min. programs ious or poor quality.					
5	is <u>un</u> sound	; the D.Min degree should not be given.					
3	No opinion						
t	Which one of the following statements best describes what you think the D.Min. should be? Which best describes what you think your D.Min. program actually is?						
	ould Actually <u>Be <u>Is</u></u>						
80	; 42	A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion.					
10	58	Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education.					

The degree should not be given.

4

3. Overall, would you say your institution's D.Min. student body is:

16 Verv able

43 Mixed in ability

**39** Moderately able

2 Generally weak

4. If your institution has both a M.Div. and a D.Min. program, how do the student bodies of the two programs compare:

With respect to:

D.Min. students are generally:

a. Denominational background

15 less diverse than

47 about the same as M.Div. students.

39 more diverse than

b. Academic ability

7 less able than

57 about the same as M.Div. students.

36 more able than

c. Theological Orientation

more conservative than

35 about the same as M.Div. students.

₁⊋ more liberal than

5. For maximum educational effectiveness, should your D.Min. program be larger or smaller than it currently is, or is it about the right size?

19 Should be larger
13 Should be smaller

69 Is currently about right

6. Of the faculty who teach and advise in both D.Min. and other programs, what percent would you estimate:

18 % Would welcome the opportunity to do more work in the D.Min. program

55 % Feel that the balance between D.Min. teaching/advising

and other assignments is about right

27 % Would like to do less work in the D.Min. program

100%

7. Would you, personally, like to have more or less involvement in the D.Min. program, or is your current D.Min. load about right?

Would like to have greater D.Min. involvement

Il Would like to have less D.Min. involvement

72 Current D.Min. load is just about right

## II. PROGRAM EMPHASIS AND COMPONENTS

1. Listed below are a variety of substantive emphases that D.Min. programs may have. For each, please indicate:

First, how much immersion in the subject area you feel students in your institution's D.Min program receive; and

Second, whether you would like to see this exposure increased or decreased, or feel it is about right.

		Extent of immersion in your D.Min. Program				I would like this exposure:			
<u>nean</u>		Great	Some (2)	Little (3)	None	Increased ()	Same (2)	Decreased (3)	MEAN
2.3	<ul> <li>a. Systematic, philosophical or historical theology</li> </ul>	7	61	27	4	48	50	1	1.5
1.4	b. Pastoral or practical theology	62	34	3	1	15	73	11	2.0
2.R	c. Biblical studies	10	64	23	2_	44	55	1	1.6
2.4	đ. Ethics	5	50	39	6	52	47	1	1.5
2.7	e. Church history	1	39	44	16	.34	<b>6</b> 3	3	1.7
2,6	f. Spiritual formation	8	40	39	13	47	51	2	1.6
2.6	g. Sociological theory	6	39	44	12	35	62	3	1.7
2.3	h. Psychological theor	y 15	50	28	7	18	75	7	1.9
ઢા	<ul><li>i. Organizational development</li></ul>	<b>a</b> o	53	22	6	22	70	8	1.9
-1 <b>.7</b> -	j. Ministerial arts practical studies (e.g, preaching, pastoral counseling Christian ed, etc.)		47	9	1	22	69	8	1.9
•	k. Other:	13%	THECKED	OTHER					
	1. Other:								

2. Listed below are a variety of structures and methodologies common to many D.Min. programs. For each, please indicate:

First, the amount of use or emphasis that each receives in your D.Min. program.

Second, whether you would like to see this use or emphasis increased, decreased, or remain about the same.

			Exten	t empha D.Min. 1	sized in Program	your		d like phasis		
EAN			Great (1)	Some (2)	Little (3)	None	Increased	Same	Decreased	
,4	a.	Seminars	64	31	ری 4	( <del>()</del>	12	8e E)	(3) 2	MEAN 1,9
Ω.	b.	Faculty lectures	21	5 <del>9</del>	16	4	10	80	10	2.0
2.2		Supervised practice (e.g, CPE, work in student's parish)	27	34	28	12_	26	72	2	1.8
2.1	d. (	Case studies	18	54	25	3	28	68	4	1.8
2, [	e. ]	Library research	15	58	25	3	48	51	1	1.5
1.8	f. /	Analysis/evaluation of ministry setting	34	50	15	1	30	68	ح	1.7
<del>ک</del> ٍ.¥	g. (	Career assessment	13	43	31	13	34	65	2	1.7
8.1	h. 0	Colleague/support roup	43	36	17	4	23	75	1	1.9
.7	i. P	eer or collegial	47	39	12	2	22	77	2	1.8
2.3	j. L	earning contract	27	34	54	15	18	79	2	1.8
٤.∓	k. C	ourse exams	5	39	33	23	14	<b>§</b> 2	4	1,9
ჴ.1	1. Q	ualifying exams	10	21	18	50	33	66	2	1.7
2,2	m. A	djunct faculty	19	46	29	6	10	83	7	2.0
2.6	n. O	ff-campus courses	15	36	28	22	15	78	7	2.0
2.2	18	nvolvement of aity in student's inistry setting	21	42	58	9	31	68	1	1.7

3. If your program offers courses away from the main seminary campus, how does the quality of off-campus education compare with D.Min. work offered on campus?

In general, compared to on-campus work,

4 -	Better	5
82	The same	77
14	Inferior	197
	No opportunity to judge	-
	Not applicable: no such courses	

\* PERCENTAGES BASED ONLY ON THOSE CHECKING BETTER SAME, OR INFERIOR (N=|Z|) 4. How would you assess the <u>overall</u> quality of the projects/theses you have seen from your D.Min. students?

9 Excellent

52 Good

33 Fair

S Poor

5. How would you assess the quality of each of the following elements or aspects of the majority of the project reports/theses of your D.Min. students?

			Excellent (/)	Good (2)	Fair (3)	Poor (4)	Not Applicable
MEAN			•	<b>4</b>	Φ,	(0)	MPDIICABIC
2.6	a.	Use of primary sources	9	40	35	16	
2,2	b.	Use of secondary sources	13	59	25	3	
2.6	c,	Use of theological methods	· 6	37	45	12	
2.6	d.	Use of methods and theory from the human sciences	6	39	43	12	
1.7	e,	Relevance for ministry set	ting 44	46	10	1	
2,6	f.	Demonstration of ministry skills	22	54	22	2	
2.4	g.	Evaluation component of project	11	46	32	n	
2.5	h.	Written expression	6	46	38	9	

6. What portion of your D.Min. students seem to you to be capable of carrying out their major project or thesis without undue difficulty?

2 All

54 Most

26 Half

[4 Some

나 Few

→ None

# III. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE STUDENTS

1. How often do you observe each of the following effects of involvement in the D.Min. program on students while they are involved in the program?

PI C	/gram:					No	
		Reg- ularly (1)	Fre- quently (2)	Occa- sionally (3)	Seldom Or Never	Opportunity to observe	MEAN
a.	Become distracted from their jobs by the demands of the program	2	10	51	37		3,2
b.	Show renewed commitment to their present job	13	60	25	2		2,2
с.	Have difficulty meeting academic demands and requirements	3	55	66	9		2.8
d.	Discover new capacities for critical inquiry	12	48	35	4		2.3
e.	Develop personal or family problems	1	2	36	62		3.6
f.	Discover new depth of collegial support with other pastors	27	45	25	Ч		2.1
g.	Develop conflicts in the ministry settings traces to their involvement in the D. Min. program		2	29	68		3.6
h.	Develop creative solution to significant problems conflicts in their ministry setting	or 9	43	46	3		2.4
i.	Other:	70	CHECKE	D OTHER			
j.	Other:	790	CHECKE	U VIIEK			

2. How often do you observe the following effects of the D.Min. program on students who have <u>completed</u> the D.Min. program?

						No	,
		Reg- ularly		Occa- sionally	Seldom Or Never	Opportunity to observe	MEAL
а.	Increased intellectual sophistication	10	(2) 44	38	(4) <b>9</b>		24
b.	Increased capacity for critical theological reflection	13	43	37	8		2.4
с.	Clearer understanding of their theology of ministry	28	<i>5</i> 1	19	3		2.0
d.	Increased spiritual depth	8	33	<b>5</b> ô	9		2.6
e.	Increased self-awareness	25	56	19	}		20
f.	Increased competence in the functions of ministry	25	53	21	1		20
g.	Increased enthusiasm about the ministry as a profession	27	54	18	2		1.9
h.	Renewed commitment to their <u>present</u> job	15	58	26	2		2.1
i.	Become restless and seek a new position	2	8	<b>5</b> 5	36		3.2
j.	Become weary of study	1	13	54	32		3.2
k.	Greater appetite for reading and study	7	51	39	3		2.4
1.	Greater self-confidence	20	62	17	l		2.0
m.	Greater involvement in ecumencial or denomination activities, or consulting with other churches		42	39	9	;	2.5
n.	Other:	) + 4%	CHECKE	OTHER			

- 3. Estimate the percentage of your D.Min students for which your program has the following effects.
  - 56 % Enables them to advance to a <u>distinctly</u> higher level of professional competence than is obtained in the M.Div.
  - May provide an opportunity for them to engage in structured continuing education, but does not raise their level of competence distinctly higher than that of most non-D.Min. clergy.

100%

## IV. EFFECTS OF THE DEGREE ON THE SEMINARY

1. To what extent, if at all, has your D.Min. program had each of the following effects on your institution?

						Don't	
		Great ()	Moderate (2)	Little (3)	None (4)	Know	MEAN
а,	The D.Min has given core faculty experience which enriches M.Div. teaching.	16	46	32_	7		2.3
b.	The D.Min. has drained attent and faculty energy from the M.Div. and other programs.	ion 7	36	47	10		2,6
с.	It has enabled us to make good use of fixed resources (tenure faculty, space, etc.) that were not being fully utilized before.	d ed 13	32.	32	23		2.6
d.	It has stretched teaching and advising loads beyond the optimum.	16	<b>3</b> 5	36	13		2.4
e.	It has provided new research areas and opportunities for some faculty.	6	41	40	13		2.6
f.	It has consumed faculty time that should have been used for research and writing.	9	33	47	И		2.6
8.	It has helped our institution to improve its financial situation through providing additional revenue.	[1	36	35	17		2.6

h.	<b>-</b> -	Great ()	Moderate (2)	Little (3)	None (4)	Don't <u>Know</u>	MEAU
11.	It has provided good public relations with our sponsoring denomination(s), graduates and others.	35	53	12	1		1.8
i.	It has enabled our institution to improve the quality of advanced continuing education for clergy.	40	46	[]	4		1,8
j.	It has provided us with a D.Mir alumni/ae group which is helpfu in our fund raising program.	1. 11 <b>7</b>	29	49	15		2.7
k.	It has weakened our institution's reputation for academic rigor.	1	10	26	61		3 <i>,5</i>

<sup>2.</sup> Which <u>one</u> of the following three statements best expresses your view of the relative effect of your D.Min. program on the financial well-being of the institution?

3. What do you believe is the <u>majority</u> attitude toward your D.Min. among each of the following groups:

		Very <u>Positive</u>	Somewhat <u>Positive</u>	Somewhat Negative	Very <u>Negative</u>	Don't <u>Know</u>	MEAN
a.	Administrators	<b>5</b> 5	42	3			1.5
b.	Board of Trustees (if	any) 55	43	2			1.5
c.	Alumni/ae and other external constituencie	,s 42	55	2			1.6
d.	M. Div. students	27	66	8	-		1.8
e.	Majority of faculty	26	56	16	_		2.0
f.	Yourself	48	35	14	_		1.7

<sup>27 []</sup> The D.Min is more "profitable" than other programs.

<sup>17</sup> [] It is <u>less</u> "profitable" than other programs.

<sup>56</sup> [] It has about the same financial impact as other programs.

4. Do you think that five years from now your institution will still offer the D.Min. degree?

92 Yes 8 No

a. If yes, how do you think the program in five years will compare with the present program in size? In quality?

Size will be:	Quality will be:
32 Larger 57 About the same (  Smaller	Higher 50 About the same 49 Lower 2

5. Given the current situation in your institution, what future for your D.Min, program do you think the majority of your institution's faculty would endorse? What future would you endorse?

<u>Faculty</u>		Yourself
20	To expand our program	27
67	To keep our program the same size	<i>5</i> 5
10	To decrease our program in size	12
4	To eliminate our program	6

6. What changes would you like to see in your D.Min. program?

	V. I	If you have taught a course in the last three years involving D.Min. students, please fill out this section. If you have not taught such a course, please check here [], and skip to VI. BACKGROUND.
TYPICAL	1	. In what form(s) have you taught D.Min. courses in the past three years? (Check all that apply)
29	23	a. Weekly, semi-weekly or more frequent meetings over the length of a quarter or semester
15	14	One week/five day intensives
23	19	Two week/10 day intensives
20	15	Longer than two week intensives (Specify length of time:)
13	12	Other (Specify:)
		or typically offer; and answer questions IC - IG below with regard to this most typical D.Min. course you teach.  c. How many classroom hours does the course require? 34 (MEAN)  d. Does it require student preparation before the course begins?  69 Yes 18 No 13 Varies  e. How much reading does this typical course require? 1300 pages (MEAN)  f. How many pages of work written by the student does this
		typical course require? 32 pages (MEAN)  g. Roughly, how much weight do you give to each of the following in
		determining a D.Min. student's grade in this typical course?
		29 % To class participation
		57% To student papers or project reports
		7 % To examinations
		<u>5</u> % Other:
		100%

2. In a typical D.Min. course you teach, what percentage of students are not D.Min. students? 17 %

If there is a mix of D.Min. and non-D.Min. students, does this mix have a positive, neutral or negative effect on each of the following groups/persons?

	<u>Positive</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Negative</u>
a. On the D.Min. students b. On the non-D.Min. students c. On you as the instructor	49 66 48	39 29 39	135)4

- 3. If you offer courses especially for D.Min. students, how would you compare the level of difficulty of these courses with advanced courses offered for your senior M.Div.'s?
  - 42 About the same level of difficulty.
  - 5) D.Min. courses are more advanced and difficult.
  - Q D.Min. courses are less difficult.
- 4. How many D.Min. students fail a typical D.Min. course you teach?
  - 73 None 22 One 4 Two 1 More than two
- 5. How frequently would each of the following kinds of reading materials be likely to appear as <u>required</u> reading on your typical D.Min. course syllabus?

		Almost Always	<u>In</u> Frequentl	frequent <u>Y</u>	ly <u>Never</u>
a.	Textbooks	49	19	22	10
ъ.	Scholarly books which may be readily purchased	61	35	3	1
с.	Popular or general audience book which may be readily purchased	<sup>(s</sup> 12	36	36	15
d.	Out-of-print materials or journa articles available only through a library	<sup>a1</sup> 16	35	35	16
e.	Duplicated materials supplied by the D.Min. office or by you as the instructor	39	37	16	8

6. Which of the above type of reading material dominates the required reading list of your typical D.Min. course?

29 A 59B 5C 2D 5E

7.	In general, do students complete the assigned reading for your D.Min. courses?
	24 Always 4 Sometimes - Never 7 Usually - Rarely   Don't know
8.	Do you require written reports on assigned readings in your D.Min. courses?
	39 Yes, always 45 Yes, sometimes 16 No, never
9.	To what extent do you employ different methods or styles of teaching in D.Min. courses than you use in advanced M.Div. courses?
	39 To a great extent 15 Not at all 40 To a limited extent 6 Do not teach advanced M.Div.
10.	To what extent has teaching in D.Min. courses changed your methods or style of teaching in M.Div. courses?
	6 To a great extent 34 Not at all 53 To a limited extent 7 Do not teach M.Div. courses
	a. If your M.Div. teaching has been affected, which of the following changes have you made in your M.Div. teaching? (Check all that apply.)  (N=  G )  59 Use more varied methods  41 Use more practical illustrations  64 Draw more on the students' own experiences  11 Present more simplified theoretical presentations  (Other:  Other:
VI. BA	CXCROUND:
1.	Your rank/title:  58 Professor 23 Associate Professor Il Assistant Professor Instructor Lecturer 7 Other:
2.	Your tenure status:  6 tenured  19 will be considered for tenure in the future  15 not eligible for tenure
3.	Are you an adjunct faculty member at the institution from which you received this questionnaire?     Yes 89 No

j

# FACULTY QUESTIONNAIRE

# FIELD/AREA/SUBJECT

Theology/Philosophy	20%
Bible	23
History	10
Ethics	3
Preaching and Worship	13
Social Sciences	2
Education	9
Pastoral Care	16
World Religious	3

# Respondent/Highest Degree Farned

Ph.D., TH.D.	75%
St.D.	. 2
Ed.D.	4
D.Min.	8
Rel BA or MA	7
Other Masters	4

- 4. Your highest earned degree: SEE PAGE 13A
- 5. Have you ever served as a full-time parish pastor/associate?

6. Have you ever served as a part-time or interim parish pastor?

7. For each of the following types of possible involvement in your institution's D.Min. program, please indicate whether you have been involved regularly (in most academic years); occasionally (every second or third year); rarely; or never.

		0	ccasion	_	
	·	Regularly	<u>ally</u>	<u>Rarely</u>	<u>Never</u>
a.	Teach course(s) primarily or exclusively for D.Min. students	, 26	29	14	30
b.	Teach course(s) in which D.Min. students, among others, may enr	.011 33	25	10	33
с.	Advise D.Min. students as they their programs		26	И	17
d.	Advise D.Min. students on their major project or theses	56	25	10	10
e.	Read and evaluate theses or major project reports	60	24	9	7
f.	Other:	82	13	3	3

- 8. Have you ever served on the committee that oversees the D.Min. program in your institution?
  - 18 Yes, as chair 45 Yes, as member only 37 No
- 9. How much would you say you know about your institution's D.Min. program?

10. Are you currently serving as academic dean? 14 Yes 83 No

**THANK YOU** for completing the questionnaire. Please seal it in the accompanying envelope and return it by **Wednesday**, **February 20th**, to the chief executive officer of your institution.

## I. ABOUT CONTINUING EDUCATION

Note: The following questions pertain to continuing education in general, not specifically to D.Min. programs.

<b>3</b> .	ic.	Below are listed some reasons why a minister may Please check how important each of these reasons shou	want to take p lld be for a min	part in a cont ister's taking p	oan in continui	ng education	l. I.
most Ime't	FOR	•	Very		Somewhat	Not	<u>MEAN</u>
19	14 19	<ol> <li>To update theological knowledge in an area in which he/she has fallen behind</li> <li>To pursue an area of theological interest</li> </ol>	Important 44 27	Important 43 53	Important  II  19	important 2.	1.7
52 13 2	59 5 3	<ol> <li>To improve practical skills such as preaching, counseling, administration, etc.</li> <li>For spiritual growth</li> <li>To broaden one's knowledge by studying in</li> </ol>	63 37	31. 40	<u>6</u> 19	9	1.4
_	4	non-theological areas such as economics, literature, sociology, etc.	오	32	<del>4</del> 5	14	2.6
	+	In general, which of the factors listed above should be take part in continuing education? Please write in the reason.  Which of the factors listed above was the most imporprogram? Please write in the number (from the list above).	ortant reason	om the list ab for your beco	ming involved	ost unportan	
	D.	Ministers, like others, have different needs and opportion how valuable do you think it is for ministers to pursu	ue confinuing	ntinuing edu education in	each of the foll	lowing ways	r, s?
		<ol> <li>In a program working toward a Ph.D. in a theological field</li> <li>In a program working toward a D.Min. degree</li> <li>In a program working toward a theological degree or certificate other than a Ph.D. or D.Min.</li> <li>In a degree program at a secular institution</li> <li>In non-credit seminars or workshops at a seminary or theological center</li> <li>In non-credit seminars at a secular institution</li> <li>In a travel-study program</li> <li>In independent study</li> <li>In a study group made up of local clergy</li> </ol>	Very Valuable  11 51 11 16 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	Somewhat Valuable Valuable HG G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G		MEN S.
	Ε.	On a spiritual retreat     Does your denomination or judicatory require its reach year?     32 Yes 68 No	<del></del>	a certain amo	ount of continu	ing educatio	
		2. In your opinion, should it require a certain amoun	t of continuing	g education?	77 Yes	<b>23</b> No	
		3. How much pressure is there on you to engage in		nuing educati ir Judicatory?	From your	congregation k setting?	
		<ol> <li>A great deal</li> <li>Some</li> <li>Little or none</li> </ol>	<u>]3</u> 3 5	<u>3</u> 6. 2.	a G	[] 28 6	

F.	Have you ta	ken part in a continuing education program since completing your D.Min. pro	gram?
	<u>86</u> Yes	<u>14</u> No	
	If yes: 1. W	hat kind of continuing education was it? In the left hand column, check as many	categories as apply
		the column on the right give an estimate of the <i>number of days</i> that you have arough May 1985.	spent or will spend mean of Those INDICATING ANY
		ipated	No. of Days
	<u>5</u> _9°	Formal program working toward a degree or certificate at a theological semi	inary <u>31</u>
	3	Formal program working toward a degree or certificate at a secular institution	on <u>29</u>
	<u>63</u>	Non-credit seminars or workshops at a seminary or theological center	
	<u>35</u>	Non-credit seminars or workshops at a secular institution	12
	27	Travel-study program	_23
	42	Independent study	20
	<u> 36</u>	Study group consisting of local clergy	<u> 15 </u>
	41	A spiritual retreat	10
	19	Other:	<u> </u>
	25 None 17 One 1. If study 2. If study 52 Ye Does your co		re
	1. If yes, w	that is the allowance? \$ 493 (per year) (MEAN)	
	2. If yes, is	the amount adequate? 54 Yes 46 No	
	3. If an allo	owance is provided, did you use it in 1984?	
	5 <del>7</del> _4	es, all of it 30 Yes, some of it 13 No, none of it	

MEAN

2.1

2.3

2.1

2.1

## II. ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DOCTOR OF MINISTRY DEGREE

III.

Note: In this section, we would like to have your opinions about the Doctor of Ministry program in general. Later we will ask you about the particular program in which you participated.

A. Listed below are several statements about the D.Mir	Strongly	the extern to	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Strongly
1. All other factors being equal, a minister with a	Agree (/)	Agree (2)	Disagree (3)	Disagree (4)
<ul> <li>D.Min. should be paid more than a minister who has only a M.Div. or B.D.</li> <li>All other factors being equal, a minister with a D.Min. should be hired (or appointed) in</li> </ul>	24	49_	<u> 24</u>	<u>3</u> _
preference to someone who has only a M.Div. or B.D.	15	41	39	<u>5</u> _
<ol> <li>A minister who has earned the D.Min. should be called "Dr." in public settings</li> <li>A minister who has a D.Min. degree is more</li> </ol>	17_	<u>56.</u>	22	4->
likely to be respected by other community leaders than if he/she did not have the degree  5. All other factors being equal, a minister who regularly engages in continuing education	18_	<u>&amp;</u>	22	8
should be hired (or appointed) in preference to someone who does not  6. All other factors being equal, regular partici- pation in continuing education should be	37	<b>5</b> 5	8_	1
given more weight in a hiring decision (or the appointive process) than whether a person has a D.Min. degree	17_	53	28	2_
B. Which one of the following two statements better better describes what you think your D.Min. pro	describes what gram actually wa	you think th s? Which be	e D.Min. sho tter describes	uld be? Which most D.Min.
programs? (Check one in each column.)				
programs? (Check one in each column.)	Should Be	My Pro Actuall		fost Programs Actually Are
programs? (Check one in each column.)  1. A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion	Should	My Pro	y Was	lost Programs
programs? (Check one in each column.)  1. A mark of distinction with selective admissions	Should Be	My Pro Actuall	y Was	fost Programs Actually Are
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> </ol>	Should Be 75 or 25	My Pro Actuall Or Or	y Was ) or	Actually Are
programs? (Check one in each column.)  1. A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion  2. Open to all clergy who want a structured	Should Be 75 or 25	My Pro Actuall Or Or	y Was ) or	Actually Are
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best describe the concept of a professional doctorate:</li> </ol>	Should Be 75. or 25. ses your opinion	My Pro Actuall or or 20 of the D.Min	y Was or . degree, in g	Actually Are  41  59 eneral?
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best describ The concept of a professional doctorate:         <ul> <li>is a sound one, and in general, all seminary</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Should Be 75. or 25. ses your opinion	My Pro Actuall or or 20 of the D.Min	y Was or . degree, in g	Actually Are  41  59 eneral?
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best describe the concept of a professional doctorate:</li> </ol>	should Be 7-5 or 25 oes your opinion  D.Min. program (not including my of Min. programs a	or  of the D.Min  of of the duca  y own) are of ducy  wn) are of ducy	or  degree, in gational experient dubious or poor	fost Programs Actually Are  59 eneral? ences of good poor quality r quality
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best describes a sound one, and in general, all seminary quality</li> <li>is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound, but most or all current seminary Discussion is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be</li> </ol>	should Be 7-5 or 25 oes your opinion  D.Min. program (not including my of Min. programs a	or  of the D.Min  of of the duca  y own) are of ducy  wn) are of ducy	or  degree, in gational experient dubious or poor	fost Programs Actually Are  59 eneral? ences of good poor quality r quality
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best describes a sound one, and in general, all seminary quality</li> <li>is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound, but most or all current seminary Discussion is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be no opinion</li> </ol>	should Be 75 or 25 or D.Min. program (not including my of the incl	or  of the D.Min  of of the duca  y own) are of ducy  wn) are of ducy	or  degree, in gational experient dubious or poor	fost Programs Actually Are  59 eneral? ences of good poor quality r quality
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> <li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li> <li>Which one of the following statements best described to the concept of a professional doctorate:         <ul> <li>is a sound one, and in general, all seminary quality</li> <li>is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound, but most or all current seminary Discussions is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be no opinion</li> </ul> </li> <li>INVOLVEMENT IN A D.MIN. PROGRAM</li> </ol>	should Be 75 or 25 or D.Min. program (not including my of the incl	or  of the D.Min  of of the duca  y own) are of ducy  wn) are of ducy	or  degree, in gational experient dubious or poor	fost Programs Actually Are  59 eneral? ences of good poor quality r quality
1. A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion  2. Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education  C. Which one of the following statements best described to the concept of a professional doctorate:  is a sound one, and in general, all seminary quality  52 is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound one, but some seminary programs is a sound, but most or all current seminary Dissumsound; the D.Min. degree should not be no opinion  INVOLVEMENT IN A D.MIN. PROGRAM  A. From which seminary did you receive your D.Min	should Be 7-5. or 2-5. or D.Min. program (not including my of Min. programs agiven) degree?	or  of the D.Min  s offer educa  y own) are of  wn) are of du  re of dubious	or  degree, in g  tional experient dubious or poors or poor qual	fost Programs Actually Are  59 eneral? ences of good poor quality r quality

C. Where did you take most of your D.Min. courses?

On campus 67% At off campus sites 33%

D. Which best describes your D.Min. program? (Check of the control of the cont	one.)				
General in overall focus  General in focus, but allowing for sor  Specialized in focus	ne specializati	ion			
Area or field of specialization (if any):		***************************************		<u> </u>	•
E. Before deciding to enroll in your D.Min. program, did Yes 63 No 37	l you investig	ate any other	D.Min. progi	rams?	
F. How important were each of the following reasons in	deciding on the Extremely Important	ne D.Min. pro Very Important	gram that yo Somewhat Important	Unimportant	MEAN
<ol> <li>Geographical proximity of the seminary</li> <li>Possibility of an off-campus program</li> <li>Content and focus of the program</li> <li>Reputation of the program</li> <li>Reputation of particular faculty teaching in</li> </ol>	32 29 57 47	22 18 36 36	13 6 14	22 4 1 3	2.4 2.7 1.5 1.7
the program 6. Cost of the program 7. Availability of financial aid 8. Denominational affiliation of seminary	37 12 6 17	36 28 13 21	21 42 26 30	6 18 55 32	1.9 2.7 3.3 2.8
<ol><li>Ease of completing program while working full time</li></ol>	32_	33.	<u>25</u>	11	2.1
<ul><li>10. Opportunity to join a D.Min colleague group forming in my area</li><li>11. Encouragement of denominational executive</li></ul>	18 6 64	1 <del>7</del> 10 3	15 16 12	50 68 22	3.0 3.5
G. In what way did denominational affiliation affect you use I wanted a D.Min. from a seminary of my own I wanted a D.Min. from a seminary or a denomination of the control	n denominatio	on.			
		titali lity ow.	••		
<ul> <li>Denomination was not a factor in my choice of</li> <li>H. What was the total amount charged by the seminary give total before any financial aid was deducted.)</li> </ul>	in tuition and	fees related to	your D.Min.	degree? (Pleas	se
Please estimate the total of all additional costs (e.g., tr				related to you	ır
I. Did you receive any financial aid grants or loans for y	your D.Min. p	orogram from:			
<ol> <li>The seminary?</li> <li>Your denomination?</li> <li>Your congregation or employer</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	8 54 54	0.1 0.8 0.5 2			
Total dollar amount of grants from all sources? \$  Total dollar amound of loans from all sources? \$		•			
J. How much of a financial burden did you find it was t			D.Min. prog	ram?	
6 Great burden 58 Moderate burden		or no burden	_		
K. How much of a time burden did you find it was to b			program?		
30 Great burden 64 Moderate burden	6 Little	or no burden			

# IV. D.MIN. PROGRAM EMPHASIS AND COMPONENTS

A: Listed below are a variety of emphases that D.Min. programs may have. For each, please indicate:

First, how much emphasis was placed on each in your D.Min. program.

Second, how valuable you found the emphasis to be for your overall personal, professional and intellectual growth. (If not applicable, circle 0.)

	intenectadi grovi di di					ł .				
	-	_	D.Min.	phasis in Program		Great	Some (2)	Value to Y Little (3)	ou None NA	MEAN
MEAN 2.1	Systematic, philosophical or historical theology	Much 20	50 50	Little 23	Nep*	ω 3 <u>0</u>	46 46	2 <u>L</u>	<u> </u>	2.0
1.5	Pastoral or practical theology	<u>59</u>		6_	2	60_	32	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1.5
2.1	3. Biblical studies	24	49	21	6	HT.	42	14	3	1.8
2.5	4. Ethics	12	ЯT	<u>33</u>	14	迂	44	3L	<u> </u>	23
29	5. Church history	6_	27	42	<u>25</u>	11_	34	40	16	2.6
2.5	6. Spiritual formation	14	<u>40</u>	30_	15	27	43	22	<u> </u>	2.1
2.3	7. Sociological theory	21	40	<u>28</u>	11	23	42	28	2 _	2.0
2.1	8. Psychological theory	27	<u>43.</u>	22	8	33_	<u> </u>	<u>2)</u>	5	2.0
2.0	9. Organizational development	38_	<u>36</u> _	17	9	42	37	15_	6	1.8
1.8	<ol> <li>Ministerial arts, practical studies (e.g., preaching, pastoral counselin Christian ed, etc.)</li> </ol>	<sup>8</sup> ′ <u>45</u>	37	14	4	54L	31_	12 ed more i	3	1.6

B. Which two (if any) of the above areas would you most have liked to have emphasized more in your D.Min. program? (Write appropriate numbers.) \_\_\_\_

C. Which two (if any) of the above areas would you most have liked to have emphasized less in your D.Min. program? (Write appropriate numbers.) \_\_\_\_

1. Systematic, Philosophical or Historical Theology 2. Pastoral or Practical Theology 3. Biblical Studies 4. Ethics 5. Church History 6. Spiritual Formation 7. Sociological Theory 7. Sociological Theory 8. Psychological Theory 9. Organizational Development 7. In Ministerial Arts, Practical Studies 13. In Ministerial Arts, Practical Studies		B. EMPHASIZED * MORE	C. Emphasized * Less
10.1.1.1.1	HISTORICAL THEOLOGY  2. PASTORAL OR PRACTICAL THEOLOGY  3. BIBLICAL STUDIES  4. ETHICS  5. CHURCH HISTORY  6. SPIRITUAL FORMATION  7. SOCIOLOGICAL THEORY  8. PSYCHOLOGICAL THEORY	10 15 17 7 3 19 3 6 7	6 5 9 15

\* PERCENTAGES BASED ON COMBINED FIGURES FOR BOTH AREAS INDICATED

D. Listed below are a variety of structures and methodologies common to many D.Min. programs. For each, please indicate:

First, the amount of use or emphasis that each received in your D.Min. program.

Second, how valuable you found the structure/methodology to be for your own personal and professional learning. (If not applicable, circle 0.) Extent of Emphasis in Your

		LAIC		Program	1041			Value to			
CEAN		Much	Some	Little	None	Great	Some	Little	None	NA	MEAN
1,4	1. Seminars	<u>68</u>	24	5_	3_	<u>68</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>4</u> _			1.4
1,8	2. Faculty lectures	<u>39</u>	45	16_	<u> 4</u>	46_	43_	10			1.7
2.3	<ol><li>Supervised practice (e.g., CPE, work in student's parish)</li></ol>	35	24	18	<u>22</u>	49_	26	16_	10_		1.8
2.1	4. Case studies	27			<u> </u>	35	40	<u>20</u>	<i>5</i> _		1.9
1.9	5. Library research	37	43.	17.	<u>3</u> _	<u>40</u> .	41	14	<u>5</u> _		1.8
1.8	6. Analysis/evaluation of ministry setting	埕	3L	16_	<u> </u>	48	31_	16_	<u>5</u> _		1.8
2.8	7. Career assessment	9	3L	36_	25	13_	<u>35</u>	32	17		4.5
2.0	8. Colleague/support group	39.	<u>3</u> [	17	12	43_	34	18	6		1.9
2.0	9. Peer or collegial learning	<u>38</u>	35	17	9_	43_	<u>35</u>	<u> 18</u>	<u>5</u> _		1.9
2.7	10. Learning contract	16	<u>32</u>	<u>24</u>	39	18	36	<u>29</u>	17		2,5
2.7	11. Course exams	14	34	23	<u>30</u>	9_	38	<u> 34</u>	19_		2.6
2.7	12. Qualifying exams	19	24	19_	37.	16_	33	26	25		2,6
2.2	13. Involvement of laity from your ministry setting	33.	30_	13-	21	42	<u>33</u>	15_	10.		1.9

E. Which two (if any) of the above areas would you most have liked to have emphasized more in your D.Min. program? (Write appropriate numbers.)

F. Which two (if any) of the above areas would you most have liked to have emphasized less in your D.Min. program? (Write appropriate numbers.)

program? (Write appropriate numbers.)		
	E. EMPHASIZED MORE *	F. EMPHASIZED LESS *
1, SEMINARS	10%	<del>- 7</del>
2. FACULTY LECTURES	9	<u> </u>
3. SUPERVISED PRACTICE	<u>_ [2</u>	<u> </u>
4. CASE STUDIES	<del>-</del>	
E LIBRARY RESEARCH	_5	<del></del>
G ANALYSIS EVALUATION OF	11	
MINISTRY SETTING		G
7 CAREER ASSESSMENT	<u> 15 _</u>	
O COLLEAGUE SUPPORT GROUP	_8	
9. PEER OR COLLEGIAL LEARNING	<u>   5                                 </u>	<del></del>
10. LEARNING CONTRACT	<u>4</u>	<u>-8</u>
II, COURSE EXAMS	1	<u>. 1<del>7</del></u>
12. QUALIFYING EXAMS	L	10
12 INVOLVEMENT OF LATTY FROM		3
YOUR MINISTRY SETTING	₩ PERCENTAG	GES BASED ON COMBINED FIGURES
( with	6 FOR BOTH	AREAS INDICATED
	_	

G.	. How would you evaluate the overall quality	of teaching in ye	our D.Min. pro	gram by	•		Not
_	•		Excellent	Good	Fair		Applicable
	1. Full-time faculty from the ser	ninary	<del>7</del> 1		<u>2</u> _		<del></del> -
	2. Adjunct faculty		50	40	10	1	
H.	. Many D.Min. programs have rules about co imum periods of time one can spend in va- guidelines and rules: (Check one.)	ompletion of assi rious program p	gnments within hases. In the p	n specifie rogram	ed time p you atter	eriods nded v	and max- vere these
	38% Always strictly enforced 52. Usually enforced 5 Enforced in some courses/ 3 Rarely enforced and/or eas 2 Program had no such guid	elines or rules	or extended				
I.	In general, did you complete the assigned re	eading for your l	D.Min. courses	i?			
	66Always	etimes ly	Never				
J.	Thinking back to your B.D./M.Div. course v B.D./M.Div. courses to the courses in your	work, how woul	d you compare	the leve	l of diffic	ulty of	fadvanced
	About the same level of difference D.Min. courses were more D.Min. courses were less of	difficult					ahaamia in
K	C. How would you assess the level of ability your program? What percent would you s	of those D.Min ay were persons	. students you of:	had an	opportu	nity to	observein
	1. 45 % great ability 2. 44 % moderate ability 3. 11 % limited ability 100%						
L	L. What priority did you perceive that the D.	Min. program a	nd students rec	reived fro	om facult	y?	
	21 Highest 63 High	14 Moderate	Low	1	Lowest -		
N	M. What priority did you perceive that the D	Min. program a	and students re	ceived fi	rom adm	inistrat	tion?
		21 Moderate	4 Low		Lowest		٠.
1	N. Think of a typical D.Min. course that you	took.	_	_	<b>\</b>		
	<ul><li>N. Think of a typical D.Min. course that you</li><li>1. How many students do you estim</li></ul>	ate were in this	course? <u>18</u>	_(m€Ar	N) RA	NGE	, 1 - 10
	2. Do you feel that the size of this cla	ass was too large	e, about right or	too sma	11?		
	6 Too large 94 About r	·	Too small		• • •		
	3. About what percentage of studen	its in this class w	rere not D.Min.	student	s? <u>11</u>	<u></u> %	
	O. Do you think it is a good idea to have not	n-D.Min. studer	nts in D.Min. co	ourses?			
	Yes, in all courses Yes, in some courses No, never						

P. How easy was it for you to obtain needed reading materials for:

	Usually Easy	Mixed	Usually Difficult
1. Courses	83	15_	2
2. Major project/thesis	64	32	5_

Q. What was the nature of your final project/thesis for your D.Min. degree?

38 A dissertation in scholarly form on a theological and/or practical topic

An extended essay, without full scholarly apparatus, on a theological and/or practical topic

54 An experiment or project in the local setting, followed by a written project report

2 Other

R. What was the primary focus of your D.Min. major project/thesis? Describe it in a sentence in the space below.

S. In carrying out your major project/thesis, how much use did you make of each of the following:

Seminary library at your institution	Very Buch 43	827° 38	12	None T	1.8
Nearby seminary or college library	<u> 58</u>	<u>35</u>	18	20	23
3. Public library	<u> []</u>	<u>3!</u>	<u> 32</u>	27	2,7
4. Your own library	<u>53</u>	<u> 39</u>	<del>了</del> _	1_	1.6

T. In formulating, implementing and writing your major project/thesis, to what extent would you say that you drew on each of the following types of resources? (Please try to make distinctions regarding the relative use made of each.)

1.	Your present faith commitments and values	Very Much	5gr* 23	191° 3	Nane 1	MEAN 1.4
2.	The Bible and methods of Biblical study	42	42	14	2	1.8
3.	Examples/ideas from the history and tradition of the church	<u>25</u>	45	25	5	2.1
4.	Your past experience in similar ministry situations	38	42	<u>16</u>	5_	1.9
5.	Prayer and meditation	14	<u> 39</u>	40	8	2,4
6.	Content and methods of theology and ethics	26	46	24	<u>3</u>	2.0

7. Literature, philosophy, the arts	Very much	Some 33	Little 44	None 12	MEAN 2.6
<ol> <li>Theory and methods from the human sciences (psychology, sociology, organizational development, etc.)</li> </ol>	<u>56</u>	<u>32</u>	10	2	1.6
<ol><li>An analysis you developed of your ministry setting and your role in it</li></ol>	<u>6</u> L	27	9	<u>3</u>	1.5
10. Consultation with other clergy	19	46.	29	<del>7</del> _	2.2
11. Consultation with other professionals	27	47.	<u>2</u> L	6	2.0
<ol> <li>Consultation with laity in your ministry setting</li> </ol>	<u>36</u>	<u>39</u>	16.	9_	2.0
U. How would you rate the preparation yo	ur D.Min. p	rogram gav	e you to ur	ndertake the	major project/thesis?
ExcellentC	Good	Fair		_Poor	
V. How much did you consult the following	kinds of so	urces or tex	ts in prepai	ring your D.I	Min. project or thesis?
	Very Muc	h Some	Little	None (4)	MEAN
1. Original sources and texts	43	34_	14	5_	1.8
2. Scholarly secondary literature	42	48_	9		1.7
<ol> <li>Works on ministry and theology intended for a general audience (i.e., non-scholarly)</li> </ol>	18	46	<u>30</u>	6_	2.2
W. Overall, how would you assess the ben	efits of the n	najor projed	t/thesis?		
37% The most valuable feature of my 56 Very valuable, but not the most valuable Somewhat valuable Of no value	D.Min. prog aluable feati	gram are of my D	.Min. prog	gram	
X. To what extent have the skills and abilit continuing ministry?	ies required	to comple	te your pro	ject or thesi	s been of use in your
57 To a great extent 4 Of little 38 To some extent 1 Of no a	e use 1se at all				
Y. How much difficulty did you have, if a program: (If not applicable, circle 0.)	ny, in keepi	ng oi. sche	dule at eac	th of the foll	owing points in your
While taking courses	Great Difficulty	50me (2) 31	Little (3) 31_	Difficulty (4)	na <u>mean</u> 3.0
<ol><li>While preparing for and taking qualifing exams</li></ol>	y- <u>3</u>	26	35	36	3.0
<ol> <li>While preparing a project/thesis proposal</li> </ol>	15	44	<u>25</u>	16	2,4
4. While writing the project or thesis	<u>24</u>	43	19	14	2,2
5. Other (specify):	<del>7</del> 2	10	13	5	1.5

### V. EXPERIENCES DURING AND SINCE INVOLVEMENT IN D.MIN. PROGRAM

A. To what extent would you say that each of the following was true for you during the time you were involved in your D.Min. program?

1	. Became distracted from my job by the demands of the program	Very Much	Somewhat 33	ALittle (3)	Notat All (4) 21	2.8
2	Experienced renewed commitment to my job	46	39_	12	3_	1.7
3	. Had difficulty meeting academic demands and requirements	2	21	36	<u>42</u>	3.2
4	Discovered new capacities for critical inquiry	40_	44	13_	3_	1.8
5	Developed personal or family problems traceable to my D.Min. involvement	<u> 4</u>	9	19	<u>69</u>	3.5
6	. Discovered new depth of collegial support with other pastors	<u> 26</u>	30	<u>30</u>	14	2.3
7	. Developed conflict(s) in my ministry setting traceable to my D.Min. involvement	2	主	16	<del>7</del> 5	3.6
8	<ul> <li>Developed creative solutions to significant problems or conflicts in my ministry setting</li> </ul>	32	40	<u>20</u>	8_	2.0

B. Listed below are several possible changes that can occur as a result of participation in a D.Min. program. Please assess to what extent you believe each has occurred for you as a result of having participated in the program.

	·	Great	Moderate (2)	A little	Not at all	MEAN
1.	Gained increased intellectual sophistication	23	59	16_	<u>2</u>	2.0
2.	Gained increased capacity for theological reflection	<u>30</u>	53	16	1	1.9
3.	Gained clearer understanding of your theology of ministry	<u>56</u>	34	9	1	1.5
4.	Gained increased spiritual depth	17	41	36.	6	2.3
5.	Gained increased self-awareness	40	<u>44</u>	15	1	1.8
6.	Improved your worship leadership	16	<u>34</u>	33	18_	2.5
7.	Became a better preacher	17	41	29	13	2,4
8.	Became better at management	27	<u>39</u>	<u> 26</u>	9_	2.1
9.	Improved your counseling abilities	27	<u>33</u>	<u>58</u>	12	2.2
10.	Became a better teacher	24	<u>46</u>	<u>24</u>	6	2.1
11.	Increased your skills as a spiritual director/guide	15	<u>43</u>	<u>30</u>	12	2,4
12.	Gained a deeper understanding of how congregations/organizations work	<u>37</u>	31	22	10	2.0
13.	Became a more effective leader in the community	17	<u>34</u>	<u>32</u>	17	2.5

		Great	Moderate	A little	Not at all	MEAN
14.	Improved your skills in program development	24	<u>46</u>	22	8_	2.1
15.	Have a renewed commitment to	<u>35</u>	38_	<u> 16</u>		2.0
16.	your present job  Became restless and sought (or are	_8_	11_	17	64	ε.ε
17.	seeking) a new job  Became wearv of study	3_	13_	<u> 36</u>	<u>48</u>	3.3
18.	Have greater appetite for reading and study	<u>20_</u>	44_	<u>30</u>	6_	2.2
19.	Have greater self-confidence	40	<u>47</u>	10_	2	1.7
20.	Increased your ability to set priorities	24	<u>49</u>	23_	_5	2.1
21.	Increased your ability to analyze problems that arise in your ministry	<u>36_</u>	45_	15_	_4_	1.9
22.	Increased your ability to evaluate your performance	31	<u>51</u>	16_	3_	1.9
23.	Increased your ability to evaluate programs in which your congregation/ministry setting is	<u>35</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>15</u>	<u> </u>	1.9
24.	engaged Increased your ability to relate to	21	<u>46</u>	25	_8_	2.2
25.	Other professions  Increased your involvement in	18.	29	32	21	2.6
	ecumenical or denominational activities, or consulting with other churches					

C. If you had it to do over again, what decision would you make about enrolling in a D.Min. program?

91% I would enroll in the same program
7 I would enroll in a different program
3 I would not enroll in any D.Min. program

D. During the time of your participation in a D.Min. program, what proportion of persons in your congregation or ministry setting would you estimate knew you were involved in a D.Min. program?

3 Few 48 Most 13 Some None 35 All

E. Among those who knew of your involvement, what was the majority opinion?

719 Most were enthusiastic

20 Most were indifferent

Most would have preferred that I were not involved

Opinions were thoroughly mixed

F. While you were involved in the D.Min. program, what happened in the following areas in your congregation/setting? If you served in more positions during that time, refer to the one you served longer. (If not applicable, circle 0.)

Improved or Stayed the Declined or Stayed the Stayed the

i.)	Improved or Increased	Stayed the Same	Declined or Worsened	NA	MEAN
Morale in the ministry setting	50.	46	5_		1,5
2. Quality of program	<u>62</u>	_ 37	1_		1.4
3. Amount of program	<b>35</b> _	62	3_		1.7
4. Lay involvement	<u>59</u> _	<u>40</u>	1_		1.4
5. Organizational effectiveness	55	42	3_		1.5
Clarity of purpose of the ministry setting	<u>62</u>	36	2		1.4
7. Quality of relationships	55	41	<u>4</u>		1.5

## VI. SOME GENERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MINISTRY

A. A variety of factors affect a minister's status as a leader in a congregation or other setting in which he/she works. How important is each of the following factors for your confidence in yourself as a leader? How important for the lay people with whom you work are the following qualities or credentials for their acceptance of your ministry? (Note: Since it is unlikely that everything can be of highest importance, please try to make distinctions in the importance of the factors.)

Importance

	districtions in the importance of the an		for Yo nfidence I	DUT	f	c	for Those ongregati	in Your on/Setting	3	
MEAN	a. Outherston	Highest	70 F	583° 20	Little (4)	Highest	元 風	Some (3)	Little 3	MEAN 1.7
2.0	1. Ordination					30	50_	17	3	1,9
1.8	2. A basic seminary degree	34	51	<u>13</u>	<del>_</del>				11	
1.9	3. An earned advanced degree	<u> 28</u>	54_	15.	2	15.	34	<u>40</u>	<u> </u>	2.5
1.4	<ol> <li>Competence in the various tasks of ministry</li> </ol>	58_	<u>40</u>	2		46_	47	6	1	1.6
1.5	5. A clear sense of call from God	<u>63</u>	<u> 26</u>	9_	3	50_	34	15	<u>규</u>	1.7
1.4	6. Personal faith	68	<del>27</del>	4	1_	59_	34	<u>&amp;</u> _	<u>2</u> _	1,5
1.8	7. Ability to inspire faith in others	35	53	11_	1	51	41_	3_		1.6
8.1	<ol><li>Depth of learning and ability to think critically</li></ol>	34	55.	11_	1_	11_	39	45	5_	2.5
1.3	9. Fairness, integrity, personal honest	v 69	29	3		63	<u>33</u>	<u> </u>		1,4
1.5	<ol> <li>An open, affirming style of dealing with others</li> </ol>	5 <u>53</u>	42	.5_	1	41_	49	9_	1	1.7
1.5	11. Capacity to show pastoral concern	53	41_	6	1	61_	35	4	1	1.4
2,4	12. Physical appearance	9	48	37	<u>&amp;</u>	8	<del>47</del>	<u>39</u>	_5_	2.4
2,1	<ol> <li>Continuing support by the official governing board of your congrega tion/setting</li> </ol>	- 22	<u>54</u>	19	5_	23	49	21	王	2.1
2.7	<ol> <li>Continuing support of a judicator official or body</li> </ol>	y <u>8</u>	37	<u>38</u>	18	6	<u>25</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>32</u>	2.9
2.4	15. Recognition of your clergy peers	<u> </u>	45	<u>39</u>	9	13_	25	44	<u> 58</u>	3.0

В.	Looking back over the preceding list, write in the number of the one factor which is most important for your confidence in yourself as a leader.
C.	To what extent is each of the following true for you?

		Always	Often	Occasionally	Never	MEAN
1.	I feel that I am really accomplishing something in my ministry	<u>20</u>	70	10	1	1.9
2.	I feel successful in overcoming difficulties and obstacles in my ministry	Ш	76	14	0	2.0
3.	I frequently seek the advice and input of other ministerial colleagues in my work	8_	36	54	3	2.5

D. Who should be the primary evaluators of clergy? (Check one.)

15% Ecclesiastical supervisor or superiors 26 Clergy peers 59 Laity in the ministry setting

E. When you encounter new or unusual problems in ministry, on which of the following resources do you typically draw?

		Very			Rarely or	
		Often (i)	Often (2)	Sometimes	Never (4)	WEAN
1.	Your present commitments and values	59	38_	3_	0	1.4
2.	The Bible	<u>33</u>	40	25	2	2.0
3.	Examples/ideas from the history and tradition of the church	6	<u>33</u> .	<u>52</u>	9_	2.6
4.	Your past experience in similar ministry situations	38	<b>53</b> .	9	1	1.7
5.	Prayer and meditation	35	41	21	<u>3</u>	1,9
6.	Content and methods of theology and ethics	<u>6</u>	<u>30</u>	56	8_	2,7
7.	Literature, philosophy, the arts	2_	10	53_	35	3.2
8.	Theory and methods from the human sciences (psychology, sociology, organizational development, etc.)	19	42	<u>33</u>	6	2.2
9.	Your understanding of your ministry setting and your role in it	<u>50</u> .	43	3	6	1.6
10.	Consultation with other clergy	12	38	44	5_	2.4
11.	Consultation with other professionals	9	<u>30</u>	49	11	2.6
12.	Consultation with laity in your ministry setting	22	46	28	4	2.1

F. How strong is your commitment to the ordained ministry as your vocation?

75 Very strong 26 Moderately strong 5 Vacillating
1 Quite weak

1 No commitment; ready to change

G.	If you could make the choice again	in, would you ente:	r the ordained ministry?
	24 Definitely yes 27 Probably yes	6 Uncertain 2 Probably no	Definitely no
H.	How certain are you that the ord	ained ministry is th	ne right profession for you?
	73 Very certain 23 Moderately certain	Moderately un Very uncertain	ncertain n
I.	How seriously, if at all, have you	thought during the	last year about leaving the ordained ministry?
	Never thought about 48 Not at all seriously	it  Very seriously;	Somewhat seriously Quite seriously; considering it now trying to leave
J.	Throughout your ministerial care	er, would you say	you have been:
	29 Highly innovative 59 Moderately innovative	/e <u>10</u> Sli /e <u>3</u> Ha	ightly innovative ave generally stuck to traditional methods
VII.	BACKGROUND		
Α.	What was your primary position	at the time you beg	gan your D.Min. program? (Check one.)
	50% Sole pastor of a congre Senior pastor with oth Associate/assistant pa Minister of education Pastoral counselor on Pastoral counselor in p Denominational staff of Staff or executive of ed Seminary faculty/adm	stor with general d in a congregation staff of a congregat private practice or v or executive tumenical agency inistrator	on staff luties tion with a counseling center
	In what year did you begin th		
В.	What is your current primary pos	ition? (Check one.)	)
	5 Different position from	. above <i>; different co</i> : n A. above: <i>same</i> co	regation or organization as in A. above. Ingregation or organization from A. above. Ingregation or organization as in A. above. It congregation or organization from A. above.
	If your current primary position is		
	Staff or executive of e Seminary faculty/adr	her ordained clergy astor with general of in a congregation is staff of a congrega private practice or or executive cumenical agency ninistrator	y on staff duties ation with a counseling center
	In what year did you begin th	nis position? 19 $\frac{1}{2}$	<u>ro</u> (mean)
C.	Since ordination, in how many difficience positions that represent your	ferent, primary posit major ministerial c	tions have your worked (i.e., full-time positions or part- commitment)?

How many of these positions were as a parish minister? 3.6 (MEAN)

D. What is your approximate annual, before tax, cash sala an estimate of the fair rental value of your pasonage.)	iry? (Inc	lude any	housing allowance that you receive or
At the time you began your D.Min. program \$		54	(mEAN)
2. Currently 5 30, 217	•		
·	,	*.1	
E. At the time you began your D.Min. program, how satisfie			the primary position you then held?
48 43Very satisfied Moderately satisfied8 2 Very display	sfied Issatisfied	i	
F. At the time you began your D.Min. program, did your pri pression of your talents for ministry?	mary pos	sition off	er you maximum opportunity for ex-
47 Yes, definitely 39 Yes, to some d	egree	14 2	No, not really
G. If, at the time you began your D.Min. program and/please answer each of the following by checking the	or curren appropria	itly, you ate categ	serve(d) in a parish ministry position, ory for:
(1) Your congregation at the time you began y	our D.M	in. prog	ram.
(2) Your current congregation (whether the sa	ıme or di	fferent).	
(3) Your immediate past parish (answer only	if differer	nt from o	ne and two).
a. Membership of congregation:	(1) At Entry	(2) Current	(3) Past
. 1. Less than 100	م	u	
2. 100-19 <del>9</del>	19 28 21 9	14	7 17 25 21 10 20
3. 200-3 <del>99</del> 4. 400-6 <del>99</del>	<u>2</u> 1	14 27 25 12	21
5. 700-999 6. 1000 plus	9 14	<u>12.</u> 19.	30 10
b. Size of community in which	(1)	(2)	(3)
congregation located:	At Entry	Current	Past
<ol> <li>Under 2,500 (rural, open country)</li> <li>2,500-10,000 (town)</li> </ol>	11	6	<u>12.</u> .
3. 10,000-50,000 (small city)	26	28	31
4. 50,000+ (metro suburb) 5. 50,000-250,000 (medium city)	11	12. 16.	12
6. 250,000+ (large city)	19	21	13
c. The congregation is/was:			
Growing and developing	39%	54	30
Holding its own     Generally declining	46 15	38	52
d. Approximate proportion of members	-	<b>8</b> ve/had c	18 ollege degrees:
1. Less than 10%	21	12	20
2. 10%-25%	29	25	34
3. 25%-50% 4. 50%-75% 5. 75% or more	24	29	23
5. 75% or more	16 11	18	16 8
H. Acknowledging that broad categories are at best imp American Christianity which one of the following best	erfect ap	proxima	tions, within the broad spectrum of
4 Very Liberal 46 Moderate	. aestriot		y Conservative
25 Liberal 22 Conservative		vei	Conscivative

I. In what year were you born? 19 37 J. Year ordained? 19 63
K. Denomination in which ordained?
L. Current denomination?
M. What is your race/ethnicity?
94     White/Anglo     4     Black     I Native American       1     Asian American     I Hispanic     Other:
N. Citizenship? 97 US 2 Canadian Other:
O. Gender: 96 Male 4 Female
P. Which of the following degrees do you hold? (Check all that apply.)
90 B.D. or M.Div. Seminary:
State or Province:  3 M.R.E.  8 M.A.  9 S.T.M./Th.M.  1 Th.D./S.T.D./Ph.D.  1 Honorary Doctorate (D.D., L.L.D., etc.)  6 Other (except for D.Min.):
Single, never married 90 Married 2 Widowed.
R. Has your marital status changed since you began your D.Min. program?
9 Yes 9 No If yes, please indicate how it has changed.
S. In what state did you live when you began your D.Min. program?
T. In what state do you currently live?
U. What was your college grade average?
6 A 30 B+ 19 B- 1 C
11 A - 20 B - 7 C+ Less than C
V. What was your seminary grade average?
10 A 37 B+ 7 B- 2 C
24 A- 18 B 1 C+ Less than C

\_

### VIII. IMAGES OF PASTORAL MINISTRY

**NOTE:** The following questions are to be completed by *PARISH CLERGY ONLY*. Non-parish clergy have completed the questionnaire.

A. Listed below are several images or dominant roles in terms of which clergy variously orient their ministry. Please rate each of the role images in terms of its appropriateness as a description of your ministry.

c Congreation: n preaching and ongregation with a comes in productive and n relating the oys being on the nd involvement in stry around work liping them relate to the Gospel; ty of interest and					2.0
n relating the oys being on the nd involvement in stry around work lping them relate o the Gospel;					
oys being on the nd involvement in stry around work liping them relate the Gospel;					2.8
lping them relate the Gospel;					
			· ——	<del></del>	2.0
me in leading the appreciation for rmal and informal					2.2
elopment of the gation; works n pursuing spiritual spiritual life is					2.2
haring the Gospel nurch; developing ss is a primary task			<del></del>		2.3
r part of each week ng in homes and/or elping people face			<del></del>		1,9
Iment in civic roles		<del></del>			2,7
1	r part of each weeking in homes and/orelping people face	r part of each week ng in homes and/or elping people face lment in civic roles community com-	r part of each week ng in homes and/or elping people face  lment in civic roles community com-	r part of each week ng in homes and/or elping people face  lment in civic roles community com-	r part of each week ng in homes and/or elping people face  lment in civic roles community com-

20 No

90 Yes

# (ALL #5 ARE PERCENTAGES UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED)

## I. ABOUT CONTINUING EDUCATION

Note: The following questions pertain to continuing education in general, not specifically to D.Min. programs.

		We. The following does not be better in a	_				
		Below are listed some reasons why a minister may Please check how important each of these reasons shou	na oc ioi aa.s	part in a continuister's taking p	nuing educat art in continui Somewhat	ion program ing education Not	
IMPORTANT REASON			Very Important	Important	Important	Important (4)	ME
17	12	<ol> <li>To update theological knowledge in an area in which he/she has fallen behind</li> </ol>	<u> 4</u> L_	<u>43</u>		1	1.9
12	18	2. To pursue an area of theological interest	30 66	59 21 43	15. 18. 5.	-{	1,5
55	61	3. To improve practical skills such as preaching, counseling, administration, etc.	66_	24_			1
14	9	4. For spiritual growth	45	<del>37</del>	<u> 17</u>		1.5
14	1.3	<ol> <li>To broaden one's knowledge by studying in non-theological areas such as economics,</li> </ol>		<del></del>			
		literature, sociology, etc.	9	27	46_	18_	2.3
	C.	In general, which of the factors listed above should be take part in continuing education? Please write in the reason.  Which of the factors listed above was the most imperform? Please write in the number (from the list at Ministers, like others, have different needs and opposite the state of the state	ortant reason	for your beconst important	ming involve	d in a D.Min —— eral, howeve	r. er,
		how valuable do you think it is for ministers to purs	Very	Cuucanon	Somewhat	Not	
		<ol> <li>In a program working toward a Ph.D. in a theological field</li> <li>In a program working toward a D.Min. degree</li> <li>In a program working toward a theological</li> </ol>	Valuable (1) <u>10</u> 50	Valuable 2) 33 44	Valuable 47 -5	Valuable (박) 	mE 21.
		degree or certificate other than a Ph.D. or D.Min.  4. In a degree program at a secular institution 5. In non-credit seminars or workshops at a	10 3 17	50 30 51	<u>36</u> <u>58</u> 30.	<u>4</u>	2.
		seminary or theological center  6. In non-credit seminars at a secular institution		30_			
		7. In a travel-study program	5 14 15 24	90 90 95	57 42	9 7 1 4 0	びとびられ
		In independent study     In a study group made up of local clergy	<u>. 14</u> _	45	3 <del>7</del> 38	_ <del>'4</del> _	2.
		10. On a spiritual retreat	24	48	<u> 38</u>	_2_	. 2

E. 1. Does your denomination or judicatory require its ministers to do a certain amount of continuing education

2. In your opinion, should it require a certain amount of continuing education?

28 Yes

each year?

F.	How much annual study leave (excluding sabbatical) does your congregation or employer provide?
	None 45 Two Weeks 9 Four Weeks 4 Six Weeks or more  12. One Week 7 Three Weeks 1 Five Weeks  1. If study leave time is provided, is the amount adequate?  65 Yes 35No
	2. If study time is provided, did you use it in 1984?  79 Yes, all of it 18 Yes, some of it 3 No, none of it
G.	Does your congregation or employer provide funds for you to use in paying the cost of continuing education, such as for tuition, travel, etc? 75 Yes 25 No
	1. If yes, what is the allowance? \$ 662 (per year) - MEAN \$ AMOUNT
	2. If yes, is the amount adequate? 47 Yes 53 No
	3. If an allowance is provided, did you use it in 1984?
	Yes, all of it 14 Yes, some of it 5 No, none of it
	TO THE OF MANUETTY DECREE

# II. ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DOCTOR OF MINISTRY DEGREE

Note: In this section, we would like to have your opinions about the Doctor of Ministry program in general. Later we will ask you about the particular program in which you participated.

A. Listed below are several statements about the D.Min. Please indicate the extent to which you agree with each.

All other factors being equal, a minister with a	Strongly Agree	Agree (2)	Disagree (3)	Disagree MEAN
D.Min. should be paid more than a minister who has only a M.Div. or B.D.	<u>23</u>	<u>45</u>	29_	4 2.1
All other factors being equal, a minister with a D.Min. should be hired (or appointed) in preference to someone who has only a M.Div. or B.D.	14_	<u> 36</u>	46	<u>5</u> 2.4
A minister who has earned the D.Min. should be called "Dr." in public settings A minister who has a D.Min. degree is more	12_	50.	30	<u>8</u> . 2,3
likely to be respected by other community leaders than if he/she did not have the degree	12	57	<u>29</u>	2 2.2
All other factors being equal, a minister who regularly engages in continuing education should be hired (or appointed) in preference to someone who does not All other factors being equal, regular partici-	35	53	11_	1.8
pation in continuing education should be given more weight in a hiring decision (or the appointive process) than whether a person has a D.Min. degree	<u>2</u> L	<u>52</u>	<u>25</u>	2 2.0

B. Which one of the following two statements better describes what you think the D.Min. should be? Which better describes what you think your D.Min. program actually was? Which better describes most D.Min. programs? (Check one in each column.)

programs: (Check the in each column)	Should Be	My Program Actually Was	Most Programs Actually Are
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> </ol>	or <u>66</u>	or <u>68</u>	or <u>32</u>
<ol><li>Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education</li></ol>	34	<u>32</u>	<u>68</u>

C. Which one of the following statements best describes	your opinion	of the D.Min.	degree, in ge	eneral?	
The concept of a professional doctorate:					
is a sound one, and in general, all seminary D.1 quality is a sound one, but some seminary programs (notes is a sound one, but some seminary programs (in it is sound, but most or all current seminary D.Min is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be given to opinion	ot including n icluding my o n. programs a	ny own) are ol wn) are of du	f dubious or p bious or poor	oor quality quality	
III. INVOLVEMENT IN A D.MIN. PROGRAM					
A. At which seminary are you enrolled in a D.Min. progr	am?				
Seminary:	<u> </u>				Þ
State or Province:					
B. In what year did you enter?Do you plan to	graduate?	(year	;)	<b>&gt;</b> 1970 - 19∓ 197	78   8 79   6
C. In the program in which you are enrolled, where have				1 /-	T /   40
67 On campus 33 At off campus sites				194 194	1
D. Is your D.Min. program? (Check one.)				199	83 29
General in overall focus General in focus, but allowing for sor Specialized in focus	ne specializat	ion		191	84 15 85 2
Area or field of specialization (if any):					- 1
E. Before deciding to enroll in your D.Min. program, did 75 Yes 25 No	l you investig	ate any other	D.Min. prog	rams?	
F. How important were each of the following reasons in	deciding on the Extremely Important	ne D. Min. pro Very Important	gram that yo Somewhat Important	u chose? (4) Unimportant	m <del>E</del> A1
<ol> <li>Geographical proximity of the seminary</li> <li>Possibility of an off-campus program</li> </ol>	<u>29</u> 29	<u>25</u> <u>19</u>	18	<u> 34</u>	2.6
<ol> <li>Content and focus of the program</li> <li>Reputation of the program</li> </ol>	<u>53.</u> <u>43.</u>	71 33	급_	3_	1.6 1.8
<ol><li>Reputation of particular faculty teaching in</li></ol>	<u>30</u> .	36	26	8	2.1
the program  6. Cost of the program	11.	<u>22</u>	45_	21	2.8
7. Availability of financial aid	9 .	10	<u>23</u>	<u>58</u>	3,3 2,8
<ol> <li>Denominational affiliation of seminary</li> <li>Ease of completing program while working</li> </ol>			<u></u>	_ <b>-36</b>	
full time	31_	<u>35</u> _	<u> 26</u>	10.	2.1
<ol> <li>Opportunity to join a D.Min colleague group forming in my area</li> </ol>	17	16_	16	52_	3.0
11. Encouragement of denominational executive	4	9	17	30_	3.5
12. Other:	78	2	5	15	
G. In what way did denominational affiliation affect you  37 I wanted a D.Min. from a seminary of my owr  7 I wanted a D.Min. from a seminary or a denor	n denominatio	n.		one.)	

56 Denomination was not a factor in my choice of a program.

H. Since enrolling in your D.Min. program, please indicate whether you spend more, about the same, or less time in each of the following activities.

1. Ministerial duties 2. Vacation 3. Family activites, other than vacation	More 16 2	About The Same  31  57  60	13 13 40 32	2.0 2.4 2.2
Hobbies and recreation, other than vacation	<u> </u>	41	<u>55</u>	2,5
5. Community service	9_	<del>57</del> .	35	2,3
6. Denominational activities	12	58_	30	2.2
			,	

I. Are you receiving any financial aid grants or loans for your D.Min. program from:

1. The seminary? 2. Your denomination? 3. Your congregation or employer.	12 % 24 % 37 %	1 90 1 90 1 90
3. Your congregation or employer	<u>3</u> +.70	<u></u>
4. Other:		

J. How much of a financial burden have you found it to be to meet the expense of your D.Min. program?

13 Great burden 58 Moderate burden 29 Little or no burden

K. How much of a time burden have you found it to be to be involved in your D.Min. program?

29 Great burden 67 Moderate burden 4 Little or no burden

## IV. D.MIN. PROGRAM EMPHASIS AND COMPONENTS

A. Listed below are a variety of emphases that D.Min. programs may have. For each, please indicate:

First, how much emphasis is placed on each in your D.Min. program.

Second, how valuable you find the emphasis to be for your overall personal, professional and intellectual growth. (If not applicable, circle 0.)

	intellectual growth. (If not a	pplicable, circle	). <b>)</b>				
MEAN	Systematic, philosophical or	Extent of Emp D.Min. Much Some (1) (2)	phasis in Your Program Little None (3) (4)	Great Some	Value to You Little None (3) (4)	NA	<u>mean</u> 2.0
2.1	historical theology	<u>zó 52</u>	<u>24</u> 5	27 49	20 5		
1.4	2. Pastoral or practical theology	64 32	3 1	71 25	규 구		1.3
2.0	3. Biblical studies	<u> 25. 52</u>	19 4	45 AT	11 3		1.7
2.4	4. Ethics	11 46	32 11	18 49	25 7		2.2
2.8	5. Church history	5 29	73 SH	13 38	35 15		2.5
2.1	6. Spiritual formation	<u> 25 42 </u>	<u>25</u> <u>8</u>	45 37	14 4		1.8
2.2	7. Sociological theory	23 42	26 10	50 A8	छ उँ		2.2
2.1	8. Psychological theory	23 48	23 6	2 经	21 6		2,1
2.0	9. Organizational development	34 40	19 9	37 YL	17 5		1,9
1.7	<ol> <li>Ministerial arts, practical studies (e.g., preaching, pastoral counse Christian ed, etc.)</li> </ol>	ling,48 36	14 2	58 30	9 2	<del></del>	1.6

	B. Which two (if any) of the above areas (Write appropriate numbers.)	would	you mo	st like to	have em	phasised	more in y	your D.N	lin. prog	;ram?	SEE PAGE
	C. Which two (if any) of the above areas would you most like to have emphasised less in your D.Min. program?  [SA]  [Write appropriate numbers.]										
	D. Listed below are a variety of structures and methodologies common to many D.Min. programs. For each, please indicate:										
	First, the amount of use or emphasis	s that e	ach rece	eives in y	your D.M	in. progr	am.				
	Second, how valuable you find the st al learning. (If not applicable, circle	ructure 0.)	e/metho	dology t	o be for yo	our own p	ersonal	and prof	ession-		
		Exte		phasis in Y Program	Your			Value to Y			
nEAN		Much (i)	Some	Little (3)	None (4)	Great (t)	Some (2)	Little (3)	None (4)	NA	MEA
1,5	1. Seminars	<u>65</u>	27	.6	3_	67	29	4	1		1.4
1.9	2. Faculty lectures	37	46	14	4	43	45_	1	<u>2</u> _		1.7
2.5	<ol><li>Supervised practice (e.g., CPE, work in student's parish)</li></ol>	27	24	22	<u>27</u>	41_	31_	18	10		2.0
2.2	4. Case studies	22	43_	27	<u> </u>	34	<u> 39</u>	<u> 21</u>	5_		2.
1.9	5. Library research	31	47	18	4	36	44	17	3_		1.4
1,8	<ol><li>Analysis/evaluation of ministry setting</li></ol>	45_	36_	14_	5_	5L	<u>35</u>	11_	3_		1.6
5.8	7. Career assessment	Ш_	<u> 26</u>	38	26	2박	35	58	14		2.3
5.2	8. Colleague/support group	34_	<u>30</u>	<u>23</u>	14	41_	34	17	<u>S</u>		1.9
1,9	9. Peer or collegial learning	38.	37	19	3	40	37	18	5_		1,9
2.6	10. Learning contract	30	<u>25</u>	<u> 26</u>	30	50_	<u> 29</u>	32	19		2.5
3.0	11. Course exams	6	25	27	41	5_	23_	39	33_		3.6
3.6	12. Qualifying exams	12	22	17	<u>49</u>	10	27	26	38	<del></del>	2.
2.3	<ol> <li>Involvement of laity from your ministry setting</li> </ol>	27_	<u>33</u>	21	18	37_	36_	17_	<u> </u>		2.0
	E. Which two (if any) of the above area: (Write appropriate numbers.)	s would	d you m	ost like t	o have em	iphasised	l <i>more</i> in	your D.	Min. pro	gram?	SEI
	F. Which two (if any) of the above areas (Write appropriate numbers.)		d you m	ost like t	o have e <del>m</del>	iphasised	l <i>less</i> in y	our D.M	in. <del>p</del> rog	ram?	FPAG 5A
	G. How would you evaluate the overal	I qualit	y of tead	ching in				Fair 1	Poor Ap	Not plicable	MEA
	1. Full-time faculty from	n the se	eminary			(i) <del>3</del> 0_	(2) 25	<u>4</u>	Poor As		1,4
	2. Adjunct faculty					48	38_	12	2		F.I

1. SEMINARS 2. FACULTY LECTURES 3. SUPERVISED PRACTICE 4. CASE STUDIES 5. LIBRARY RESEARCH 6. ANALYSIS/EVALUATION OF MINISTRY SETTING 7. CAREER ASSESSMENT 8. COLLEAGUE/SUPPORT GROUP 9. PEER OR COLLEGIAL LEARNING 10. LEARNING CONTRACT 11. COURSE EXAMS 12. QUALIFYING EXAMS 13. INVOLLEMENT OF LAITY FROM YOUR MINISTRY SETTING	E.  MORE EMPHASIS*  12  9  11  9  5  12  13  13  13  14  11  11  11  11  11  11	F. LESS EMPHASIS *  5 10 7 8 3 5 2 6 8 21 14 3
1. SWIEDRITE RILLDSOFHICK OR HISTORICAL  2. PRISTORAL OR PRACTICAL THEOLOGY  3. BIBLICAL STUDIES  4. ETHICS  5. CHURCH HISTORY  6. SPIRITUAL FORMATION  7. SOCIOLOGICAL THEORY  9. PSYCHOLOGICAL THEORY  9. ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT  10. MINISTERIAL ARTS, PRACTICAL	C.  MORE EMPHASIS*  9 15 15 4 18 5 5 9 15	D. LESS BMPHASIS*  17

\* PERCENTAGES REPRESENT COMBINED FIGURES FOR BOTH AREAS INDICATED

STUDIES

H. Many D.Min. programs have rules about imum periods of time one can spend guidelines and rules: (Check one.)	it completio in various (	n of assig program	nments wi phases. In	hin specified the program	time periods and max- you attend are these			
Always strictly enforced Usually enforced Enforced in some cours Rarely enforced and/or Program has no such g	d ses/areas; n reasy to get	ot in othe waived (	ers or extended					
I. In general, do you complete the assigne	_	_		es:				
56 Always 4 S 40 Usually 1 R	ometimes arely	<u>U</u> .	Never					
J. Thinking back to your B.D./M.Div. course work, how would you compare the level of difficulty of advanced B.D./M.Div. courses to the courses in your D.Min. program?								
42 About the same level of D.Min. courses were m D.Min. courses were le	difficulty ore advancess difficult	ed and di	fficult					
K. How would you assess the level of abityour program? What percent would you				ou had an opp	portunity to observe in			
1. 45 % great ability 2. 45 % moderate abili 3. 11 % limited ability 100%	ty							
L. What priority do you perceive that the I	D.Min. prog	ram and	students re	ceive from fac	ulty?			
15 Highest 55 High	24 Mode	erate	5_Low	Lor	west			
M. What priority do you perceive that the								
9 Highest 51 High	33 Mode	erate	6 Low	_lLov	west			
N. Think of a typical D.Min. course that ye	ou have tak	en.						
How many students do you estimate were in this course?								
2. Do you feel that the size of this class was too large, about right or too small?								
9 Too large 91 About	t right	T	oo small					
3. About what percentage of stude	ents in this c	lass were	e not D.Min	. students? _	<u>29_</u> %			
O. If there has been a mix of D.Min. and non-D.Min. students in any of your courses, does this mix seem to have a positive, neutral or negative effect on each of the following groups/persons?								
	P	ositive	Neutral	Negative				
1. On the D.Min. students		<u>35</u> _	55	10				
2. On the non-D.Min. students		53.	42	6				
3. On the instructor		36_	53.					
P. How easy has it been for you to obtain r	needed read	ling mate	rials for:					
	Usuaily Easy	Mixed	Usually Difficult	Not Applicable				
1. Courses	74	<u>23                                    </u>	3_					
2. Major project/thesis	52	39	10	-				

	<u> </u>	9	Very well Fairly well		oon to judge						
R.	To date your p	e, how rograr	v much difficulty n: (If not applica	have you had, ble, circle 0.)	if any, in k	Milch	Sor	<u> 7</u>	TITLE	NONE	MEAN
	1. The	cours	e-taking phase			(C)	_T=	<u>}</u> _	14 3)	84 (4)	3.4
	2. Pas	sing q	ualifying exams			4_	10	<u> </u>	14	<del>7</del> 2	3.5
	3. Pre	paring	g a project/thesis	proposal		18	3	8_	13_	<u>32</u>	2.6
			ing and writing t	he		<u>≋</u> _	40	0_	10_	22	2,3
E)	(PERIE	NCES	DURING AN	D SINCE IN	OLVEME	NT IN D.1	MIN. PRO	OGRAM			
A.	To wh	at exte	ent would you sa	ay that each of	the followi	ing has beer	n true for	you during	the time you	ı have beer	1
	invoive	eu in yi	our D.Min. progri	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Somewhat	A Little	Not at All (박)	ME	AN	
			e distracted from mands of the pro		<del>()</del>	<u>35</u>	39_	20	2.	7	
	2. I	Experi o my	enced renewed o	ommitment	46	40	10	3	1.3	7	
			ifficulty meeting ids and requiren		4	<u> 26</u>	35	35	3.	٥	
	4. I	Discov	rered new capaci l inquiry		39	41	17	3_	1.8	3	
		proble	oped personal or ems traceable to r ement	family ny D.Min.	2_	<u> </u>	<u>23</u>	<u>68</u>	3 .6	6	
			vered new depth ort with other pas		21	32	32	15	2.1	ł	
	:	setting involv	oped conflict(s) i g traceable to my ement	D.Min.	2	6_	<u>50</u>	73	3.0	6	
		signifi	oped creative sol icant problems o inistry setting	lutions to r conflicts in	32_	39	22_	<u> 7</u> _	2.0	5	
В		•	t to do over agair	n, what decisio	n would yo	ou make abo	ut enrolli:	ng in a D.N	Ain. progra	m:	
	•	99 9 3	I would enroll I would enroll I would not er	in the same pr	ogram						
C	. What invol	t prop ved in	ortion of persor a D.Min. progra	is in your conj am?	gregation o	or ministry :	setting wo	ould you e	stimate kno	ow you a	re
		<u> 20</u>	_ Ail <u>49</u> _	Most 2 <u>박</u>	Some	王 Few	1_	None —			
	). Amo	ng the	os who know of	your involvem	ent, what is	s the majori	ty opinior	1?			
		66	Most are enth	usiastic							
		<u> 24</u>	Most are indi	ferent							
		4	Mass	refer that I we		اممط					

9\_Opinions are thoroughly mixed

### VI. SOME GENERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MINISTRY

A. A variety of factors affect a minister's status as a leader in a congregation or other setting in which he/she works. How important is each of the following factors for your confidence in yourself as a leader? How important for the lay people with whom you work are the following qualities or credentials for their acceptance of your ministry? (Note: Since it is unlikely that everything can be of highest importance, please try to make distinctions in the importance of the factors.)

most	distinctions in the importance of the fac		functions in the importance of the factors.)  Importance for Your  Confidence in Yourself		Importance for Those in Your Congregation/Setting						
IMPORTANT	MEAN		Highest	High (2\	Some (3)	Little (4)	Highest	High	Some (3)	Little (4)	MEAN
4 [	2.0	1. Ordination	33_	ना	18	9	41	45	ĪŎ	<u> </u>	1.8
1	1.9	2. A basic seminary degree	<u>3</u> L	<u>54</u>	14	2	25	<u>48</u>	<u> 23</u>	4	2.1
1	2.1	3. An earned advanced degree	50_	49	26	<u>5</u> _	2_	<u> 26</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>2</u> L	8.5
23	1,4	Competence in the various tasks of ministry	<u>58</u>	40.	2_	<u></u>	50.	44	6_	1	1.6
30	1,5	5. A clear sense of call from God	62	<u>30</u> _	<del></del>	2	47	35	16_	3_	1.8
14	1.3	6. Personal faith	69	<u>27</u>	3_		56_	38	5	1	1.5
4	1.8	7. Ability to inspire faith in others	36	<del>57</del> .	12	1_	47.	<u>43</u>	9_	2	1.6
4	t - 8	<ol><li>Depth of learning and ability to think critically</li></ol>	33_	<del>57</del>	10_	1_	9	37	47	3	2.5
8	1,4	9. Fairness, integrity, personal honesty	64	<u>33</u>	<u>3</u>	1	57	<u>38</u>	4		1.5
8	1,6 1	O. An open, affirming style of dealing with others	<u>50</u>	<u>44</u>	6		43_	44	9_	1	1.6
4	1.6 1	1. Capacity to show pastoral concern	48	44	<u>9</u> _	<u></u>	59	<u> 36</u>	5_		1.5
0	2,5 1	2. Physical appearance	10	40	41	10	8_	45_	40	_8	2,5
1	2.2 1	<ol> <li>Continuing support by the official governing board of your congrega- tion/setting</li> </ol>	20	<u>51</u>	<u>22</u>	8	16_	48	<u>25</u>	11_	2.3
0	2,7 1	<ol> <li>Continuing support of a judicatory official or body</li> </ol>	10	<u>31</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>5</u> _	23	3 <del>7</del> _	<u>35</u> _	3.0
1	2.6 1	5. Recognition of your clergy peers	8_	38	41	13	3_	ᆚ	42	34_	3.1
A	ì							_			

B. Looking back over the preceding list, write in the number of the one factor which is most important for your confidence in yourself as a leader. .

C. To what extent is each of the following true for you?

1.	I feel that I am really accomplishing something in my ministry	(1) Always 15	(2) Often 71	(3) Occasionally <u>14</u>	Never	2.0
2.	I feel successful in overcoming difficulties and obstacles in my ministry	11_	69	20		2.1
3.	I frequently seek the advice and input of other ministerial colleagues in my work	8	38	53.	1_	2,5

D.	Who should be	e the primary evaluators of clergy? (Check o	one.)				
	26 Clerg	siastical supervisor or superiors y peers in the ministry setting					
E.	When you entypically draw	counter new or unusual problems in mir	nistry, o	n which	of the fo	ollowing res	sources do you
	typicum, aran		Very Often (1)	Often S	ometimes (3)	Rarely or Never	MEAN
		Your present commitments     and values	47.	48	5_	1_	1.6
		2. The Bible	38_	38_	<u>22</u>	2_	1.9
		3. Examples/ideas from the history and tradition of the church	5_	3L_	53.	11_	2,7
		4. Your past experience in similar ministry situations	30_	56	14	1	1,8
		5. Prayer and meditation	38_	<u>39</u>	21	2	1.9
		<ol><li>Content and methods of theology and ethics</li></ol>	6	31	51_	12	2.7
		7. Literature, philosophy, the arts	2_	11	46	HT.	3,3
		<ol> <li>Theory and methods from the human sciences (psychology, sociology, organizational development, etc.)</li> </ol>	13_	41_	<u>37</u>	9	2.4
		9. Your understanding of your ministry setting and your role in it	42	48	10	1	1.7
		10. Consultation with other clergy	12	<u>38</u>	<u>46</u>	4	2,4
		11. Consultation with other professionals	王_	<u>29</u>	<u>51</u>	13	2.7
		12. Consultation with laity in your ministry setting	18	49	<u>29</u>	4_	2.2
F.	How strong is	s your commitment to the ordained minist	try as yo	ur vocati	ion?		
	74 V	ery strong 5 Vacillating Quite weak	1 1	No comn	nitment;	ready to cha	ange
G	. If you could	make the choice again, would you enter th	ne ordair	ned mini	stry?		
	67 D	efinitely yes 6 Uncertain 7 Probably no	1)	efinitely	' no	·	
H		are you that the ordained ministry is the r		fession f	or you?		
	72. V 24. M	ery certain    Moderately uncertain   Wery uncertain	ertain				
I.	How seriousl	y, if at all, have you thought during the last	year abo	out leavi:	ng the or	dained min	istry?
	32 N 班子 N	lever thought about it lot at all seriously Very seriously; no	1 <u>8 9</u> w t <del>rying</del>	Somewh Quite ser to leave	at seriou riously; c	sly onside <del>ri</del> ng i	it

}.	Infoughor	ut your ministerial career, wou	id you say you have been
	27 61	Highly innovative Moderately innovative	O Slightly innovative Have generally stuck to traditional methods
VII.	BACKGRO	DUND	
A.	What was	your primary position at the ti	me you began your D.Min. program? (Check one.)
		Sole pastor of a congregation Senior pastor with other orda Associate/assistant pastor wil Minister of education in a con Pastoral counselor on staff of Pastoral counselor in private Denominational staff or executive of ecumenic Seminary faculty/administrat Other:	ined clergy on statt h general duties gregation a congregation practice or with a counseling center litive cal agency or
В		our current primary position? (	
-	59 15 22	Same position as in A. above Same position as in A. above Different position from A. ab Different position from A. ab	; same congregation or organization as in A. above.; different congregation or organization from A. above. sove; same congregation or organization as in A. above. sove; different congregation or organization from A. above.
	•		nt from A. above, what is it? (Check one.)
	23 7 7 2 1 - 7 2	Sole pastor of a congregation Senior pastor with other orce Associate/assistant pastor with Minister of education in a congression of the Pastoral counselor on staff of Pastoral counselor in private Denominational staff or executive of ecuments Seminary faculty/administry Other:	n or pastoral charge lained clergy on staff with general duties ongregation of a congregation e practice or with a counseling center cutive nical agency ator  ition? 19 23 (MEAN) N = 190
	In w	hat year did you begin this pos	ition? 19_251_ (MENT) N = 170
C.	time posi	tions that represent your majo	primary positions have your worked (i.e., full-time positions or part- ministerial commitment)? 3.4 (Number) (MEAN)
	'		parish minister? 2.8 (Number) (MEAN)
D.	an estima	ite of the fair rental value of vo	orogram 5 22, 284 (MEAN)
E.			r, how satisfied were you with the primary position you then held?
	-	Very satisfied Moderately satisfied	
F.	At the tim	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t, did your primary position offer you maximum opportunity for ex-

39 Yes, definitely 44 Yes, to some degree 19 No, not really

G. If, at the time you began your D.Min. program and/ please answer each of the following by checking the a	or currently, you serve(d) in a parish ministry position, ppropriate category for:
(1) Your congregation at the time you began ye	
(2) Your current congregation (whether the sa	me or different).
a. Membership of congregation:	(1) (2) At Entry Current
1. Less than 100 2. 100-199 3. 200-399 4. 400-699 5. 700-999 6. 1000 plus	13 10 20 17 26 26 18 22 8 11 15 16
<ul> <li>b. Size of community in which congregation located:</li> </ul>	AT ENTRY CURRENT
1. Under 2,500 (rural, open country 2. 2,500-10,000 (town) 3. 10,000-50,000 (small city) 4. 50,000+ (metro suburb) 5. 50,000-250,000 (medium city) 6. 250,000+ (large city)	15 13 14 26 24 26 10 11 15 15 19 18
c. The congregation is/was:	AT ENTRY CURAENT
<ol> <li>Growing and developing</li> <li>Holding its own</li> <li>Generally declining</li> </ol>	<u>41</u> <u>52</u> <u>41</u> <u>38</u> <u>18</u> <u>10</u>
d. Approximate proportion of members 1. Less than 10% 2. 10%-25% 3. 25%-50% 4. 50%-75% 5. 75% or more	ro who have/had college degrees:  AT ENTRY CURRENT  25 19 27 29 24 24 15 19
H. Acknowledging that broad categories are at best impamerican Christianity which one of the following be	est describes your theological perspective:
4 /ery Liberal 42 Moderate Liberal 31 Conservativ	Very Conservative
I. In what year were you born? 19 43 (MEAN) J.	
K. Denomination in which ordained?	
L. Current denomination?	
M. What is your race/ethnicity?	
93_White/Anglo 3_Black Asian American 1_Hispanic	Native American Other:
N. Citizenship? 95 US 4 Canadian	Other:
O. Gender: 94 Male 6 Female	

P. Which of the following degrees	do you hold? (Check all that apply.)
<b><u>86</u></b> B.D. or M.Div.	Seminary:
M.R.E.  13 M.A. 9 S.T.M./Th.M. 1 Th.D./S.T.D./Ph. 1 Honorary Doctors 9 Other (except for	D. ate (D.D., L.L.D., etc.) D.Min.):
Q. What is your marital status?	
7 Single, never mar 4 Divorced, separat	ried 89 Married ted 1 Widowed
R. Has your marital status change	d since you began your D.Min. program?
6 Yes 94 No	If yes, please indicate how it has changed. 2.59%
<u> </u>	3. 19 % 4, 12 %
	3. 19 % 4. 12 %
S. In what state did you live when	you began your D.Min. program? 84% SAME STATE
S. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently l	3. 18 % 4. 12 %  1 you began your D.Min. program? 84% SAME STATE  16% SWITCHED
S. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently l U. What was your college grade a	ive?
S. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently l	ive?
5. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently l U. What was your college grade at	ive?
5. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently l U. What was your college grade at	3. 18 % 4. 12 %  1 you began your D.Min. program? 84% SAME STATE  16% SWITCHED  verage?  20 B- 7 C  7 C+ 1 Less than C
S. In what state did you live when T. In what state do you currently I. U. What was your college grade at A. A. B. B. II. A. I. B. B. V. What was your seminary grade I.O. A. 37 3+ I.	you began your D.Min. program?  16% SAME STATE  16% SWITCHED  verage?  CO B - 7 C  T C+ 1 Less than C  e average?

## VIII. IMAGES OF PASTORAL MINISTRY

**NOTE:** The following questions are to be completed by *PARISH CLERGY ONLY*. Non-parish clergy have completed the questionnaire.

A. Listed below are several images or dominant roles in terms of which clergy variously orient their ministry. Please rate each of the role images in terms of its appropriateness as a description of your ministry.

most	IMPORTANT		Very Much Like Me (1)	Moderately Like Me (と)	Moderately Unlike Me (3)	Very Much Unlike Me (4)	MEF
47		Minister of the Word/Teacher of the Congreation: Finds primary fulfillment in preaching and teaching, and is attracted to a congregation with a strong educational emphasis.	<u>69</u>	28	<u>2</u>	<u></u>	1.3
7	2.	Parish Administrator: Fulfillment comes in administering and managing a productive and effective church organization	23	48	23	<u>.7</u>	إبع
2	3.	Social Activist: Ministry centers in relating the Gospel to the social context; enjoys being on the cutting edge of social concerns and involvement in community affairs	3	<u>31</u>	<u>38</u> _	23	2.8

TAATAGAMI T			Very Much Like Me	Moderately Like Me	Moderately Unlike Me	Very Much Unlike Me	MEAN
16	4.	Enabler/Facilitator: Centers ministry around work with small groups of people, helping them relate particular interests and needs to the Gospel; organizes parish around a variety of interest and task groups	37	47_	<u>13</u>	<u>3</u>	1.8
6	5.	Celebrant/Liturgist: Is most at home in leading the congregation in worship; deep appreciation for ritual and ceremonial in both formal and informal settings	<u>30</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>25</u>	6_	2.1
5	6.	Spiritual Guide: Encourages development of the spiritual life by all in the congregation; works intensely with those interested in pursuing spiritual disciplines; the minister's own spiritual life is exemplary	<u> 24</u>	<u>50</u> _	갠	<u>2</u>	2.0
મ	7.	Witness: focus of ministry is in sharing the Gospel with those in and outside the church; developing the church's evangelistic witness is a primary task of ministry	<u>20</u>	41	<u>33</u>	6	22
13	8.	Counselor/Healer: spends a major part of each week in pastoral counseling and visiting in homes and/or hospitals; finds fulfillment in helping people face their crises	32	41_	22	5_	2.0
<b>)</b>	9.	Community Chaplain: finds fulfillment in civic roles and leadership; often serves on community committees and task groups; may be chaplain to community groups	10_	24	<u>36</u>	<u>3</u> 6_	2.8
L B	. Lo	ooking back at the various images, which one is mos	t like you? Wi	ite in the nun	nber	-	

## THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR COOPERATION

### Please return to:

National Doctor of Ministry Study 77 Sherman Street Hartford, CT 06105

If you wish to add any additional comments on your experience or perceptions of the Doctor of Ministry degree, they will be most welcome.

Number Responding = 769

## I. ABOUT CONTINUING EDUCATION

Note: The following questions pertain to continuing education in general, not specifically to D.Min. programs.

A. Below are listed some reasons why a minister may want to take part in a continuing education program. Please check how important each of these reasons should be for a minister's taking part in continuing education.

WEAN	To update theological knowledge in an area in	Very Important (i)	important	Somewhat Important (3)	Not Important
1.9	which he/she has fallen behind 2. To pursue an area of theological interest	3 <u>7</u> 9.	45% 52	15% 18_	3%
1.5	<ol><li>To improve practical skills such as preaching, counseling, administration, etc.</li></ol>	60	<u>33</u> 35	<del>. 3</del> .	
1.8 2.6	<ul><li>4. For spiritual growth</li><li>5. To broaden one's knowledge by studying in</li></ul>	<u>46</u>	<u>35</u>	16_	3
2,0	non-theological areas such as economics, literature, sociology, etc.	<u>.9</u>	<u>3</u> L	46.	14

B. In general, which of the factors listed above should be the ONE MOST IMPORTANT reason for a minister to take part in continuing education? Please write in the number (from the list above) of the most important

2.15% 3.46% 4.20% 5.3% 1. 16%

D. Ministers, like others, have different needs and opportunities for continuing education. In general, however, how valuable do you think it is for ministers to pursue continuing education in each of the following ways?

MEAN	•	Very Valuable	Valuable	Somewhat Valuable	Not Valuable
2.7	<ol> <li>In a program working toward a Ph.D. in a theological field</li> </ol>		28%	49%	14%
2.3 2.6	2. In a program working toward a D.Min. degree	10% 13	<u>28%</u>	49% 36_	<u> </u>
2,6	<ol> <li>In a program working toward a theological degree or certificate other than a Ph.D. or D.Min.</li> </ol>	7-	3 <del>4</del> .	<u>50</u>	9
2.8	<ul><li>4. In a degree program at a secular institution</li><li>5. In non-credit seminars or workshops at a</li></ul>	<del>4</del>	27.	52	18
	seminary or theological center  6. In non-credit seminars at a secular institution	<u>9</u> 50	5 <u>2</u> 36 43	36 46 39	4
2. <del>7</del> 2.5	<ul><li>6. In non-credit seminars at a secular institution</li><li>7. In a travel-study program</li></ul>	<del>-5</del>	36	76 -	13
5.3 5.1	8. In independent study 9. In a study group made up of local clergy	18	52 52	<u> 24</u>	4
2.3 2.1	10. On a spiritual retreat	25.	46	25	4

1. Does your denomination or judicatory require its ministers to do a certain amount of continuing education each year? 2<del>7</del>%Yes

72% Yes 2. In your opinion, should it require a certain amount of continuing education?

3. How much pressure is there on you to engage in regular continuing education:

From your congregation or work setting? From your Judicatory? A great deal 2. Some 3. Little or none

F. Have you ta	iken part in a continuing education program in the las	t three years?				
90 <u>%</u> Yes	10 <b>9</b> cNo					
	hat kind of continuing education was it? In the left hand	column, check as many categ	ories as apply.			
2. Ir th	the column on the right give an estimate of the <i>numbe</i> brough May 1985.	er of days that you have spent	or will spend			
Partic	ipated		No. of Days			
10 <u>%</u>	Formal program working toward a degree or certification	ate at a theological seminary				
6	Formal program working toward a degree or certificate at a secular institution					
57	Non-credit seminars or workshops at a seminary or	theological center	***			
28	Non-credit seminars or workshops at a secular instit	tution				
18_	Travel-study program					
<u>33</u>	Independent study		<del></del>			
<u>37</u>	Study group consisting of local clergy					
41	A spiritual retreat		<del></del>			
17	Other:		· <del></del>			
2. If study 43% Amount 1. If yes, 1. If yes, 1. 3. If an al	Wook 7 Three Weeks A Five Weeks	e in paying the cost of continu	uing education,			
6 <u>4%</u>	ever considered enrolling in a Doctor of Ministry prog					
If yes, to wha	t extent did each of the following reasons influence yo		Nat As			
2. Amou 3. Could to you 4. Could	of D.Min. program Int of time D.Min. programs demand I not find a program that corresponded or interests I not find a program within reasonable distance	5gg (iii) 25% 20% 14 17 18	Not At All 23% 15 48 37			

2.6

MEANS 3.4 3.0 2.8 3.9	<ol> <li>Doubts about your academic ability to do the work</li> <li>Doubts about the quality of D.Min. programs</li> <li>Doubts about the D.Min.'s value as a credential</li> <li>Not accepted by the program that most interested you</li> </ol>	A Great Deal 290 1290 2090	15% 20% 20% 24% 0.5%	1998 2898 1698	Not At 64 90 41 90 40 90 95 90
	9. Other (please comment):				

J. How likely is it that you will enroll in a D.Min. program in the future?

Certain
Oo Very likely
Somewhat likely

41% Not likely 11% Definitely not

# II. ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DOCTOR OF MINISTRY DEGREE

Note: In this section, we would like to have your opinions about the Doctor of Ministry program in general.

A. Listed below are several statements about the D.Min. Please indicate the extent to which you agree with each.

neans 2.7	All other factors being equal, a minister with a D.Min. should be paid more than a minister who has only a M.Div. or B.D.	Strongly Agree 5%	1379°	Disagree (3) 449 <sub>0</sub>	Disagree 1490
3.0	2. All other factors being equal, a minister with a D.Min. should be hired (or appointed) in preference to someone who has only a M.Div. or B.D.	2_	18	5 <del>7</del>	23
2.8	3. A minister who has earned the D.Min. should he called "Or " in public settings	5_	<u> 32.</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>20</u>
2.6	4. A minister who has a D.Min. degree is more likely to be respected by other community leaders than if he/she did not have the degree	<u>3</u>	42	46_	9
2.1	5. All other factors being equal, a minister who regularly engages in continuing education should be hired (or appointed) in preference to someone who does not	2 <u>0</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>23</u>	3_
2.0	6. All other factors being equal, regular participation in continuing education should be given more weight in a hiring decision (or the appointive process) than whether a person has a D.Min. degree	24	<u>54</u>	50	<u>2</u>

B. Which one of the following two statements better describes what you think the D.Min. should be? Which better describes most D.Min. programs? (Check one in each column)

	Should Be	Most Programs Actually Are
<ol> <li>A mark of distinction with selective admissions policies and rigorous standards for completion</li> </ol>	42%	<u>35</u> %
Open to all clergy who want a structured program of continuing education	58 <i>9</i> 6	<u>65</u> %

C. Which one of the following statements best describes your opinion of the D.Min. degree, in general?

## The concept of a professional doctorate:

24% is a sound one, and in general, all seminary D.Min. programs offer educational experiences of good

quality
is a sound one, but some seminary programs are of dubious or poor quality
is a sound one, but some seminary D.Min. programs are of dubious or poor quality
is sound, but most or all current seminary D.Min. programs are of dubious or poor quality
is unsound; the D.Min. degree should not be given
no opinion

# III. RECENT EXPERIENCES IN YOUR MINISTRY

A. To what extent would you say that each of the following was true for you during the last two years?

-	. 10 ///	2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Not at All
3.0	1. Beca othe	me distracted from your job by r interests and involvements	Very Much	Somewhat 26%	38%	32%
1.9	2. Expe	rienced renewed commitment our job	<u> 34</u>	<del>47</del> .	15.	_5_
2.3	3. Disc	overed new capacities for critical iry and academic study	17	42	<u>32</u>	<u>10</u> 51
3.2	4. Deve	eloped personal or family problems	<u>6</u> _	<u>13</u>	<u>30</u>	<del>2.</del>
2.6	5. Disc sup	overed new depth of collegial port with other pastors	<u>17</u>	28	<u>35</u>	21
3,1	6. Dev setti	eloped conflict(s) in your ministry ng	8	18	<u>33</u>	42
2.4	sign	eloped creative solutions to ificant problems or conflicts in r ministry setting	13	46	30	11_
			4	2 (C)		

B. To what extent have you experienced the following during the last few years?

EANS 24	Gained increased intellectual sophistication	Great (1)	Moderate (2) 51%	A little (3) 34%	Not at all (4)
2.3	<ol><li>Gained increased capacity for theological reflection</li></ol>	13_	<u>56</u>	<u>33</u>	3_
2.0	<ol> <li>Gained clearer understanding of your theology of ministry</li> </ol>	<u> 26</u>	<u>51</u>	20	3
2.0	4. Gained increased spiritual depth	25	<u>48</u>	<u> 26</u>	<u>2</u>
1.9	5. Gained increased self-awareness	3L	49	19	
2,0	6. Improved your worship leadership	24	52	21	3_
2.0	7. Became a better preacher	24	<b>53</b> .	21	
2.4	8. Became better at management	ΙL	43	70	10
2.5	9. Improved your counseling abilities	13.	<u>38</u>	40	10
2.4	10. Became a better teacher	13	43	<u>37</u>	<u> </u>
2.4	<ol> <li>Increased your skills as a spiritual director/guide</li> </ol>	12	46_	36	丑

m <del>t-</del>	na IS			Great	Moderate	A little	Not at all
	<u>ans</u> Li	12.	Gained a deeper understanding of how congregations/organizations work	<u>21%</u>	<u>48%</u>	28%	3%
2	2.7	13.	Became a more effective leader in the community	9	<u>32</u>	41	18
,	2,6	14.	Improved your skills in program development	6	<b>3</b> 5	47	12
	2.3	15.	Have a renewed commitment to your present job	20.	44	<u> 26</u>	10
	3.6	16.	Became restless and sought (or are seeking) a new job	14	15_	25	46
	3.4	17.	Became weary of study		9_	<u>32</u>	5 <del>1</del>
	2.2	18.	Have greater appetite for reading and study	<u>20</u>	42	30_	3_
	2.1	19.	Have greater self-confidence	<u> 20</u>	56	2L	<u>3</u> 6
	2,3	20.	Increased your ability to set priorities	15	48_	32	6
	2.2	21.	Increased your ability to analyze problems that arise in your ministry	12	<u>55</u>	36_	3_
	2.4	22	Increased your ability to evaluate your performance	8	50_	38	4
	2.3	23	Increased your ability to evaluate programs in which your congregation/ministry setting is engaged	9_	5L	<u>36</u>	4_
	2.5	24	. Increased your ability to relate to other professions	9	40	42	9
	2,5	25	. Increased your involvement in ecumenical or denominational activities, or consulting with other churches	17	<u>31</u>	38	<b>1</b> 5

# IV. SOME GENERAL QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR MINISTRY

18

36 16 A. A variety of factors affect a minister's status as a leader in a congregation or other setting in which he/she works. How important is each of the following factors for your confidence in yourself as a leader? How important for the lay people with whom you work are the following qualities or credentials for their acceptance of your ministry? (Note: Since it is unlikely that everything can be of highest importance, please try to make distinctions in the importance of the factors.) Importance for Those in Your

	Ċ	dist	inctions in the importance of the fact	(ors.) Co	Imports for Yo nfidence I	our	f	c	for Those ongregati	in Your on/Setting		MEF
% [	MEANS	1	Ordination	Highest	10% 10%	Some	141° (4) 5%	Highest (i) 4620	High (2) 43%	50me 9%	Little 200	
, ,	2,0 2,0	2.	A basic seminary degree	58	52. 26.	16_ 39	<u>박</u> 2구	2 <del>7</del> 4	52 18	<u>16</u> 33	<u>5</u> 豐	
.5			An earned advanced degree	8_	<u>CD</u> .	<u></u>	<del></del>	l		_	<b>^</b> 5	
18	1.6	4.	Competence in the various tasks of ministry	45	50_	<u>6</u>	0.3	43.	49. 35.	子 19	<u>0.5</u> 3	
36	1.4	5.	A clear sense of call from God	<u>65</u>	<u>26</u>	8_	0.4	56	35	9		
16	1.4	6.	Personal faith	66	<u>30</u>	3_	<u>V. 1</u>	46	<u>43</u>	10	L	
3	1,9		Ability to inspire faith in others	<u>32</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>ا</u>					
2	2.0	8.	Depth of learning and ability to think critically	22	<b>58</b> .	192		<u>子</u>   58	<u>32</u> 36	51 5	10	
9	1,4		. Fairness, integrity, personal honest		32	4	<u>0.5</u>		عمر.			
5	1		<ul> <li>An open, affirming style of dealing with others</li> </ul>		46	9	4.0	#1 59	<u>३</u> १ ५५	뽀	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	- 5
5			. Capacity to show pastoral concerr		<u>45</u> .	<i>3</i> _	0.5 13	8	41		9	
0	2.6		Physical appearance	10	36	=1	ليها			-		
2	2.1	13	<ol> <li>Continuing support by the official governing board of your congregation/setting</li> </ol>	1 a- <u>21</u>	56	50	4	30	45	25	10	<u>.</u>
6.4	2.5	5 1 <sub>4</sub>	<ol> <li>Continuing support of a judicator official or body</li> </ol>	ry 12	. 41		3 14.	5			<u>32</u>	
0.3	2.6	; 1	5. Recognition of your clergy peers	7	41	. 39	13	1 2	19	42	. 35	L
_	VI.											

B. Looking back over the preceding list, write in the number of the one factor which is most important for your confidence in vourself as a leader. \_

C. To what extent is each of the following true for you?

•		Always	Often O	casionally	Never
MEANS 2.1	I feel that I am really accomplishing something in my ministry	9%	<u>68%</u>	<u>23%</u>	<u>0.3</u> %
2,3	2. I feel successful in overcoming dif- ficulties and obstacles in my ministry	<u>3</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>0.5</u>
2.6	<ol> <li>I frequently seek the advice and input of other ministerial colleagues in my work</li> </ol>		<u>35</u>	<u>55</u>	3

D	Who should be	e the <i>primary</i> evaluate	rs of clergy? (Check	one.)					
	60 Eccles 2390 Clerg	siastical supervisor or y peers in the ministry settin	superiors						
Ε.	When you er	acounter new or unu	sual problems in m	inistry, (	on which	n of the fo	ollowing	resources	do you
	typically drav	v:		Very Often		Sometimes	Rarely or		
	MEANS 1.7	Your present con and values	nmiaments	<u>40%</u>	<u>53%</u>	~ /	<u>0</u> %		
	2.0	2. The Bible		35	37	<u>260</u>	<u>3</u>		
	2.6	3. Examples/ideas and tradition of	from the history the church	8	36.	<del>47</del>	9		
	1.8	<ol> <li>Your past experiments ministry situation</li> </ol>	ence in similar ons	34_	51	<u>!5</u>	1		
	1.8	5. Prayer and med	itation	38_	75	18	2		
	2.8	<ol> <li>Content and me and ethics</li> </ol>	thods of theology	<u>5</u> _	<u>25</u>	56	<u>14</u>		
	3.4	7. Literature, philo	osophy, the arts	2	<del></del>	43	49		
	2.7	eciences (nsych	hods from the huma ology, sociology, development, etc.)	7 <u>7</u>	<u>30</u>	43	16		
	1.8	<ol><li>Your understan setting and you</li></ol>	ding of your ministr ir role in it	y <u>30</u>	<b>5</b> 5	14	<u></u>		
	2.4	10. Consultation w	ith other clergy	16_	34	44	王		
	2.9	11. Consultation w	th other professiona	ls <u>6</u>	22	<u>53</u>	30		
	2.2	12. Consultation w ministry setting	rith laity in your g	18	50	<u>29</u>	<u>4</u>		
	E. Howstron	g is your commitmen	t to the ordained mi	nistry as	your vo	cation?			
	73 <u>%</u>	Very strong Moderately strong	5 Vacillating O.1 Quite weak	0.3	<u>3</u> No co	mmitmen	t; ready to	change	
	<b>~i</b> G If you coul	– d make the choice ag	ain, would you ente	т the ord	ained m	inistry?			
	<u>66%</u> 26	Definitely yes Probably yes	6 Uncertain 2 Probably no	<u> </u>	_Definit	ely no			
	H. How certa	in are you that the or	dained ministry is th	ne right p	professio	n for you?	<b>&gt;</b>		
	719	Very certain Moderately certain	3 Moderately u 0.3 Very uncertain	ncertain in				_	
	I. How serio	ously, if at all, have yo	ou thought during th	e last year	rabout le	eaving the	ordained	ministry?	
		Never thought abo Not at all seriously		16 2 v) now tr	Some Quite Ving to le	ewhat seri e seriously eave	ously /; conside	ring it	

J	. Throughout your ministerial career, would you say you have been:
MEAN = 2	.1 18% Highly innovative 20 Slightly innovative 57 Moderately innovative 5 Have generally stuck to traditional methods
V. BA	ACKGROUND
Α.	What was your primary position in May 1982? (Check one.)
	Sole pastor of a congregation or pastoral charge    Z
	In what year did you begin this position? 19
В.	What is your current primary position? (Check one.)
	549. Same position as in A. above; same congregation or organization as in A. above.  209. Same position as in A. above; different congregation or organization from A. above.  400 Different position from A. above; same congregation or organization as in A. above.  229. Different position from A. above; different congregation or organization from A. above.
	If your current primary position is different from A. above, what is it? (Check one.)
OSITION -	Senior pastor with other ordained clergy on staff Senior pastor with other ordained clergy on staff Associate/assistant pastor with general duties Minister of education in a congregation Pastoral counselor on staff of a congregation Pastoral counselor in private practice or with a counseling center Denominational staff or executive Staff or executive of ecumenical agency Seminary faculty/administrator Other:  In what year did you begin this position?  19
C.	Since ordination, in how many different, primary positions have your worked (i.e., full-time positions or part-time positions that represent your major ministerial commitment)? MEAN = 3.2
	How many of these positions were as a parish minister? MEAN = 2.9
D.	What is <i>your</i> approximate annual, before tax, <i>cash</i> salary? (Include any housing allowance that you receive of an estimate of the fair rental value of your parsonage.)  In 1982 5 22,029 (MEAN)  Currently \$ 26,102 (MEAN)

E. If you currently serve in a parish ministry position and/o answer each of the following by checking the appropr	r your pret iate categ	oious posi ory for:	ition was in the parish ministry, please
(1) Your current congregation (if you currently se			osition).
(2) Your immediate past parish (if your previous			
	(1) Current	(2) Past	
<ul> <li>a. Membership of congregation:</li> <li>1. Less than 100</li> <li>2. 100-199</li> <li>3. 200-399</li> </ul>	13% 13% 13%	2022 2022 15	NOTE: CURRENT AND PAST ARE BASED ON DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF RESPONDENT
4. 400-699 5. 700-999 6. 1000 plus	9	<del>1</del> 15	
<ul> <li>b. Size of community in which congregation located:</li> </ul>	•		
<ol> <li>Under 2,500 (rural, open country</li> <li>2,500-10,000 (town)</li> <li>10,000-50,000 (small city)</li> <li>50,000+ (metro suburb)</li> <li>50,000-250,000 (medium city)</li> <li>250,000+ (large city)</li> </ol>	23% 19 22 11 11 11	12000 TH	
c. The congregation is/was:  1. Growing and developing 2. Holding its own 3. Generally declining	4890 41	18 43 39%	collage degraes:
d. Approximate proportion of member	ers who ha	ave/naci ったの。	conege degrees.
1. Less than 10% 2. 10%-25% 3. 25%-50% 4. 50%-75% 5. 75% or more	13/21 d	अर्थायम् व	
F. Acknowledging that broad categories are at best in American Christianity which one of the following be	iperfect a est descri	pproxim ses your	nations, within the broad spectrum of theological perspective?
3% Very Liberal 43 Moderate 16 Liberal 33 Conservati	ve	<u>5</u> v	ery Conservative
G. In what year were you born? 19 41 (MEAN)	Year ord	ained? 19	<u>70 (</u> mean)
H. Denomination in which ordained?	O'ILI	HED	DENOMINATIONS
I. Current denomination? 9% HAVE	SWITE	<u> </u>	DEIGOTINA
J. What is your race/ethnicity?	0 <u>.5</u> N	lative Ar	nerican
96% White/Anglo 0.8 3lack 0.5 Asian American 0.7 Hispanic			nerican
1	.5 Othe	r:	
L. Gender: 94%Male 6%Female			

M. Which of the following degrees do you hold? (Check all that apply.)
90% B.D. or M.Div. Seminary:
State or Province:
3 M.R.E. 6 M.A. 7 S.T.M./Th.M. 1 Th.D./S.T.D./Ph.D. 2 Honorary Doctorate (D.D., L.L.D., etc.) 10 Other:
N. What is your marital status?
5% Single, never married 9% Married 3% Widowed
O. Has your marital status changed since May 1982?
690 YesNo If we, please indicate how it has changed.
P. In what state did you live in May 1982?  23% HAVE CHANGED STATES
Q. In what state do you currently live?
R. What was your college grade average?
690  Au) 28  B+G) 23  B-G) 7  C(4) MEAN = 3.9
10 A 4) 20 B (4) 6 C+(6) 6.5 Less than C (9)
S. What was your seminary grade average?
$119_0 A (1) 35 B + (3) 11 B - (5) 2 C (7) MEAN = 3.3$

## VI. IMAGES OF PASTORAL MINISTRY

**NOTE:** The following questions are to be completed by *PARISH CLERGY ONLY*. Non-parish clergy have completed the questionnaire.

A. Listed below are several images or dominant roles in terms of which clergy variously orient their ministry. Please rate each of the role images in terms of its appropriateness as a description of your ministry.

E STE	MEANS_1	Minister of the Word/Teacher of the Convreation:	Very Much Like Me	Moderately Like Me (2)	Moderately Unlike Me (3)	Very Much Unlike Me (4)
,2% ,2%	1.3	. Minister of the Word/Teacher of the Congreation: Finds primary fulfillment in preaching and teaching, and is attracted to a congregation with a strong educational emphasis.	<u>66</u> %	3190	<u>3%</u> 0	0 <u>.3</u> %
490	2.3	. Parish Administrator: Fulfillment comes in administering and managing a productive and effective church organization	14	48	28	9
2%	2.8	. Social Activist: Ministry centers in relating the Gospel to the social context; enjoys being on the cutting edge of social concerns and involvement in community affairs	6	<u>29</u>	41	<u>23</u>

[2 A -0) 24 B (4 3 C+6) 0,! Less than C(8)

nost Uke Me	MEANS	. ,	Funding Partition Co. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	Very Much Like Me	Moderately Like Me	Moderately Unlike Me	Very Much Unlike Me
1190	2.0	4.	Enabler/Facilitator: Centers ministry around work with small groups of people, helping them relate particular interests and needs to the Gospel; organizes parish around a variety of interest and task groups	(1) 27%	51%	<u>20</u> %	(4) <u>3</u> %
8	2.1	5.	Celebrant/Liturgist: Is most at home in leading the congregation in worship; deep appreciation for ritual and ceremonial in both formal and informal settings	<u>3Ľ</u>	<u>43</u>	17	10
6	2.1	6.	Spiritual Guide: Encourages development of the spiritual life by all in the congregation; works intensely with those interested in pursuing spiritual disciplines; the minister's own spiritual life is exemplary	<u> 26</u>	<u>45</u>	<u> 26</u>	4
5	2.2	<i>7</i> .	Witness: focus of ministry is in sharing the Gospel with those in and outside the church; developing the church's evangelistic witness is a primary task of ministry	19	<u>47</u>	<u> 29</u>	5
11	2.0	8.	Counselor/Healer: spends a major part of each week in pastoral counseling and visiting in homes and/or hospitals; finds fulfillment in helping people face their crises	<u>29</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>20</u>	4
t	2.8	9.	Community Chaplain: finds fulfillment in civic roles and leadership; often serves on community committees and task groups; may be chaplain to community groups	9_	23	34	30
	В.	Lo	oking back at the various images, which one is most i	like you? Writ	e in the numb	er	
	i						

# Please return to:

National Doctor of Ministry Study 77 Sherman Street Hartford, CT 06105

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR COOPERATION

If you wish to add any additional comments on your ministry experience or perceptions of the Doctor of Ministry degree, they will be most welcome.

### THE NOVEMBER, 1983, QUESTIONNAIRE

### PRESBYTERIAN PANEL

checked box

#### APPENDIX A

	Number In	Number	Percent
	The Sample	Responding	Responding
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	1,551	807	52%
	749	395	53%
	828	602	72%
	286	197	69%
	260	168	65%

This month's topic is continuing education for "Ministers of the Word" (ordained ministers, including those working in non-parish settings for secular institutions) of the Presbyterian Church. The Vocation Agency is interested in finding out what you think about various continuing education programs for Ministers of the Word and the effect of such programs on their ministries. For the sake of brevity, the term "minister" will be used to refer to ordained "Ministers of the Word" throughout this questionnaire.

Continuing education here refers to focused study of at least several days' duration following an organized, disciplined plan. Continuing education as the term is used in this questionnaire does not include workshops, meetings, or reunions where there are guest speakers. Those types of activities may be very valuable but are not the subject of this study.

#### PART I

MEMBERS

ELDERS

PASTORS

UPC SPEC MIN

NON-UPC SPEC MIN

1. Below are listed some reasons why a minister may want to take part in a continuing education program. Please check how important you think these reasons are for taking part in continuing education. If you do not have an opinion on why a minister should take part in continuing education place a check in this box [ ] and go on to question #3.

MEMBERS ELDERS	4% 2%	•				
PASTORS	*					
UPC SPEC MIN	-					
NON-UPC SPEC MIN						
			COMBINE	NOT	DON'T NO	
	VER	· <del>-</del>	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT		PONSE
	IMP	CRTANT IMPCRTANT	LPPORTMIT	The Outers	1000	
A. TO UPDATE THEOLOGICAL	. KNOWLEDGE IN AN	AREA WHERE HE/SHE	HAS FALLEN B	EHIND		
MEMBERS		35% 42%	15%	2€	18	4%
ELDERS		34% 43%	14%	4%	2%	23
PASTORS		46% 38%	15%	1%	-	*
UPC SPEC MIN		56% 34%	#8	2%	1%	1%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN		46% 36%	15%	2%	48	1%
B. TO PURSUE AN AREA OF	THEOLOGICAL INTE	EREST				
MEMBERS		19% 44%	27%	5%	1%	4%
ELDERS		13% 42%	31%	6%	1%	2%
PASTORS		33% 47%	19%	*	<del></del>	1%
UPC SPEC MIN		35% 47%	16%	1%	-	2%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN		28% 45%	23%	3%	1%	18
<b></b>						
C. TO IMPROVE PRACTICAL	SKILLS SUCH AS I	PREACHING, COUNSEL	ING, ADMINIST	RATION, ETC.		
MEMBERS		58% 33%	5%	18	*	2%
ELDERS		58% 36%		1%	*	2%
PASTORS		68% 28%		1%	-	1%
UPC SPEC MIN		60% 32%		-	1%	1%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN		59% 33%		2%	-	1%
TOTAL OF OF DAY 13TH						
D. FOR SPIRITUAL GROWTH						
MEMBERS		49% 34%	10%	2%	1%	3%
ELDERS		52% 27%	14%	2%	18	3%
PASTORS		54% 34%	. 9%	18	*	1%
UPC SPEC MIN		54% 27%	14%	4%	-	2%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN		38% 35%	20%	4%	1%	2%
E, TO BROADEN ONE'S KNO	WLEDGE BY STUDYI	NG IN NON-THEOLOGI	CAL AREAS SUC	H AS ECONOMICS	s, <u>Literatu</u>	RE, SOCIOLOGY, ETC.
						34

29%

24%

31%

32%

34%

12%

10%

16%

25%

26%

3%

28

18

1%

16%

22%

10%

48

4%

38%

40%

41%

39%

34%

2. In general which of the above factors do you think is the ONE MOST IMPORTANT reason for a minister to take part in continuing education. Please circle the letter (related to the list above) of the most important reason

<u> </u>	A	<u>B</u>		D	£	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	14%	4%	47%	27%	4%	48
	12%	2%	55%	25%	2%	48
	12%	11%	47%	24%	3%	38
	22%	11%	36%	23%	5%	48
	20%	8%	41%	15%	9%	78

3. In terms of continuing education, ministers (clergy), like others, have different needs and opportunities.

In general, however, how valuable do you think the following are for ministers to use of their study

	VERY VALUABLE	VALUABLE	SOMEWHAT VALUABLE	NOT VALUABLE	NO OBINION	NO RESPONSE
IN A FORMAL PROGRAM WO	RKING TOWARD A	DEGREE OR	CERTIFICATE	AT A THEOLO	OGICAL SEMINA	RY
	28%	38%	20%	4%		4%
MEMBERS		36%	26%	6%	4%	3%
ELDERS	25%		24%	6%	3%	1%
PASTORS	31%	34%		2%	2%	1%
UPC SPEC MIN	36%	38%	22%		2%	2%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	26%	48%	18%	5€		
IN A FORMAL PROGRAM WO	RKING TOWARD A	DEGREE OR	CERTIFICATE	AT A SECUL	AR INSTITUTIO	
MEMBERS	9%	32%	37%	9%	88	5% 3%
	88	26%	41%	17%	5%	
£LDERS	12%	30%	40%	12%	<del>6</del> %	18
PASTORS			39%	8%	1%	28
UPC SPEC MIN	15%	35%		68	2%	2%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	18%	38%	33%		•	
ATTENDING NON-CREDIT SE	MINARS OR WORK	SHOPS AT A	SEMINARY OF	THEOLOGICA	L CENTER	
MEMBERS	12%	46%	29%	48	5%	49 29
	12%	45%	33%	4%	5%	
ELDERS	27%	53%	16%	. 18	13	1:
PASTORS			20%	2%	2%	15
UPC SPEC MIN	23%	52%		2%	2%	1:
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	11%	56%	27%			
. ATTENDING NON-CREDIT	SEMINARS OR WOR	RKSHOPS AT A	A SECULAR II	4STITUTION		_
ATTAIN DOC	4%	30%	43%	9%	38	5
MEMBERS	4%	27%	45%	15%	6%	4
ELDERS			37%	7%	2%	2
PASTORS	10%	42%	-	2%	2%	2
UPC SPEC MIN	13%	44%	38%		2%	2
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	88	41%	40%	7%	216	-
. TAKING PART IN A TRAV	el program					
	3%	16%	43%	23%	9%	6
Members		13%	41%	30%	9%	5
ELDERS	2%			13%	68	2
PASTORS	13%	28%	38%		5%	3
UPC SPEC MIN	13%	29%	38%	12%		
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	9%	26%	42%	15%	6%	•
. DOING INDEPENDENT STU	י צלוד					
	98	40%	36%	4%	6%	(
Members	· -		38%	78	3%	
ELDERS	8%	40%	-	2%	1%	
PASTORS	22%	49%	24%		2%	
UPC SPEC MIN	22%	478	25%	2%	3%	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	16\$	40%	33%	6%	34	
3. TAKING PART IN A STU	OY GROUP MADE (	JP OF LOCAL	CLERGY			
MOMBERS	12%	43%	31%			
	10%	45%		5%	3%	
ELDERS					3%	
PASTORS	16%					
UPC SPEC MIN	22%	35%				
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	11%	38%	37%	10%	41	
H. ON A SPIRITUAL RETRE	AT 22%					
MEMBERS	228	42%	24%	. 29		
	* * 248				4%	
ELDERS		T				
				. 21		
PASTORS	31.%				. 59	
PASTORS UPC SPEC MEN	31 8 29 %		25%	41		

4. Should the Presbyterian Church REQUIRE its ministers to do a certain amount of continuing education each year?

	YES, DEFINITELY	PROBABLY	PROBABLY NOT	DEFINITELY NOT	NO OBINION	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	31% 34% 43% 54% 36%	38% 33% 34% 28% 34%	19% 20% 15% 10%	6% 6% 6% 11%	4% 2% 1% 1%	18 * 18 18 18

 Should a minister get the approval of his/her congregation or supervisor of the ministry setting for the type of continuing education he or she undertakes.

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>130</u>	NO OPINION	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	49%	38%	10%	28
	56%	38%	5%	18
	52%	39%	7%	18
	60%	32%	6%	28
	42%	52%	5%	18

 Has your pastor taken part in a continuing education program in the last five years? (CLERGY answer this question in terms of your own experience.)

	YES	NO (Gc to Question #8)	DON'T KNOW	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	61% 77% 94% 87% 70%	6% 4% 5% 6% 16%	30% 16% 4% 11%	3% 4% 1% 4% 4%

If "Yes," what type of continuing education did he/she take part in? (Check ALL the appropriate categories.)

	<u> </u>	Control of the Contro
The number that checked y Mempers R=492	es and responded to the Pastors R=566	remainder of Question 6 and Question 7 are Non-UPC-Spec Min R=117
Elders R=303	UPC Spec Min R=171	

#### checked

IN A FORMAL PROGRAM WORKING TOWARD A DEGREE OR CERTIFICATE AT A THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

	28%
MINBERS	205
ELDERS	31 %
PASTORS	26€
•	26%
UPC SPEC MIN	26%
NON-UPC SPEC NIN	201

IN A FORMAL PROGRAM WORKING TOWARDS A DEGREE OR CERTIFICATE AT A SECULAR INSTITUTION

MEMBERS	6%
ELDERS	3%
PASTORS	78
UPC SPEC MIN	12%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	13%

ATTENDING NON-CREDIT SEMINARS OR WORKSHOPS AT A SEMINARY OR THEOLOGICAL CENTER

MEMBERS	45%
ELDER5	45%
PASTORS	76%
UPC SPEC MIN	61%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	48%

ATTENDING NON-CREDIT SEMINARS OR WORKSHOPS AT A SECULAR INSTITUTION

MEMBERS	16%
ELDERS	22%
PASTORS	38%
UPC SPEC MIN	43%
••• • ··	28%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	20.0

#### TAKING PART IN A TRAVEL PROGRAM

members Elders	26% 18% 25%
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	32% 25%

6. (Continued)		
	checked	
	<del></del>	
DOING INDEPENDENT STUDY		
	208	
MEMBERS	28 <b>%</b> 33 <b>%</b>	
ELDERS	49%	
PASTORS	48%	
UPC SPEC MIN	46%	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	401	
TAKING PART IN A STUDY GROUP C	ONSISTING OF LOCAL CLERGY	
TAKING PART IN A STUDY GROOF C	CEDID: 210 CE COLOR	
MEMBERS	22%	
ELDERS	24%	
PASTORS	44%	
UPC SPEC MIN	30%	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	22%	
<b></b>		
ON A SPIRITUAL RETREAT		,
	34%	
MEMBERS	36%	
ELDERS PASTORS	42%	
UPC SPEC MIN	38%	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	27%	
DON'T KNOW		
<del></del> -	14%	
MENBERS	8%	
ELDERS	•	
Pastors UPC SPEC MIN	-	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	3%	
•		server pretor taking part in this education?
7. What types of important chang	es, if any, have occurred beca	use of your pastor taking part in this education?  more than one person choose the response that typifies
/right anguer in terms of yo	T expertence to It.	HDIE CHAIN ONE PETERS TO STATE OF THE PETERS
most of the instances you hav	e observed.	
For the number respon	onding to Question 7 see note a	t Question 6
		<del></del>
		•
	checked	•
	checked	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN	checked	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR 14%	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS	checked NISTRATOR 14% 13%	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR 14%	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	checked NISTRATOR 14% 13% 34%	•
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	checked NISTRATOR 14% 13% 34% 39%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	checked NISTRATOR 14% 13% 34% 39%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN BECAME A BETTER PREACHER	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN BECAME A BETTER PREACHER MEMBERS	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN BECAME A BETTER PREACHER MEMBERS ELDERS	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN BECAME A BETTER PREACHER MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	<u>checked</u> NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	checked  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI MEMBERS ELDERS ELDERS	Checked  NISTRATOR  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32% 28%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH MEMBERS	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 698 578 498	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH	Checked  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 699 578 498	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32% 28% 69% 57% 49%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS ELDERS PASTORS	Checked  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 699 578 498	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32% 28% 69% 57% 49%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN	Checked  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32% 28% 69% 57% 49%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB	Checked  14% 13% 34% 39% 23%  24% 29% 59% 37% 41%  TUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 32% 28% 69% 57% 49%	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN  NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB  MEMBERS	Checked  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 698 578 498  298 698 578 498	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB  MEMBERS ELDERS ELDERS	Checked  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  CTUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578 498  298 288 628 648 478	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN  NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB  MEMBERS	Checked  NISTRATOR  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  ETUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 699 578 498  298 288 628 648 478	
BECAME A MORE EFFICIENT ADMIN  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  BECAME A BETTER PREACHER  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRI  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  HAD A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN  ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS  MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	Checked  148 138 348 398 238  248 298 598 378 418  ETUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY 328 288 698 578 498  298 288 628 648 478	

	checked
MOVED TO A NEW POSITION	
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS	6% 7% 6%
upc spec min Non-upc spec min	15 <b>%</b>
SPENT MORE TIME IN STUDY EACH W	rek Than Previously
Members Elders	6% 5%
PASTORS	24%
UPC SPEC MIN	27%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	16%
SPENT LESS TIME IN STUDY THAN F	REVIOUSLY
MEMBERS	*
ELDERS	j.g
PASTORS	18
UPC SPEC MIN	18
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	
	END CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM
MEMBERS	10%
ELDERS	37%
PASTORS	33%
UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC, MIN	29%
*	<del></del> -
BECAME TIRED OF EDUCATIONAL PRO	
MEMBERS	* -
ELDERS	_ 2%
PASTORS -	25 1%
UPC SPEC MIN	-
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	
GAINED ADDITIONAL PRESTIGE AND	RESPECT FROM THE CONGREGATION
MEMBERS	20%
ELDERS	23% 19%
PASTORS	15%
UPC SPEC MIN	14%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	474
NO CHANGES ARE APPARENT	17%
MEMBERS	21%
ELDERS	2%
Pastors UPC SPEC MIN	5%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	4%
DON'T KNOW	18%
members Elders	15%
PASTORS	14
UPC SPEC MIN	4%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	78
	(excluding sabbatical) does you

8. How much annual study leave (excluding sabbatical) does your congregation provide for its pastor? If you have more than one pastor answer in terms of your senior pastor. (CLERGY answer in terms of how much study leave you receive in your position).

	NONE	ONE	TWO	THREE	FOUR	FIVE	SIX OR MORE	NO RESPONSE
MEMORES (D., 278) #	3%	119	57%	5%	12%	*	2%	10%
MEMBERS (R=379)* ELDERS (R=289)*	28	73	66%	7%	10%	*	18	78
PASTURS (R=597)*	14	2%	90%	21	2%	-	<del>-</del> .	48
UPC SPEC MIN (R=184)*	78	58	71%	3%	4%	-	2	78
NON-UPC SPEC MIN (R=132)		31	64%	3%	48	-	6%	15%

<sup>\*</sup> The above percentages are based on the number of respondents who said they know how much study leave their congregation provides. The percentages of total respondents who said they don't know how much annual study leave are: Members 53%, R=492 Pastors 1%, R=6 Non-UPC Spec Min 21%, R-36 Elders 27%, R=106 UPC Spec Min 7%, R=13

If you know how much study time is provided, please indicate if you think the time provided is adequate.
 Please indicate how much time SHOULD BE PROVIDED if you think too much or too little time is provided.

	ADEQUATE	TOO MUCH TIME IS PROVIDED	TOO LITTLE TIME	DON'T KNOW IF IT IS ADEQUATE OR NOT	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS (R=379)* ELDERS (R=289)* PASTORS (R=597)* UPC SPEC MIN (R=184)* NCN-UPC SPEC MIN (R=132)* * The number responding is	2% 2% 17%  given because	- - - - e those who che	* - - 3% ecked "don't know"	7% 13% - - 11% in Q.#8 skipped	90% 85% 83% 100% 86% Q.#9.

10. Does your congregation provide funds for the minister to use in paying the cost of continuing education such as for tuition, travel, etc.? (CLERCY please answer in terms of your situation)

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS	53%	9%	34%	4%
ELDERS	74%	12%	124	28
PASTORS	86%	12%	•	18
UPC SPEC MIN	70%	18%	8%	4%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	58%	20%	17%	5%

11. If your congregation does provide funds for continuing education is the amount adequate?

(CLERGY answer in terms of your situation)

	YES	NO	DON'T KNOW	<b>NO</b> RESPONSE
MEMBERS (R-428)	50%	12%	36%	2%
ELDERS (R=291)	56%	13%	29%	2%
PASTORS (R=519)	54%	44%	1%	1%
UPC SPEC MIN (R=138)	55%	40%	4%	18
NON-UPC SPEC MIN (R=97)	50%	32%	16%	1%

12. Should congregations provide paid sabbaticals (long periods of time for study, usually six weeks or more, every four to seven years) for minister in addition to regular study leave time?

	DEFINITELY YES	YES	NO_	DEFINITELY NOT	DON'T KNOW	NO RESPONSE
Members Elders Pastors UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	11% 9% 40% 43% 37%	37% 27% 34% 39% 38%	28% 32% 12% 8% 14%	3% 6% 1% 1%	174 238 104 83 58	4% 3% 2% 1% 5%

13. Do you regularly spend time in studying in a structured education program (i.e., enrolled in a course)?

	YES	NO	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS	26%	68%	5%
ELDERS	24%	68%	8%
PASTORS	21%	78%	1%
UPC SPEC MIN	25%	73%	2%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	28%	68%	4%

14. Do you regularly spend time reading professional journals or books on your own?

	YES	NG	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS	621	32%	6%
ELDERS	62%	30%	7\$
PASTORS	91%	8%	18
UPC SPEC MIN	931	6%	19
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	88%	9%	3%

#### PART II

For the last ten years seminaries have been granting a new degree, the Doctor of Ministry (D.Min.). Most D.Min. degrees are awarded to clergy who have a basic seminary degree [Bachelor of Divinity (B.D.) or Master of Divinity (M.Div.)] and have been active in ministry for several years. The degree is a professional, rather than an academic doctorate, and focuses more on the practice of ministry than on preparation for teaching and research.

15. Before receiving this questionnaire had you ever heard of the D.Min. degree?

	YES	NO	NOT SURE	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS	36%	51%	10%	2%
ELDERS	40%	48%	10%	1%
PASTORS	99%	*	*	14
UPC SPEC MIN	100%	-	-	18
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	96%	3%	1%	18

Even if you have little specific knowledge about the D.Min degree, it is still important to obtain your general feelings on the following issues. Please indicate your agreement or disagreement with the following statements by placing a mark

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	KNOM DON'T	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	NO RESPONSE	
ALL OTHER FACTORS BEING EQUAL, A MINIST BACHELOR OF DIVINITY.	TER WITH A D.MI	n. Shoul	d be pai	D MORE THAN	ia minister	WHO HAS A	MASTERS OF
MEMBERS	6%	39%	22%	26%	4%	2%	
ELDERS	7%	39%	22%	26%	5%	21	
PASTORS	6%	27%		43%	119	1%	
UPC SPEC MIN	6%	26%		43%	108	1%	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	10%	31#	10%	40%	8%	1%	
ALL OTHER FACTORS BEING EQUAL, A MINIST BACHELOR OF DIVINITY DEGREE.	TER WITH A D.MI	n. SHOUL	D BE HIF	RED IN PREFI	ERENCE TO SO	MEONE WHO	has a masti
MEMBERS	4%	21%	19%	48%	6%	2%	
ELDERS	48	25%	16%	478	6%	2%	
PASTORS	3%	15%		48%	19%	1%	
UPC SPEC MIN	2%	23%		523	12%	18	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	5%	19%	13%	49%	12%	1%	
A MINISTER WHO HAS EARNED THE D.MIN. S	HOULD BE CALLEI	DR."	N PUBLIC	SETTINGS.			
MEMBERS	9%	33%	223	25%	8%	3%	
ELDERS	6%	37%		23%	7%	3%	
PASTORS	49	30%		30%	14%	3%	
UPC SPEC MIN	3%	28%	16%	37%	149	18	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	94	29%	119	30%	178	4%	
. A MINISTER WHO HAS A D.MIN. DEGREE IS NOT HAVE THE DEGREE.	MORE LIKELY TO	BE RESPI	CTED BY	OTHER COMM	UNITY LEADED	es than if	HE/SHE DID
	6%	38%	18%	31%	51	3%	
MEMBERS	6%	39%	223	27%	4%	31	
MEMBERS STINSTOR				26%	5%	2%	
ELDERS	54	384	24%	#D5			
		38 <b>4</b> 34 <b>4</b>	24% 29%	291	54 34	11	

HIRED IN PREFERENCE TO SOMEONE WHO DOES NOT.

MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	16%	50%	13%	18%	18	28
	12%	56%	8%	19%	28	48
	21%	58%	7%	11%	18	18
	32%	50%	8%	7%	28	18
	26%	51%	4%	16%	28	28

21. ALL OTHER FACTORS BEING EQUAL, REGULAR PARTICIPATION IN CONTINUING EDUCATION SHOULD BE GIVEN MORE WEIGHT IN A HIRING DECISION THAN WHETHER A PERSON HAS A D.MIN. DEGREE.

MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	12% 12% 21% 29% 28%	49% 50% 56% 47% 48%	19% 21% 14% 13% 16%	15% 13% 6% 10% 6%	1% 2% 1% 1% 1%	4% 2% 1% 1%
--	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------

22. Given what you know of the D.Min. program which of the following represents your opinion of the D. Min program. (Check only GNE response.)

(CTIECH NITT) and and					
	IT SHOULD BE A MARK OF DISTINCTION WITH VERY SELECTIVE ADMISSION POLICIES.	IT SHOULD BE OPEN TO ALL CLERGY WHO WANT A STRUCTURED PROGRAM OF CONTINUING EDUCATION	DON'T KNOW/		NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	18% 22% 15% 19% 19%	59% 54% 70% 62% 59%	14% 15% 5% 6% 7%	4% 7% 8% 12% 14%	4% 3% 2% 2% 1%
*****					11:

23. Do you know of at least one person who has been or is enrolled in a D.Min. program? (CLERGY if you personally have been enrolled please answer "YES")

1000	YES	NO	NOT SURE	NO RESPONSE
MEMBERS ELDERS PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	25%	57%	16%	24
	28%	58%	13%	24
	78%	18%	*	34
	83%	13%	1%	38
	78%	17%	5%	18

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION \$23 PLEASE ANSWER THE REMAINING QUESTIONS. CLERGY WHO DID NOT ANSWER YES, PROCEED TO QUESTION \$29. MEMBERS AND ELDERS WHO DID NOT ANSWER "YES" TO QUESTION \$23 HAVE FINISHED THIS QUESTICANAIRE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE ANY COMMENTS CONCERNING THE D.MIN. DEGREE OR CONTINUING EDUCATION PLEASE WRITE YOUR COMMENTS AT THE END OF THE QUESTICANAIRE.

The number that responded to questions #24-26 are Members R-199 Pastors R-472 Non-UPC Spec Min R-131 Elders R=110 UPC Spec Min R=164

In questions #24-26 we would like your opinion of the effect that the D.Min. program had on the person you know who had been or is enrolled in such a program. If you know of more than one person choose the response that typifies most of the instances you have observed.

24. Please think of the persons you know who have entered D.Min. programs. Which of the following effects did you observe while they were in the program? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

BECAME MORE INTERESTED IN AND COMMITTED TO THEIR JOBS IN THEIR MINISTRY SETTING

wave rod	47%
MEMBERS	44%
ELDERS	53%
PASTORS	65%
UPC SPEC MIN	52%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	

## BECAME DISTRACTED FROM THINGS REQUIRED IN THEIR MINISTRY

NAME OF THE PARTY	178
MEMBERS	13%
ELDERS	281
PASTORS	18%
UPC SPEC MIN	171
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	

## HAD TROUBLE MANAGING CLAIMS ON THEIR TIME

	179
MEMBERS	259
ELDERS	369
PASTORS	329
UPC SPEC MIN	
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	28

## BECAME MORE EFFICIENT; USED TIME BETTER

MEMBERS 19	91
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04
ELDERS	91
PASTORS	
UPC SPEC MIN	90
NON-UPC SPEC MIN 2	4%

### DEVELOPED FAMILY PROBLEMS

MEMBERS	94 54
ELDERS PASTORS	128 128
UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	103

#### 24. (Continued)

ה אם מקום מהפסחפת	MIN (1 SEE	DOCYTDAM	RECAUSE	TT WAS	700	DEMANDING

MEMBERS	2%
ELDERS	1%
PASTORS	138
UPC SPEC MIN	11%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	5%

#### SHOWED RENEWED ENTHUSIASM FOR THEIR PRESENT JOB

MEMBERS	36%
ELDERS	36%
PASTORS	42%
UPC SPEC MIN	58%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	43%

### BECAME RESTLESS IN THEIR CURRENT POSITION

MEMBERS	20%
ELDERS	20%
PASTORS	30%
UPC SPEC MIN	31.9
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	27%

### NONE OF THE ABOVE

MEMBERS	10%
ELDERS	14%
PASTORS	9%
UPC SPEC MIN	5%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	118

25. Think of the persons who have completed D.Min. programs. Which if any of the following effects have you observed? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

#### BECAME MORE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATORS

MEMBERS	25%
ELDERS	22%
PASTORS	32%
UPC SPEC MIN	41%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	21%

#### BECAME BETTER PREACHERS

MEMBERS	31%
ELDERS	259
PASTORS	25%
UPC SPEC MIN	26%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	271

#### EXERCISED PASTORAL AND SPIRITUAL CARE MORE COMPETENTLY

MEMBERS	24%
ELDERS	20%
PASTORS	41.%
UPC SPEC MIN	46%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	30%

### GAINED A NEW THEOLOGICAL DEPTH

MEMBERS	33%
ELDERS	26%
PASTORS	44%
UPC SPEC MIN	598
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	37%

#### WERE USUALLY ANXIOUS TO FIND A NEW JOB

MEMBERS	12%
ELDERS	16%
PASTORS	229
UPC SPEC MIN	18%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	191

#### 25. (Continued)

## GENERALLY MOVED TO A NEW POSITION

MEMBERS	20%
ELDERS	178
<del></del>	26%
PASTORS	
UPC SPEC MIN	24%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	20%

## SPENT MORE TIME IN STUDY EACH WEEK THAN THEY DID BEFORE

MEMBERS	10%
ELDERS	16%
PASTORS	22%
UPC SPEC MIN	35%
** * , · · · ·	18%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	204

## SPENT LESS TIME IN STUDY THAN THEY DID BEFORE

MEMBERS	21
ELDERS	-
PASTORS	31
UPC SPEC MIN	21
MON-UPC SPEC MIN	41

## WERE MORE LIKELY TO ATTEND CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAMS THAN THEY DID BEFORE

MEMBERS	15%
FIDERS	16%
PASTORS	20%
UPC SPEC MIN	36%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	21%

## WERE TIRED OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, AT LEAST FOR THE TIME BEING

MEMBERS	5%
ELDERS	3%
PASTORS	18#
UPC SPEC MIN	15%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	14%

## GAINED ADDITIONAL PRESTIGE AND RESPECT BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE DEGREE

MEMBERS	32%
ELDERS	36%
PASTORS	37%
UPC SPEC MIN	38%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	38%

#### NONE OF THE ABOVE

MEMBERS	8%
ELDERS	12%
PASTORS	7%
UPC SPEC MIN	41
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	5%

26. Which if any, of the following effects on the congregation or ministry setting of having a clergyperson in a D.Min. program have you observed? Please check all that you see as important effects.

MOST PEOPLE IN THE MINISTRY SETTING ARE PROUD THAT THEIR CLERGYPERSON WAS ENROLLED IN THE PROGRAM

MEMBERS 45% ELDERS 50% PASTORS 54% UPC SPEC MIN 66%		
ELDERS 50% PASTORS 54% UPC SPEC MIN 66%	MEMBERS	45%
PASTORS 54% UPC SPEC MIN 66%	· · <u> </u>	50%
UPC SPEC MIN 66%		EAN
UPC SPEC MIN	PASTORS	
EAA	UPC SPEC MIN	56%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN		50%

MOST PEOPLE IN THE SETTING HAVE FELT NEGLECTED AND RESENTFUL BECAUSE OF THEIR MINISTER'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE D.MIN. PROGRAM

MEMBERS	4%
ELDERS	7%
PASTORS	8%
UPC SPEC MIN	5%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	5%

28. We would like to know what involvement, if any, you have had with a D.Min. program. Please check the one statement that best summarizes your experience.

the one statement th	wat bes	st sum	narizes	your	exper	ience.								
			IN A E	ROGRA IVESTI	M AND I	HAVE THE POS	B SSI- T	AVE NEVE UT HAVE HE POSSI F INVOLV	R BEEN E INVESTIC BILITY EMENT	inrolled Pated		CURRENT	CLY	
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN					23% 24% 34%				33% 24% 16%			9: 4:	à.	
						ENROL! ROPPED			I COMPI	ETED GRAM AT		NO RES	5PONSE	
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN						6 <sup>9</sup> 5 <sup>9</sup> 2 <sup>9</sup>	a.			13% 11% 6%			17% 28% 38%	
Number currently en	rolled													
	DREW	PRIN	CETON	NEWT		LOUISV	ILLE	WESTER	<u>DUBU</u>	QUE CE	ICAGO		RMICK	PERKINS
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	2 1 -		_ _ 1	1 -		4 2 -		1	<u>1</u> _		1		2 7 2	1
			EDEN		AUSTIN		ILIFF	FUI	LLER	JESUIT		san Franci	sco	
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN			- - 1		1 -		<u>.</u>		5 2	2		15 2 1		
Number that dropped	out													
	PRINC	ETON	NEW Y	CRK	COLGAT	E PI	TTSBUF	G WEST	TEA TO	UISVILLI	<u>CAN</u>	DLER	DNBOČI	J <u>E</u>
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	2 - -		2 - -		5  -		3 1 ~		-	1		1 -	1 -	
		CHICA	<u>co</u> .	MCCORN	<u>arck</u>	PHILL	IPS	FULLER	San Fra	NCISCO	-			
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN		1 -		10 2 -		1 -		2 - -		7 2 3				
Number that have co	aplete	d prod	ram											
	HARTE	ORD	DREW	PRIN	CETON	ANDOV NEWIC		OLGATE	UNION NEW YO	RK PI	MTSBURC	LAN	CASTER	
Pastors UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	1	•	4 1 -	1		1 2 -		3 1	<u>-</u>		<u>,</u>		- 1	2 - -
	UNION VIRGI	NIA	LOUISV	TLLE	LUTHE	ERAN	VANDE	BILD	METHODIS	er corr	istian	SAII FRAI		DUBUQUE
PASTORS UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	6 1 1		1 - -		- 1		2 - -		1 -		- -	:	<u>-</u>	3 - -
	BETHA	NY i	10CORMI	ск	PHILLI	es <u>P</u>	RKINS	EDEN	BRITE	AUSTI	א <u>FU</u>	LLER	CLAREM	<u>CNT</u> ,
Pastors UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	1 Ξ		22 9 -		1		ī	1	1	5 -		4	1 -	
				N ANCIS	<u>∞</u>									
Pastors UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN				9 1 4										

29. If you have never been enrolled or have dropped out, now likely is it that you will enroll in the future?

	VERY LIKELY (CERTAIN I WILL ENROLL)	LIKELY	NOT' SURE	TIKETA NOL	(CERTAIN I WILL NOT ENROLL)	DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO MAKE A DECISION	NO RESPONSE
PASTORS	4%	9%	18%	24%	16%	•	28%
UPC SPEC MIN	4%	6€	7%		20% 30%	18	36% 36%
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	_	2%	7%	: 24%	304	***	

30. Below are listed some reasons why the clergy may enter a D.Min. program. In general, how important do you believe these reasons are for MOST CLERGY who enroll in a D.Min. program.

Mediate diego francia de la								
	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT	NOT IMPORTANT		NO RESPONSE		
TO BROADEN AND DEEPEN THEIR THEOLOGICAL UNDERSTANDING								
PASTORS	361	43%	10%	21	2% 1%	7% 11%		
UPC SPEC MIN NON-UPC SPEC MIN	34% 28%	38% 34%	14% 14%	2% 2%	11	21%		
******								
TO EARN A CREDENTIAL WHICH WILL								
PASTORS	47 188	29%	26%	17%	19	8%		
UPC SPEC MIN	18%		27%	13%	2%	14%		
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	16%	30%	18%	12%	1%	23%		
TO IMPROVE THEIR SKILLS AS A MINISTER IN THEIR PRESENT SETTING								
PASTORS	42%	43%		1%	1%	5%		
UPC SPEC MIN	43%	38%	6%	2%				
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	33%	37%		2%	18	18%		
TO MAKE THEMSELVES ELIGIBLE FOR								
PASTORS	22 4 118 -	228_	29%					
UPC SPEC MIN	88	23%						
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	124	23%	23%	16%	18	24%		
FOR PELLOWSHIP WITH OTHER CLERGY								
PASTORS	3 & 70 , 100	281	32%	18%	2%	118		
UPC SPEC MIN	12%	34%						
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	7%	24%	24%	17%	2%	26%		
POR PERSONAL AND SPIRITUAL GROWTH								
PASTORS	35%	40%	15%	34	18	5%		
UPC SPEC MIN	32%	33%	19%		2%			
NON-UPC SPEC MIN	218	31%	184	5%	11	23%		
taring many master ( terres								

#### APPENDIX B

REGIONAL ANALYSIS: A SUMMARY OF STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS RELATED TO REGIONAL LOCATION

Appendix B, which follows, presents the results for each major sample (i.e., members, elders and pastors) of all regional analyses which proved to be significant (probability .05, Chi-square Test). These regions may be linked to the Synods of the United Presbyterian Church by the following approximations:

## Region

Northeast Great Lakes Mid-West Southeast South Central Mountain West

## Synods

The Northeast and The Trinity
The Covenant and Lincoln Trails
Mid-America and Lakes and Prairies
The Piedmont and The South
The Sun
Rocky Mountains and The Southwest
The Pacific, Southern California
and Alaska-Northwest

Small portions of certain synods may lie in regions other than those cited above. This brief descriptive summary discusses only those results in which an interpretable trend is evident.

## PART I: INFORMATION ON CONTINUING EDUCATION

## A. Reasons For Taking Part In Continuing Education

Pastors in the seven regions of the United States do not agree on the importance of spiritual growth as a reason a minister may want to take a course in continuing education. It is clear that the pastors serving in the Southeast are the most likely to say spiritual growth is a very important reason for such a study. However, it is not clear in which region the pastors are the least likely to see spiritual growth as an important reason to take part in continuing education. The members located in the South Central region were more likely than other members to see spiritual growth as important in motivating continuing education of ministers than were the respondents in about one-half the other regions. On the other hand, then were the respondents in about one-half the other regions. On the other hand, if we look at those who responded "somewhat important" and "not important" we see that those members residing in the South Central have the highest percentage of these less favorable responses. This information is provided in Table #1.

TABLE #1

THE RESPONSES OF PASTORS TO THE QUESTION ASKING HOW IMPORTANT SPIRITUAL GROWTH IS AS A REASON FOR TAKING PART IN A CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM

Region	Very Important	Important	Somewhat Important	Not Important or Don't Know
Northeast Great Lakes Mid-West Southeast South Central Mountain West	53% 48% 58% 66% 55% 51% 61%	37% 42% 35% 26% 25% 38% 32%	10% 10% 6% 7% 15% 4%	1% - 1% 5% 7%

There were regional differences in the value that elders saw in a minister of the Word participating in a formal continuing education program at a secular institution. Twenty percent of the elders serving in the South Central region, 12% in the Southeast region, ten percent in the Great Lakes region and six percent or less of those located in other regions responded that they thought it would be "very valuable" for a pastor to take part in a formal program working toward a degree or certificate at a secular institution. The percentage of elders in each region who said that this type of program would not be valuable are: Northeastern area 26%, South Central area 23%, Great Lakes and Western areas 16%, Mountain area 13%, Southeastern 8% and Midwestern area 6%. What is interesting is that the elders in the South Central region were the most likely to respond that this type of program was very valuable and they were the second most likely to respond not valuable, indicating some polarity on this subject.

# B. The Role Of The Congregation In Continuing Education

Below in Table #2, we have the percentage of members and elders who said the minister should get the approval of his or her congregation for the type of continuing education he/she wishes to undertake.

TABLE #2

# THE PERCENTAGE OF MEMBERS AND ELDERS WHO SAID YES A MINISTER SHOULD GET THE APPROVAL OF HIS/HER CONGREGATION FOR THE TYPE OF CONTINUING EDUCATION HE OR SHE UNDERTAKES

Region	Members	Elders
Mid-West Southeast Northeast Great Lakes Mountain West South Central	58% 53% 53% 50% 44% 41% 40%	65% 59% 54% 59% 56% 69% 35%

The members and elders located in the Mid-west are more likely than those from almost any other region to say a minister should get the approval of the congregation. The members and elders located in the South Central region are the least likely to say a minister should get such approval. As can be seen from the last likely to say a minister should get such approval. As can be seen from the last likely to say a minister should get such approval. For last located in some regions the responses of members and elders are very different. For instance, only 41% of the members (second lowest percentage) but 69% of the elders located in the West (the highest percentage) said the minister should get the approval of the congregation for continuing educational pursuits.

## C. Types Of Continuing Education Programs Pastors Attend

According to members residing in the Great Lakes area, 56% of their pastors have attended a non-credit seminar or workshop at a seminary or theological center. That is the highest percentage reported by those in any region. The second highest percentage of members reporting their pastor took part in this type of continuing education was the South Central region (52%). The lowest percentage reporting such study is found among those located in the Western or Mid-Western regions, with only 34% saying their pastor had attended a non credit course at a seminary or theological center. From 41% to 46% of the members living in the Northeast, Southeast or Mountain region responded that their pastor had attended non-credit seminars at a seminary or theological center.

## D. Time And Money Available For Continuing Education

About 35% of the elders serving in the Great Lakes, Southeast or South Central regions said they did not know how much study leave their pastor received, compared to less than one-fifth of the elders serving in the Mountain, Western or Northeast regions. (Those elders located in the Mid-West fell between these two extremes.)

From 72% to 74% of those members located in the Great Lakes, Southeast or Western regions regularly read professional journals or books, while 65% to 68% of those members residing in the South Central or Mountain regions do so. Those members residing in the Northeast or Mid-West regions are the least likely to report regular readership of professional journals or books.

## PART II: ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE DOCTOR OF MINISTRY DEGREE

## A. General Feelings Concerning The D.Min. Degree

In Table #3, are shown the percentage of members who "strongly agree," "strongly disagree" and "disagree" with the statement that "all other factors being equal, a minister who regularly engages in some educational activity should be hired in preference to someone who does not." It is clear that those members living in the South Central or Western regions are more supportive of this statement while those members living in the Northeast are in the greatest disagreement with the statement.

TABLE #3

# RESPONSE OF MEMBERS TO QUESTION #20. ALL OTHER FACTORS BEING EQUAL, A MINISTER WHO REGULARLY ENGAGES IN SOME EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITY SHOULD BE HIRED IN PREFERENCE TO SOMEONE WHO DOES NOT

Region	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree or Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Northeast Great Lakes Mid-West Southeast South Central Mountain West	14% 12% 17% 18% 25% 12% 21%	47% 62% 48% 50% 45% 45%	27% 14% 17% 21% 17% 18% 15%	12% 12% 18% 11% 13% 11%

The responses "disagree" and "strongly disagree" are combined because only three percent or less of the members in any region strongly disagreed.

# B. Perceived Effect On Clergy While In A D.Min. Program

Those pastors serving in the Mountain region were more likely to have dropped out of a Q.Min. program because it was too demanding than were those pastors serving in other regions. Pastors serving in the Mid-West or South Central regions were the least likely to have dropped out for this reason.

# C. Effect On Congregation Of Having A Clergyperson In The Program

In Question #26 the panelists were asked if morale had suffered because of their minister's enrollment in a D.Min. program. Fifteen percent of the pastors serving in the West said it had, compared to seven percent or less of the pastors in the other regions.

# D. Clergy's Involvement In A D.Min. Program

When the pastors were asked how important they thought the reason "to broaden and deepen their theological understanding "was in a pastor's decision to enter a D.Min. program no regional difference appear if the categories "very important" and "important" are combined. However, if we look only at the category "very important" the pastors serving in the West, Southeast or Great Lakes regions are more likely to say "very important" than are the pastors located in the other regions.

### APPENDIX C

DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS: A SUMMARY OF STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT VARIATIONS RELATED TO SEX, AGE, INCOME, ETC.

## INTRODUCTION

This brief descriptive summary presents the results of a demographic analysis of panelists' responses to the November Panel Questionnaire. The demographic variables which are used in the analysis of members' and elders' responses include, sex, age, income, marital status, and church size. Variables used in the analysis of the pastors' sample include sex, age, marital status, education and church size.

In addition to these variables, additional analyses were conducted on the responses of members and elders using several constructed scales and variables: congregational participation, theological/devotional stance, leadership, and identification of "evangelicals." The congregational participation scale divides members and elders into high, average and low levels of participation in worship and other congregational activities. The theological/devotional scale divides these same two samples into three groups of approximately the same size (more conservative, traditional and more liberal) on the basis of responses to questions concerned with devotional practices, Biblical interpretation and theological stance. The leadership scale divides the samples into leaders and non-leaders with leaders being those that say they hold two or more leadership positions in their congregation. (For elders that means two positions besides being an elder.) Finally, "evangelicals" are defined as those members and elders who have had a "born again" experience and who have attempted personally to win people to Christ. The information on these variables was gathered in the background questionnaire that panelists filled out when they first became members of the Presbyterian Panel.

The results of this month's study showed many more statistically significant relationships than usual among these kinds of variables. This increase in the number of relationships appears to be the result of the different exposure the various groups have had to continuing education, the topic of this Panel survey. For example, respondents' educational level was related to how they answered some of the questions which is probably due to the difference in educational experience the groups have had. Members' and elders' income is also related to how members and elders answered some of the questions. Presently we have not looked at whether and elders answered some of the fact that more affluent people have, in general, this relationship is due to the fact that more affluent people have, in general, more education than those who are less affluent or that the higher income respondents are exposed to continuing education more frequently in their jobs.

Sex is another demographic variable that is significantly related to responses to some of the questions. We do not know why, but differences between responses of males and females appear more often than in other studies. There were also a larger number of significant relationships among the non-demographic variables we looked at in Appendix C. In general these relationships are what one would expect. You would expect that members and elders who are either leaders or high on expect. You would expect that members and elders who are either leaders or high on the participation scale or high on both of these dimensions to have more knowledge of their congregation's and pastor's activities.

Members and elders who are evangelicals and or theologically conservative often gave the same responses as those members and elders who are leaders and rank high on the participation scale. Presently, we do not know to what extent these are the

same groups of panelists (i.e., the variables may be interrelated). It is also possible that these non-demographic relationships are related to the demographic ones. The older elders could be those who are most likely to be leaders in their congregation as well as those who most are theological conservative. It is also possible that age could explain most of the differences on questions of knowledge with the oldest respondents having the most knowledge.

In any case, there are more statistically significant relationships than in many previous Panel studies. These relationships will be individually reported for each question. To help the reader find results for a particular question he or she might be interested in, the same subheadings are used as were used in the first part of this report. Only those variables for which there is an interpretable trend or are seen as having substantive significance are included in this report. Only relationships that were found to be statistically significant at a Chi-Square level equal to or less than .05 were considered for this report. In addition only those variables for which there are an interpretable trends, or which are seen as having substantive significance, are included.

## PART I: INFORMATION ON CONTINUING EDUCATION

## A. Reasons For Taking Part In Continuing Education

In Question #1 the respondents were asked to check how important they thought various reasons were for a minister to take part in continuing education. There was some variation in answers according to the sex of the respondents. Among elders, a higher percentage of women compared to men responded that updating theological knowledge and spiritual growth are important reasons for continuing education. Among pastors, women are more likely than men to see pursuing an area of theological interest for spiritual growth and to improve practical skills as important reasons.

If we look at the age it is evident that, the younger the pastor, the more likely he or she is to say it is very important to pursue an area of theological interest and to improve practical skills. The responses of the elders and members on this item varied according to whether they were leaders, evangelicals, high on the participation scale or theologically conservative or liberal. Elders and members who are involved in two or more leadership roles in their congregation are more likely than non-leaders to respond that taking part in continuing education to update theological knowledge is very important. Those members who are evangelicals, those who are theologically conservative and those high on the participation scale are more likely to consider taking part in continuing education to be important than are non-evangelicals, those theologically less conservative and those not high on the participation scale.

In Question #2 the respondents were asked to select the one most important reason for a minister to take part in continuing education. The reason, "to improve practical skills," received the highest percentage of responses from all groups except women pastors. Women pastors are more likely to see "pursuing an area of theological interest" as the most important reason. (There were also some differences related to sex on how important the other various reasons are.)

Among members, elders, and pastors, a larger percentage of women than men selected spiritual growth as the most important reason for a pastor to undertake continuing education. Those members and elders who are evangelicals and those who are

theologically conservative are more likely than those who are not evangelicals and those less theologically conservative to see spiritual growth as an important reason. Members who are high in participation are also more likely to see spiritual growth as an important reason than those less active.

In Question #3 the panelists were asked to rate how valuable different types of programs are for the clergy to participate in. Female elders were more likely than their male counterparts to rate as very important "attending non-credit seminars or workshops at a seminary or theological center," "taking part in a travel program," "taking part in a study group made up of local clergy," and "on a spiritual retreat." Female members are also more likely than men to see going on a spiritual retreat as very important.

In general, for Question #3, the lower a member's or elder's income the more likely he or she is to respond by checking the category "don't know/no opinion." These high rates of "don't know/no opinion" may make it appear that members and elders are more negative or positive than they would be if they had more information about this topic.

Keeping the above information in mind, members earning between \$10,000 through \$29,000 are less likely to respond that non-credit seminars or formal programs at secular institutions are valuable than members earning more or less than those amounts. Interestingly, the members that earn less than \$10,000 are the most likely to see a travel seminar as valuable.

The more income an elder has the more likely he or she is to see a non-credit seminar at a seminary or theological center as valuable. Elders earning more than \$30,000 are more likely to consider formal program at secular institutions and seminaries to be more valuable than those earning less money.

Age also seems to be associated with differences in opinion about this issue. The oldest members (65 years old or older) and the older pastors are less likely to see non-credit seminars at a secular institution as valuable than are younger members and pastors. In addition, the youngest members, (those under 26 years old) are the most likely to respond that travel seminars are valuable.

Responses differed according to the sex of the respondent as well as age in that women members are somewhat more likely than men to find formal programs at theological seminaries to be valuable and women elders are more likely to find non-credit seminars at theological seminaries to be more valuable than are men. Both women elders and members are more likely to find the following programs more valuable than their male counterparts: taking part in travel program; belonging to a study group made up of local clergy, and, going on a spiritual retreat. In addition to the differences by sex, the higher the participation level of members the more likely they are to see a spiritual retreat as valuable. Both for members and elders, those who are evangelicals are twice as likely as the non-evangelicals to see going on a spiritual retreat as valuable. In addition, elders who are leaders and members who are theologically conservative are more likely to say going on a spiritual retreat is valuable than are elders who are not leaders and members who are more theologically liberal.

As expected, pastors with a D.Min. degree are more than twice as likely to see a formal program at a theological seminary as being valuable than are their counterparts who have not earned a D.Min.

## B. The Role Of The Congregation In Continuing Education

In Question #6 the respondents were asked if their pastor had taken part in a continuing education program in the last five years. Not surprisingly, the members and elders who are in leadership roles in their congregations were more likely to say "yes" than non-leaders. Non-leaders were the most likely not to know whether their pastor had taken part in such a program in the last five years.

Members who are evangelicals, high on the participation scale and theologically conservative are also more likely to respond yes to this question. Among elders, the theologically conservative are also more likely than the more liberal to say that their pastor has taken part in a continuing education program in the last five years.

It is probably not surprising that pastors who are 65 years old or older are the least likely of the pastors' group to report that they have taken part in any continuing education program in the last five years. The differences among the other age groups of pastors is very small in this regard.

Age does have a strong influence on whether a pastor has worked on an independent study project. Over 50% of the pastors under 45 years of age have worked on an independent study program in the last five years compared to only 25% of the pastors 65 years old or older who have done so. In looking at the percentage of pastors who have been involved in a travel program it is evident that pastors with either a D.Min. or a Ph.D.\* are more likely to have taken part in this type of program.

## C. Perceived Effect Of Taking Part In Continuing Education

In Question #7 the respondents were asked what changes occurred as a result of their pastor taking part in a continuing education program. The members who are in leadership roles in their congregations are more likely than others to respond that their pastor is now more likely to attend other continuing education programs and that the pastor has a new theological depth. Members who are not evangelicals and are theologically liberal are the most likely to say the continuing education program resulted in gaining additional prestige and respect from the congregation. The members who are evangelicals on our scale are more likely than others to say the pastor exercises his or her pastoral and spiritual care more competently. similar association is found with the participation scale scores and responses to this item: 36% of the elders who are high on this scale compared to 14% of who score the lowest responded that the pastor exercises his pastoral and spiritual duties more competently. Differences in pastors' levels of educational attainment are also associated with responses to Question #7. The pastors with a D.Min. degree are more likely (69%) than are pastors with a Ph.D. (40%) to say that attending the program resulted in their becoming a better researcher. Also the pastors with a D.Min. are the most likely to say that attendance resulted in their becoming better administrators and gaining additional prestige.

Ninety-one percent of the women who are pastors, compared to 75% of the men, say that as a result of attending a continuing education program they now spend more time in study than they had previously.

<sup>\*</sup>When the term Ph.D. is used, I am also referring to S.T.D., Th.D., Ed.D. and other academic doctorates.

# D. Time And Money Available For Continuing Education

As expected, members and elders who hold leadership positions have more knowledge about the time and money provided to their pastor than do non-leaders. In addition the members who are traditionally seen as less active in the church have the least knowledge. These are the members who are young, single and male. The members of the largest churches are also the least likely to know how much time or money is available to their pastor for continuing education.

One very interesting finding is that members who are not evangelicals and members who are theologically liberal, and members who are low in participation have the least knowledge. This seems to point to the fact that if a member is low in participation the member is probably not an evangelical (as we define it) but is probably theologically liberal. Some may take that to be common knowledge, but the correlation is not always as strong as it is in this study.

As we would expect, pastors in the smallest churches (100 or fewer members) were more likely than those in the larger churches to say that funding for continuing education was not provided by the church. Possibly related to the above finding is that, the older the pastor, the more likely he or she is to say that the congregation does not provide funding. Ninety-four percent of the pastors under 34 years of age say that funding is provided by the congregation compared to only 60% of the pastors 65 years old or older.

Question #11 asked if the amount of funding provided for continuing education was adequate. As would be expected, among members, those who are not in leadership roles in their congregations are the most likely to say they don't know about this whereas those in leadership roles are the most likely to say it is adequate.

The next question, Question #12, asked if paid sabbaticals should be provided for the clergy. In this case it appears that the opinions of the members are shaped by the size of the congregation they belong to with members in larger congregations being more likely to say a sabbatical should be provided. This would make sense since larger congregations are more likely to have larger budgets and/or staff to accommodate such sabbatical arrangements. (The reader should keep in mind, however, that even with members in these larger congregations, less than a majority favor sabbaticals. The percentage of members responding definitely yes to the idea of a sabbatical varies from seven percent of the members in congregations of 100 or fewer members to 18% of the members in congregations of more than 1200 members.

The only difference observed for elders on the question of sabbaticals was that women elders are less likely than men to say no and more likely to say they do not know.

Among pastors, the relationship between age and opinions about sabbaticals is interesting. Thirty-eight percent of the pastors 34 years old or younger say definitely yes to sabbaticals. This percent rises to 55% of the pastors 35 through 44 years old and then starts to drop-only 12% of the pastors 65 years old or older favor sabbaticals. This may say something about what age group feels the most need for a change of pace (perhaps suffering from "burnout").

In Question #13 the respondents were asked if they regularly spent time studying in a structured education program. The data show that the elders in churches of membership between 501 and 800 people are about twice as likely as elders in the churches of other sizes to be enrolled regularly in a structured education

program. Thirty-one percent of the elders 34 years old or younger and 44% of those 35 - 44 years old are regularly enrolled compared to 26% or less of the older age groups.

Among members, the older they are the less likely they are to be enrolled in a structured eduation program. Sixty percent of the members under 25 are enrolled in such a program compared to 15% of those 65 years old or older.

No clear relationship was observed regarding a member's income and enrollment in a structured education program. Members making under \$10,000 or \$40,000 or more are more likely than persons in the middle income brackets to be enrolled in such programs. Members earning between \$10,000 and \$19,999 are least likely to report enrollment.

In the next question the respondents were asked if they themselves spent time reading professional journals or books. Responses to this question indicate that elders that earn \$40,000 or more are more likely to read professional material than those earning less. Eighty-two percent of those earning \$40,000 or more do this type of reading compared to the 52% of those earning \$10,000 to \$19,999 (which is the group with the lowest percent).

Male members and members who are in leadership roles in their churches are more likely to read professional material than are female members and members who are not leaders. In addition there is almost a straight line relationship between income and the reading of professional material. The only exception is that those earning under \$10,000 are slightly more likely to read than those earning from \$10,000 to \$19,999 (63% compared to 56%). Seventy-five percent of members earning \$40,000 or more regularly read professional material compared to the low of 56% for those earning from \$10,000 to \$19,999.

This association is probably related to the interrelationship between income, education and occupation that is commonly found in our society. Persons with higher incomes are more likely to have higher educational attainment and to be working in professional/managerial roles. These types of occupations tend to require more reading for work-related purposes.

# PART II: ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE DOCTOR OF MINISTRY DEGREE

# A. General Feelings Concerning The D.Min. Degree

In the first question in this portion of the instrument (Question #15) respondents were asked if they had heard of the D.Min. degree. The members and elders that were the most theologically conservative were the most likely to have heard of the degree and those most liberal the least likely. In addition, the members that are the most active in their congregations are also more likely to have heard of the degree than the less active. Finally, about 44% of the members who are evangelicals, compared to 34% of the others, have heard of the D.Min. degree.

When the respondents were asked whether, all things being equal, pastors with D.Min. degree should be paid more than pastors with a Bachelor or Masters degree, not surprisingly the pastors with D.Min. degrees were the most likely to strongly agree with that idea. Those with a basic degree or masters were the least likely to agree and pastors with a Ph.D. fell between these two positions.

Elders who are members of churches with 501 to 800 member congregations with more than 1200 members are the most likely to say that a pastor with D.Min. should be paid more. The elders in churches of 100 or fewer members are the least likely to think this. Female elders are more likely than male elders to respond that they don't know about this.

Not surprisingly, when the respondents were asked about hiring a person with a D.Min. over a person with a basic degree or masters, the clergy with a D.Min. degree were the most likely to express a preference for hiring the candidate with the D.Min. (about 40% strongly agree or agree). What may be surprising to some was that 28% of the clergy with a Ph.D., also thought the clergy with a D.Min. degree should get hiring preference over the basic degree or master.

The pastors with a D.Min. degree are the most likely to agree or strongly agree that they should be addressed as doctor (62%) (compared to 30% of clergy with a basic or masters degree). Once again clergy with a Ph.D. fell between these two groups with 40% responding that clergy with D.Min. degrees should be addressed as doctor. With regard to the sex of the respondent, 35% of the male pastors compared to 21% of the female pastors either strongly agree or agree that clergy with a D.Min. should be addressed as doctor. The older the pastor, the more likely he or she is to have no objection to the title doctor.

For members the relationship between age and opinions about use of the title doctor is not so clear. Members who are 65 years old or older are clearly the most likely to agree or strongly agree that clergy with D.Min. should be addressed as doctor. There is no pattern among the other age groups. In Question #19 the respondents were asked if they agreed with the statement that "A minister who has a D.Min. degree is more likely to be respected by other community leaders than if he/she did not have the degree." Sixty-six percent of the pastors with a D.Min. degree either strongly agree or agree with the above statement compared to about 40% of the other clergy who strongly agree or agree.

Elders who are evangelicals are more likely to agree that clergy with a D.Min. are more likely to be respected. The male elders are also more likely than females elders to agree or strongly agree that the D.Min. brings respect. Among members the non-evangelicals (45%), not the evangelicals, are more likely to say those with the D.Min. are more likely to be respected.

When the respondents were asked whether a minister who regularly takes part in continuing education should get hiring preference over someone who does not, pastors 65 years old or older were the most likely to say they don't know. Those 35 through 44 years of age followed by the pastors 45 through 54 years of age were the next most likely to strongly agree. Elders in churches of 501 to 800 members were the most likely to agree strongly to giving hiring preference to pastors who were the most likely to agree strongly to giving hiring preference to pastors who take part in continuing education. The elders in churches of 100 or fewer members were the least likely to agree or strongly agree that ministers who regularly take part in continuing education should receive hiring preference over those who do not.

For members, the more money they make, the more likely it is that they will respond that hiring preference should be given to the clergy who regularly take part in continuing education. For example, twelve percent of those making less than \$20,000 compared to 25% of the members making \$40,000 or more think such preference should be given.

In Question #21 the respondents were asked if regular participation in continuing education should be given more weight in hiring than attainment of a D.Min. Interestingly, the clergy with D.Min. degrees do not differ in their answers to this question from those who do not have the degree. However, there is a slight difference among pastors of different ages with younger pastors being slightly more likely than pastors 55 years old or older to disagree with this statement. No pastor 55 years old or older strongly disagrees with this statement. The pastors that are 34 years old or younger are the least likely to agree or strongly agree and are more likely to say they don't know whether pastors who regularly participate in continuing education should be given hiring preference.

Twenty-three percent of this age group said they did not know if pastors who regularly participate in continuing education should be given preference in hiring over pastors with a D.Min. degree--twice as many "don't know" responses as was observed for any other age group.

There is a slight difference in opinions on this issue among members who are leaders in their congregation and those who are not. Compared to non-leaders, those in leadership positions are a little bit more likely to agree or strongly agree that persons with regular continuing education experience should be given preference over persons with D.Min. degrees.

The next question asked the respondent's opinion of the D.Min. program. The various groups of members and elders did not differ in their responses. However, the amount of education a pastor has received does seem to influence his/her response to this item. A little over 30% of the clergy with a D.Min. or a Ph.D. think the D.Min. program should be a mark of distinction with a very selective admission policy. In comparison, only 11% of the clergy with some graduate work or a Master's degree and 10% of the pastors with a basic degree think the degree should be a mark of distinction. A majority of each of the clergy groups believes D.Min. programs should be open to all potential applicants. Pastors with a basic degree are most likely to hold this opinion about D.Min. admissions policies (76%); those with a Ph.D. are least likely to do so (55%). Clergy who have attained the educational level of D. Min. fall between these two groups, with 65% supporting open admissions to such programs.

In Question #23 the respondents were asked if they knew anyone who was or is enrolled in a D.Min. program. Among members, those in leadership roles, evangelicals, those high on the participation scale, and those who are theologically conservative are the most likely to know someone who was or is in a theologically conservative are the most likely to know someone who was or is in a D.Min. program. Around 30% of the members between 35 through 54 years of age know someone who is or was involved in such a program compared to 23% or less of the members in the other age groups. Obviously all clergy with D.Min.s know someone involved in a D.Min. program. In comparison, 76% of those with a basic degree and 85% clergy groups with other levels of educational attainment report that they know someone involved in such a program.

# B. Perceived Effect On Clergy While in a D.Min. Program

In Question #24 the respondents were asked what effects they observed on a person while enrolled in the D.Min. program. For members, the small difference in responses observed seems to be related to the more general knowledge respondents have of D. Min. programs or their congregation. Members who are in leadership roles in their congregation and who are high on the participation scale tend to know more than the other members about several of the effects of the D.Min. program that were listed on the questionnaire.

The differences in responses among pastors are all related to education. Sixty-eight percent of the pastors with a D.Min. degree said that enrollment in a D.Min. program was associated with renewed enthusiasm for the ministry in which the candidate was currently engaged. Only 33% to 40% of the pastors without a D.Min. mentioned that effect. Eighteen percent of the pastors with a D.Min., compared to about 38% of those with other degrees, said they noticed that persons in the program had trouble managing claims on their time. In fact, 59% of the clergy with a D.Min. degree noticed that people in the program used their time better. Only 25% to 33% of the other pastors noticed that.

# C. Perceived Effects Resulting from Completing the D.Min. Program

In Question #25 the panelists were asked to think of people who had completed the D.Min. program and to check any effects they had observed. For members and elders there were a few differences in response patterns but none that appeared meaningful.

Most of the differences observed for pastors are related to educational background. However, there were a few other differences worth noting. Younger pastors and pastors who are single are more likely than other pastors to say they observed that after pastors have finished a D.Min. program they were tired of educational programs.

Not unexpectedly, the size of the church the pastors are serving seems to be related to whether they had observed that a pastor moves after completing the degree. (Maybe this reflects differences in expectations and/or career goals). The larger the church the pastor serves the less likely he or she is to say the pastor will move after receiving his or her D.Min. degree.

In general, the differences among the pastors are that the pastors with the D.Min. degree are much more likely to see positive effects coming from being in a D.Min. program. These results are shown in table 1 below.

TABLE 1

Differences Within the Pastors Sample Related to Educational Background for

Question # 25.  Effect	Percent Observing the Effect Educational Background				
Litect	Basic Degree	Graduate work/ Masters Degree	D.Min.	Ph.D., Th.D., S.T.D., etc.	
Became more efficient administrators Became better preachers	32% 18%	23% 18%	59% 62%	17% 33%	
Exercised pastoral and spiritual care more competently Gained a new theological depth	36% 40%	31% 35%	78% 78%	33% 38%	
Spent more time in study each week than they did before Were more likely to attend	21%	15%	41%	29%	
continuing education programs than they did before Gained additional prestige and	18%	20%	41%	8%	
respect because they have the degree	34%	28%	56%	38%	

After asking what effect a D.Min. program had on the person enrolled, we asked about the effect on the congregation of having a pastor in a D.Min. program. There were no meaningful differences in responses reported within the members sample. However, there were meaningful differences within the clergy and elders sample. In both samples the men were more likely than women to respond that the congregation was proud to have a pastor enrolled in the program. (Sixty-two percent of the male elders and 56% of the male pastors see the congregations as being proud but, about 20% fewer women in each sample responded in that manner.)

The pastors with a D.Min. degree were much more likely than pastors without a D.Min. degree to say that congregations are proud to have a pastor enrolled in the D.Min. program, that morale in the ministry setting improved, and that there have been measurable improvements in the congregation such as better programs or more participation.

## E. Reason Clergy May Enter D.Min. Program

We asked the clergy how much involvement they have had with a D.Min. program and found out that 50% of the clergy with a Basic degree and 40% of those with a masters degree or some graduate work have investigated the possibility of enrollment but have not enrolled. Around 10% of the clergy with a basic degree or some graduate work or masters are currently enrolled in a D. Min. program and around five percent now have a D.Min. degree.

In the last question the pastors were asked why they thought clergy enter D. Min. programs. With one exception, the differences in responses within the pastors' sample relate to their educational background. Pastors with a D.Min. degree are more likely than those with other degrees to say most clergy entered a D. Min. program to broaden and deepen their theological understanding and to improve their skill in their present setting. The pastors with a D. Min. degree were less likely than the pastors without a D.Min. degree to cite as important reasons earning a credential which will help them to move to a better job and making themselves eligible for higher pay.

The one difference in response observed which was not related to education was when the reason "for fellowship with other clergy" was given: Women clergy are twice as likely as male clergy to see fellowship as a very important reason--22% of the women compared to 11% of the men responded in this manner.